





2014

Kids Count in Nebraska Report













Acknowledgements

© 2015 Voices for Children in Nebraska

Portions of this report may be produced without prior permission, provided the source is cited as: ©Kids Count in Nebraska 2014 Report.

Author:

Chrissy Tonkinson, Research Coordinator

Editorial contributors:

Carolyn D. Rooker, Executive Director

Chris Triebsch, Communications Coordinator

Aubrey Mancuso, Policy Coordinator – Economic Stability & Health

Juliet Summers, Policy Coordinator – Child Welfare and Juvenile Justice

Julia Tse, Policy Associate – Child Welfare

Jenna Sutton, Policy Associate

Design:

Victoria Jones, Visual Communications Intern

Chrissy Tonkinson, Research Coordinator

Cover photos featuring Nebraska children:

Front, top row, from left: Noah; Peyton; Brogan, Maddox, and Callan. Middle row: Raven, Rashell and Remiah; Russell; Elsie. Bottom row: Kylee; Taygan and Javier; Brandon.

Back, top row, from left: Rodney; Lane, Elijah, Joshua, and Kaylan. Middle: Kate. Bottom: Peyton, Barrett, and Kadence. *Kids Count in Nebraska* is a children's data and policy project of Voices for Children in Nebraska. Key indicators measure the wellbeing of children in five areas: Health, Education, Child Welfare, Juvenile Justice and Economic Stability.

This research is funded in part by the Annie E. Casey Foundation, Dr. Tom and Jane Tonniges, CHI Health and Mutual of Omaha. We thank them for their support and acknowledge that the findings and conclusions presented in this report are those of the author(s) alone, and do not reflect the opinions of these organizations or individuals.

An important component of this project is the Technical Team of Advisors, members of which provide data and expertise on child well-being in our state. The Kids Count Technical Team, comprising representatives from numerous agencies and organizations in Nebraska and other research experts, provides invaluable information for this project each year. Without their interest, support, and partnership, *Kids Count* would be impossible to produce.

Kids Count in Nebraska reports from 2006 to 2013 are available for download at www.voicesforchildren.com/kidscount.

Additional copies of the *Kids Count in Nebraska 2014 Report*, as well as reports from 1993 through 2013, are available from:

Voices for Children in Nebraska 7521 Main Street, Suite 103 Ralston, NE 68127 402-597-3100 http://voicesforchildren.com voices@voicesforchildren.com

Table of contents & letter

Dear Kids Count Reader:

Welcome to the 22nd edition of the *Kids Count in Nebraska Report*. This year's report continues to offer a visually-oriented look that brings the data to life and makes finding information quick and easy. However, we made a number of additions to the report to help tell the whole story of Nebraska's kids.

On the next two pages, you will find our new mission statement, vision and values. You will also find the summary sheet for our new *Pro-Kid Policy Plan* for Nebraska (you can find the full version at www.voicesforchildren.com). This plan is the first-of-its-kind state road map to improve child well-being in Nebraska. Its purpose is to give our state a framework to guide policy in a way that builds pathways to opportunity for all children, no matter who they are or where they live. While the *Kids Count in Nebraska Report* shows where the challenges are, the *Pro-Kid Policy Plan* takes it one step further and offers a framework to address those challenges and ensure improvement in child well-being measures in the areas of education, health, child welfare, early childhood, juvenile justice and economic stability. It offers a vision for where we need to be in order to make sure all children have the opportunity to thrive.

In addition to the *Pro-Kid Policy Plan* for Nebraska, this year's *Kids Count in Nebraska Report* includes a thorough update of the education section and county data pages. Certain indicators were added while other, less meaningful pieces were dropped and several sources were changed in order to provide the most accurate data available. We also added population data to give an overview of what the state looks like. These changes help us to tell the whole story of the well-being of Nebraska's children.

We hope you find these additions helpful. As always, we welcome feedback on this year's *Kids Count in Nebraska Report*. This books exists to help you — whether you are a policymaker, legislative staff member, administrator, child advocate, interested member of the public or anyone else who aspires to help Nebraska's children lead the happy healthy lives they deserve.

We want to extend a special thanks to the many experts and data holders who lent their data proficiency to the production of this report.

Enjoy!

Kind Regards,

Carolyn Q. Rooker, MSW Clouble

Carolyn D. Rooker, MSW Executive Director

Chrissy Tonkinson, MPH Research Coordinator



About Voices for Children

Founded in 1987, Voices for Children in Nebraska has a 28 year track record of improving the lives of Nebraska's children and youth.

MISSION:

Voices for Children in Nebraska is the independent voice building pathways to opportunity for all children and families through research, policy and community engagement.

VISION:

We will engage the public and state leaders to build systems removing obstacles and promoting opportunities for ALL children to lead healthy, secure and fulfilling lives.

VALUES:

All children deserve an equal opportunity to succeed in life. To ensure kids remain at the center of priorities and programs:

- Informed research drives our direction.
- When a policy is good, we support it; when it is harmful, we fight it; when it is missing, we can create it.
- Community engagement is how we promote systems change.

PLAN:

Our policy priorities are guided by research, data and proven best practices that improve child well-being. We pay close attention to the impact of race, poverty and geography, and seek to address existing disparities within these issue areas.

Voices for Children in Nebraska 2015 Board of Directors:

Executive Committee:

Eric Nelson, **President** Tim Hron, MA, LIMHP, **Vice President** Donna Hammack, MSEd, Secretary Steve Mitchell, Treasurer

Board of Directors:

Daniel Padilla Tim Thoreson Lloyd Meyer Yolanda Chavez Nuncio, MEd Eric Johnson 4 | KIDS COUNT IN NEBRASKA REPORT Catherine Damico, JD Jeremy Fitzpatrick, JD Mike Socha Joel A. Jacobs

About Voices for Children



Mission: Voices for Children in Nebraska is the independent voice building pathways to opportunity for all children and families through research, policy and community engagement.

Plan: Our policy priorities are guided by research, data and proven best practices that improve child well-being. We pay close attention to the impact of race, poverty and geography, and seek to address existing disparities within these issue areas.



Ensure all children grow up in safe, permanent, and loving families. By strengthening families, we prevent abuse and neglect. An effective child welfare system minimizes trauma to children and families through swift and thoughtful action.



Ensure that families are able to achieve financial security. Hard work should pay and policies should support families in trying to build a better future. Systems should ensure that children's basic needs are met when families lack the resources to do so.



Ensure all families have access to quality affordable early education and that families have supports in place that allow them to balance work and family life.



Guarantee all children and families have access to affordable, quality physical and behavioral health care. Consistent and preventive health care, beginning even from birth, gives children the best chance to grow up to be healthy and productive adults.



Assure that children can grow into healthy and productive adults by holding youth accountable for their actions in appropriate ways that promote community safety.



Ensure that our tax system is fair to working families and that we have adequate revenue to invest in communities. Our state budget helps set the priorities for the state and we should prioritize our future workforce by making smart, targeted investments in children and families.

Find out more at voicesforchildren.com

Contacting elected officials

How to use your voice on behalf of children

Do you have something to share with elected officials about children's issues? It's easy to contact policymakers using these tools — a legislative map, contact information for your representatives, and a wealth of information and data at your fingertips.





Identify your elected official or officials

2015 Nebraska Legislature			
Senator	District	Office Phone	Email
Baker, Roy	30	471-2620	rbaker@leg.ne.gov
Bloomfield, Dave	17	471-2716	dbloomfield@leg.ne.gov
Bolz, Kate	29	471-2734	kbolz@leg.ne.gov
Brasch, Lydia	16	471-2728	lbrasch@leg.ne.gov
Campbell, Kathy	25	471-2731	kcampbell@leg.ne.gov
Chambers, Ernie	11	471-2612	n/a
Coash, Colby	27	471-2632	ccoash@leg.ne.gov
Cook, Tanya	13	471-2727	tcook@leg.ne.gov
Craighead, Joni	6	471-2714	jcraighead@leg.ne.gov
Crawford, Sue	45	471-2615	scrawford@leg.ne.gov
Davis, Al	43	471-2628	adavis@leg.ne.gov
Ebke, Laura	32	471-2711	lebke@leg.ne.gov
Friesen, Curt	34	471-2630	cfriesen@leg.ne.gov
Garrett, Tommy	3	471-2627	tgarrett@leg.ne.gov
Gloor, Mike	35	471-2617	mgloor@leg.ne.gov

6 | KIDS COUNT IN NEBRASKA REPORT

Contacting elected officials

2013 Nebraska Legislature (Continued)			
Groene, Michael	42	471-2729	mgroene@leg.ne.gov
Haar, Ken	21	471-2673	khaar@leg.ne.gov
Hadley, Galen	37	471-2726	ghadley@leg.ne.gov
Hansen, Matt	26	471-2610	mhansen@leg.ne.gov
Harr, Burke J.	8	471-2722	bharr@leg.ne.gov
Hilkemann, Bob	4	471-2621	rhilkemann@leg.ne.gov
Howard, Sara	9	471-2723	showard@leg.ne.gov
Hughes, Dan	44	471-2805	dhughes@leg.ne.gov
Johnson, Jerry	23	471-2719	jjohnson@leg.ne.gov
Kintner, Bill	2	471-2613	bkintner@leg.ne.gov
Kolowski, Rick	31	471-2327	rkolowski@leg.ne.gov
Kolterman, Mark	24	471-2756	mkolterman@leg.ne.gov
Krist, Bob	10	471-2718	bkrist@leg.ne.gov
Kuehn, John	38	471-2732	jkuehn@leg.ne.gov
Larson, Tyson	40	471-2801	tlarson@leg.ne.gov
Lindstrom, Brett	18	471-2618	blindstrom@leg.ne.gov
McCollister, John	20	471-2622	jmccollister@leg.ne.gov
McCoy, Beau	39	471-2885	bmccoy@leg.ne.gov
Mello, Heath	5	471-2710	hmello@leg.ne.gov
Morfield, Adam	46	471-2720	amorfield@leg.ne.gov
Murante, John	49	471-2725	jmurante@leg.ne.gov
Nordquist, Jeremy J.	7	471-2721	jnordquist@leg.ne.gov
Pansing Brooks, Patty	28	471-2633	ppansingbrooks@leg.ne.gov
Riepe, Merv	12	471-2623	mriepe@leg.ne.gov
Scheer, Jim	19	471-2929	jscheer@leg.ne.gov
Schilz, Ken	47	471-2616	kschilz@leg.ne.gov
Schnoor, David	15	471-2625	dschnoor@leg.ne.gov
Schumacher, Paul	22	471-2715	pschumacher@leg.ne.gov
Seiler, Les	33	471-2712	lseiler@leg.ne.gov
Smith, Jim	14	471-2730	jsmith@leg.ne.gov
Stinner, John	48	471-2802	jstinner@leg.ne.gov
Sullivan, Kate	41	471-2631	ksullivan@leg.ne.gov
Watermeier, Dan	1	471-2733	dwatermeier@leg.ne.gov
Williams, Matt	36	471-2642	mwilliams@leg.ne.gov

Other elected officialsU.S. President: Barack ObamaU.S. Senator:202-456-1414, president@whitehouse.gov202-224-655

Nebraska Governor: Pete Ricketts 402-471-2244, www.governor.nebraska.gov

Nebraska Secretary of State: John A. Gale 402-471-2554, http://www.sos.ne.gov

Nebraska Attorney General: Doug Peterson 402-471-2682, http://www.ago.state.ne.us

Nebraska State Treasurer: Don Stenberg 402-471-2455, http://www.treasurer.org

U.S. Senator: Deb Fischer 202-224-6551, http://www.fischer.senate.gov

U.S. Senator: Ben Sasse 202-224-4224, http://www.sasse.senate.gov

U.S. Representative-1st District: Jeff Fortenberry 202-225-4806, http://www.fortenberry.house.gov

U.S. Representative-2nd District: Brad Ashford 202-225-4155, http://www.ashford.house.gov

U.S. Representative-3rd District: Adrian Smith 202-225-6435, http://www.adriansmith.house.gov



Know your issues, share your data

To view the legislative calendar, read bills, listen live, and more, visit www. nebraskalegislature. gov.

For details on priority bills from Voices for Children, visit http://voicesforchildren.com. From the homepage, click on Legislative, and then State or Federal.

To stay current on children's legislative issues, sign up for free E-Updates and advoKID Alerts. Updates are sent in a timely manner to help you respond to the issues affecting children in the Unicameral and in Congress. To sign up for updates, visit http://voicesforchildren.com/advoKID.

To use the KIDS COUNT Data Center, visit http://datacenter.kidscount.org/NE.

Population

1,868,516 people including 464,348 children under 18 lived in Nebraska in 2013.¹



Nebraska percent population by race (2013)

2.2% 2 4% 1.39 9.9% Two or more races Hispanic 21% 4.8% 16.1% 6.2% Asian or Native Hawaiian Non-Hispanic and Other Pacific Islander American Indian and Alaska Native 90.1% 83.9% 85.0% 89.7% Black or African-American White Total Population Under 18 **Total Population** Under 18

19.1% of Nebraskans were of color in 2013.² This is expected to increase to 38% by 2050.³

1. U.S. Census Bureau, 1980, 1990, 2000; Annual Estimates of the Resident Population: July 1 2010-2013.

2. U.S. Census Bureau, Annual Estimate of the Resident Population by Sex, Age, Race Alone or in Combination, and Hispanic Origin: July 1, 2013.

- 3. Center for Public Affairs Research, UNO, State and Local Population Trends Presentation, 2013.
- 8 | KIDS COUNT IN NEBRASKA REPORT

Nebraska percent population by ethnicity (2013)

Population

Nebraska percent population by rurality classification (2013)²



Nebraska rurality classifications (2013)¹

Based on the current population distribution of Nebraska, counties are split into 5 categories:

- The "Big 3" counties: Douglas, Sarpy, Lancaster
- 10 other metropolitan counties: Cass, Saunders, Washington, Seward, Dakota, Dixon, Hall, Merrick, Howard, Hamilton
- 9 micropolitan central counties: Dodge, Platte, Madison, Gage, Adams, Buffalo, Dawson, Lincoln, Scotts Bluff
- 20 nonmetropolitan counties that have a city between 2,500-9,999 residents
- 51 nonmetropolitan counties that do not have a city >2,500 residents



55% of Nebraska kids live in the "Big 3" counties.²

14.1% 65+ years 18-64 years 18-64 years 61.0% Under 18 years 24.9% 24.9%

14.1% of Nebraskans were 65 or older in 2013.³ This is expected to increase to 21% by 2050.¹

1. Center for Public Affairs Research, UNO, State and Local Population Trends Presentation, 2013.

2. U.S. Census Bureau, Annual Estimates of the Resident Population: July 1, 2013.

3. U.S. Census Bureau, 2013 American Community Survey 1-Year Estimates Table S1101.

Population

Nebraska child population by age (2013)¹



Nebraska Households with children under 18 by type (2013)⁴



27.1% of Nebraska kids were living with a single parent in 2013,² an increase from 12% in 1980.³

Nebraska families with children under 18 by type (2013)²



3,478 Nebraska children were being raised by their grandparent(s) without a parent present in 2013.⁵

1. U.S. Census Bureau, Annual Estimates of the Resident Population by Age by Sex July 1, 2013.

2. U.S. Census Bureau, 2013 American Community Survey 1-year estimates, Table DP02.

3. U.S. Census Bureau, 1980 Census of the Population.

4. U.S. Census Bureau, 2013 American Community Survey 1-Year estimates, Table S1101.

5. U.S. Census Bureau, 2013 American Community Survey 1-year estimates, Table B10002.

Supporting Working Families

As a state, Nebraska values hard work and family. Unfortunately, for many, hard work alone isn't enough to take care of their families each month. We know that Nebraskans work and they work hard but many still struggle financially. Multiple reasons contribute to this, including low wage jobs, limited growth in household income, the rising cost of goods and services, being unable to work desired hours, or lacking adequate benefits. With a large majority of children in Nebraska having all parents in the workforce, we as a state must do more to support Nebraska's working families and ensure that all our kids have the best possible opportunity to succeed.

Nebraska Employment

In 2014, Nebraska had approximately 1,024,500 people participating in the workforce (Figure 1).¹ The state has experienced very low unemployment rates in recent years and weathered the most recent recession better than many other states. Our unemployment rate has hovered around 3.6% in 2014, down from a high of 4.9% experienced in late 2009/early 2010.¹ We are currently at our lowest rate of unemployment since late 2008 and we have the 4th lowest unemployment rate in the country (Figure 2).² In addition to unemployment, underemployment must be considered. Underemployed workers are those who would like to work full time, but due to availability of jobs or hours are only working part time, as well as workers who are discouraged or are very close to being discouraged.³ In the most recent estimates, 3.6% of Nebraska workers were underemployed or discouraged as of August 2014. This means that 7.1% of the workforce in Nebraska is either unemployed, or is unable to find a full time position.

Nebraska has high rates of family employment as well. 70.2% of children under 6 have all parents in their family in the labor force and this rate is even higher for children 6 to 17 with 77.3% of these children having all their parents in the labor force.⁴



Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics, Economy at a Glance,; Bureau of Labor Statistics, Unemployment rates for states.

Making Work Pay

Income

Nebraska's median family income in 2013 was \$64,763 annually.⁵ This was a slight increase from 2012, when adjusted for inflation, but otherwise lower than inflation adjusted median family income over the past decade (Figure 3). The middle class is typically defined as those living within median income $\pm \frac{1}{2}$ median income, so for Nebraska families in 2013 middle class would be defined as those families making between \$32,381.5 and \$97,144.50. More than half – 57% of Nebraska families are defined as middle class, and 83% have middle or upper income (Figure 4).⁵ While these high numbers are wonderful to see, the challenge is that overall median income has decreased and families have to work harder to make ends meet due to their reduced buying power. Consumers in the United States account for 70% of the economy; this is driven by the middle class. Middle and lower income families are more likely to put money back in the economy by purchasing goods and services, while wealthier families are more likely to save excess income. A thriving middle class leads to a healthy economy and job creation. A stable economy is not possible without a strong middle class.⁶

Median income varies greatly by family type.⁵ Married couples that have children have the highest median income each year over the last decade, while single parents with children are the lowest with single moms having the lowest median income of all groups. Interestingly, single men and single women without children both make more than their parenting counterparts, but married couples with children have a higher median income than married couples without children. This provides further evidence of the importance of supporting working families and ensuring that parents are able to make ends meet, especially those that are single parents (Figure 5). Median income also varies greatly by race and ethnicity with white non-Hispanic households having the highest median income of each racial group making nearly twice as much as the median household income of Black/African-American households at the bottom (Figure 6).⁷



Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey 1-year estimates, Table S0201.; Bureau of Labor Statistics Inflation Calculator.; U.S. Census Bureau, 2013 American Community Survey 1-year estimates, Table S0201. 12 | KIDS COUNT IN NEBRASKA REPORT

Figure 5: Median income for families (2005-2013)



Poverty/Low Income

Despite Nebraska's low unemployment rate and relatively large middle class, 14.6% of families with children live in poverty.⁸ This is an increase from 2009, even though our employment statistics are very similar to that time.² What this means is that people are working, but they are unable to make enough money to support their families above the federal poverty line. Our poverty rate is gradually decreasing, but we have not yet reached pre-recession rates even though our rate of unemployment has recovered.

In addition, trends show troubling disparities in our poverty rates based on race and ethnicity. In the most recent year for which we have data, child poverty decreased slightly for white children in Nebraska and increased slightly for children of color.⁹ The trend of working families with children struggling financially is further supported by the percent of children living in low-income families. In 2013, 40.9% of Nebraska's children were living in families making below 200% of the federal poverty line (Figure 7).¹⁰ While the number of families living below poverty is gradually decreasing, the number of children living in low-income families is increasing.

Figure 6: Median household income by race (2013)



Figure 7: Percent families with children who are low-income (2005-2013)



Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey 1-year estimates, Table B19126.; U.S. Census Bureau, 2013 American Community Survey 1-year estimates, Tables B19013B-H.; U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey 1-year estimates, Table B05010.

About one quarter of Nebraska workers, 25.1%, were working in low-wage jobs in 2011, 4% higher than the national average.¹¹ These are jobs where the median annual pay is below the poverty line. Workers in these jobs are living in poverty, and typically do not earn benefits or paid time off to care for their families.¹¹ In 2013, approximately 29,000 Nebraska workers were making at or below the then current minimum wage of \$7.25 per hour.¹¹ Nationally, 88% of minimum wage workers are at least 20 years old and over a quarter have children.¹² The imminent raise of the minimum wage will help these Nebraska families better support their children and hopefully reduce poverty further. Nebraska has the 5th highest rate in the country of people working multiple jobs with 7.9% of Nebraska workers working more than one job.¹³

Family Bottom Line

What is more troubling about our poverty rates is that we know the official poverty measure is an inadequate means of determining a family's economic stability. The official poverty line was developed in the 1960s and is based on the cost of food. The knowledge at that time was that food accounted for approximately one-third of a household's overall budget. The federal poverty line is the cost of food multiplied by three and updated annually for inflation. In order to better understand what working families need to make ends meet, we calculated data from the Family Economic Self-Sufficiency standard to create what we have termed "The Family Bottom Line." The Family Bottom Line is a reasonable estimate of what it takes for a family to make ends meet independent of any public assistance. In Nebraska, for a family of four -2 adults, 1 infant, 1 toddler, the annual average income necessary was \$41,798 in 2013 (Figure 8).¹⁴ The highest monthly expense for this family is child care.

Figure 8: Monthly costs for 2 adults, 1 toddler, 1 infant family (2013)



Benefits

Employee benefits in the United States exist as a partnership between individuals, business, and the government. They are intended to promote the economic security of employees by ensuring against uncertain events and to raise the standard of living by providing services, like health insurance. Benefit programs help the economy as a whole by helping to secure the income and well-being of families.¹⁵ Benefits can also help ensure that workers can balance work and family life. Types of employee benefits are varied with some being optional and others being mandatory.

In Nebraska, only 36.9% of employers offered health insurance in 2012, ranking Nebraska in last place in the nation, but 62.9% of Nebraskans are insured through employer-provided health insurance.¹¹ Employee sponsored health coverage has numerous benefits to both the employer and employee including reducing employee absenteeism, cost savings on group coverage, and heightened job satisfaction, engagement and tenure of employees.¹⁶

At some point in their working lives, just about everyone will need to take time off from work for family or medical reasons, such as the birth of a child, an ill family member, or personal injury. Paid family leave makes taking this time off possible. Currently, Nebraska participates in the Federal Family and Medical Leave Act (FMLA). FMLA entitles eligible employees of large private sector employers and all schools and public agencies to up to 12 weeks of unpaid leave for family or medical reasons. Those working for small (under 50 employees) private sector companies, those who work below 1,250 hours annually, and those who have not been with their employer for over a year are not eligible for protections under FMLA and therefore can lose their job in the event of a family or medical emergency requiring leave.¹⁷ Nebraska does not require this time to be paid and companies are not required to provide any paid time off, but many do. In our census region including lowa, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota and South Dakota a majority of workers do have paid sick leave, paid vacation and paid holidays, but for those that do not, their jobs and financial stability can be compromised by unexpected events like a health crisis (Figure 9).¹⁸

Retirement savings are important for economic security in senior years of life, but too many Americans put aside too little for retirement despite the range of tax incentives. Higher-income workers disproportionately use retirement savings tax incentives with 70% of middle- to high-income workers participating in employer sponsored retirement plans, but only 25% of individuals making \$15,000-\$20,000 participate.¹⁹ Similarly, investment in Individual Retirement Accounts (IRAs) increases disproportionately with income. Those without savings for retirement tend to be populations with lower education, poor health and part-time workers. 48.4% of workers in Nebraska participated in an employment-based retirement plan in 2012.¹¹

Figure 9: Percent of workers with paid leave benefits in north midwest region (2013)



Assets

Assets are another measure of a family's financial security. Assets are resources, like a home or savings account, that help support longer term financial stability. Financial crises such as job losses, medical emergencies, and car or home repairs are inevitable, and without assets, a family's financial security can be very vulnerable. Asset poverty expands the definition of poverty to include those who do not have 3 months' of living expenses at the poverty level in assets such as savings or property/business ownership.¹¹ In Nebraska, 18.5% of people experience asset poverty with 10.2% being extremely asset impoverished.¹¹ These families can experience a detrimental blow when experiencing a financial crisis.

Nearly every parent's dream is for their children to have a better, easier life than they had, especially financially, but with the current structure of the U.S. economy the current generation of teenagers is likely to be less successful than their parents. Income alone is not enough to progress in our economy. It takes assets like homes, businesses, savings and education. The U.S. government has a long history of helping families build assets through programs like the Homestead Act, G.I. bill, home mortgage deductions and 401k and IRA retirement programs. Unfortunately, these policies are skewed to provide an advantage for those who are already wealthy. Low-income households that do not make enough money to itemize deductions receive almost nothing from these policies. More than half of the \$400 billion in benefits that the federal government spent in 2009 on asset building policies went to the top 5% of taxpayers while low-income families received almost none.¹⁹

Families participating in public benefit programs have long been subjected to "asset tests" to determine eligibility. For a family who had previously been able to accrue retirement savings, a job loss and the need for temporary public assistance can mean being required to liquidate resources intended for longer term financial security. Nebraska has taken steps in recent years to reform asset tests, but for those participating in the child care subsidy and Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) programs, very low limits remain in place.

Homeownership

Homeownership accounts for the largest component of household wealth in the United States and accounts for a great share of wealth particularly for lower- and middle-income households. Homeownership also has benefits for the community: it stabilizes neighborhoods and positively influences children's health, well-being and education.¹⁹ In Nebraska, 63.5% of homes are owned by the residents, equal to the U.S. rate of homeownership.²⁰ The rate of homeownership varies significantly based on race with white non-Hispanic Nebraskans having a much higher rate of ownership than people of color (Figure 10).²¹ Homeowners also have lower rates of high housing cost burden than renters. 24.3% of homeowners spent over 30% of their income on monthly owner costs compared to 42.8% of renters spending more than 30% of their income monthly on housing costs.11

Figure 10: Homeownership by race and ethnicity (2013)



\$55,312

\$44,237

Education

Post-secondary education is one of the most valuable assets that has a significant impact on lifetime earnings and economic mobility. Educational capital can also be passed down to future generations with children more likely to attend and graduate from college if their parents attended. Annual earnings in Nebraska for someone 25 years or older with some college or an associate's degree are 1.15 times higher than a high school graduate. People with a bachelor's degree can expect annual earnings to be 1.6 times higher than those with just a high school education (Figure 11).²² Those with a higher education experience lower unemployment with an unemployment rate of 4% nationally for workers with a bachelor's degree, lower than the national average of 6.1%, compared to 7.5% for those with just a high school diploma.²³

\$60,000

\$50,000

In Nebraska the importance of post-secondary education is becoming greater and greater. When looking at employment by industry in 1990 to 2014, jobs that require some type of post-secondary education experienced significant growth. Industries like education and health services and professional and business services have grown, whereas jobs that did not require post-secondary education but typically offer a decent income and opportunity for advancement like manufacturing experienced great loss (Figure 12).¹





Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2013 American Community Survey 1-year estimates, Table B20004.; Bureau of Labor Statistics, Economy at a Glance.

Conclusions and Recommendations

In general, Nebraska is a great place to raise a family and to be a child, but some of Nebraska's working families are still struggling to make ends meet and build a better future. We need to ensure that our policies support working families and help them build a better future.

1) Ensure that all working families have access to benefits that help support family health and well-being. We should guarantee that all workers have access to paid sick days, paid family and medical leave and health insurance.

2) **Incentivize asset building for lower income families.** Our current policy incentives for asset building primarily benefit higher income families. We need to ensure that lower income families have access to incentives that help build assets. We need to eliminate asset limits in our public programs and provide incentives outside of the tax code to reach the families that need it the most.

3) **Increase tax credits for working families.** Tax credits like the Earned Income Tax Credit (EITC) and Child Tax Credit (CTC) help increase the income of working families.

Sources

- 1. Bureau of Labor Statistics Economy at a Glance.
- 2. Bureau of Labor Statistics Unemployment rates for states.
- 3. Bureau of Labor Statistics Alternative Measures of Labor Underutilization for States.
- 4. U.S. Census Bureau, 2013 American Community Survey 1-year estimates, Table CP03.
- 5. U.S. Census Bureau, 2013 American Community Survey 1-year estimates, Table S0201.
- 6. Robert Reich, "Inequality for All."
- 7. U.S. Census Bureau, 2013 American Community Survey 1-year estimates, Tables B19013B-H.
- 8. U.S. Census Bureau, 2013 American Community Survey 1-year estimates, Table B17010.
- 9. U.S. Census Bureau, 2013 American Community Survey 1-year estimates, Table C17001B-I.
- 10. U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey 1-year estimates, Table B05010.
- 11. Assets and Opportunity Nebraska State Data.
- 12. Economic Policy Institute, Low-wage Workers Are Older Than You Think.
- 13. Bureau of Labor Statistics, Multiple Job Holders, 2013.
- 14. Voices for Children in Nebraska, Family Bottom Line, 2014.
- 15. Employee Benefit Research Institute.
- 16. U.S. News and World Report, 7 Reasons to Take Advantage of Employee Healthcare Benefits, 2012.
- 17. U.S. Department of Labor, Department of Labor, Wage and Hours Division.
- 18. Bureau of Labor Statistics, National Compensation Survey, 2013.
- 19. CFED & AECF, "Upside Down" Report, 2010.
- 20. U.S. Census Bureau, 2013 American Community Survey 1-year estimates, Table DP04.
- 21. U.S. Census Bureau, 2013 American Community Survey 5-year estimates, Tables B25003B-I.
- 22. U.S. Census Bureau, 2013 American Community Survey 1-year estimates, Table B20004.
- 23. Bureau of Labor Statistics, Earnings and unemployment rates by educational attainment.





2 in 3 uninsured Nebraska kids are low-income.¹

Why does it matter?

All children deserve access to affordable, quality physical and behavioral health care.

Quality and consistent preventive health care, beginning even before birth, gives children the best chance to grow up to be healthy and productive adults.

Adequate levels of immunization, public health efforts to prevent disease and disability, and support for maternal health and positive birth outcomes are examples of measures that help children now and later. Good health, both physical and behavioral, is an essential element of a productive and fulfilling life.

This section will provide data on births, maternal health, infant and child deaths, immunizations, access to health care, lead exposure, sexually-transmitted infections, health risk behaviors and behavioral health.

92 of Nebraska's 93 counties had a mental health provider shortage in 2013.²

Where are the data?

Births	20
Prenatal care	20
Low birth weight	21
Pre/Post natal health	21
Teen parents	22
Teen sexual behavior	22
Infant and child deaths	23
Health insurance	24
Behavioral health	25
Health risk behaviors	26
Health services	29

1. U.S. Census Bureau, 2008-2012 American Community Survey

5-year estimates, Table C27001.

2. Shortage Designation, Health Resources and Services Administration,

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Health Resources and Services Administration.

Births

26,094 babies were born in 2013.

That's a slight increase from 25,939 births in 2012.

Births by race & ethnicity (2013)





15.1% of babies received inadequate prenatal care.

Women who see a health care provider regularly during pregnancy have healthier babies and are less likely to deliver prematurely or to have other serious pregnancy-related problems. The ideal time for a woman to seek out prenatal care is during her first trimester or even prior to getting pregnant.

Barriers to care can include a lack of any of the following:

- Insurance
- Transportation
- Knowledge of where to find care
- Quality treatment at care center
- Translation services
- Knowledge of importance of care



Trimester prenatal care began (2013)



Adequacy of prenatal care by race & ethnicity (2013)

Adequate/Adequate Plus - received 80%+ of expected visits

Intermediate - received 50-79% of expected visits

Inadequate - received less than 50% of expected visits

Source of all data on this page: Vital Statistics, Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS). 20 | KIDS COUNT IN NEBRASKA REPORT

Pre/post natal health



7 in 10 Nebraska mothers report one or more stressful situations.¹

1. "Pregnancy Risk Assessment Monitoring System Homepage," Nebraska Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS).

2. Vital Statistics, Nebraska Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS).

3. Centers for Disease Control, National Immunization Survey, 2011.

Teen births & sexual behavior

Teen parenting

While teen pregnancy occurs at all socio-economic levels, teen moms are more likely to come from economicallydisadvantaged families or to be coping with substance abuse and behavioral problems. Teen birth is highly correlated with child poverty.

In turn, children born to teenage parents are more likely to live in poverty, experience health problems, suffer from maltreatment, struggle in school, run away from home, and serve time in prison. Children of teen parents are also more likely to become teen parents themselves, thus perpetuating the cycle of teen pregnancy and generational poverty.

2013

Teen births are at the lowest point in a decade. In 2013, there were 1,564 babies born to teen mothers; 424 (27.1%) to mothers who were 10-17 years old and 1140 (76.9%) to mothers who were 18 or 19.²



Teen births by age (2013)



Source: Vital Statistics, Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS).

Teen sexual behavior¹

Ever had sexual intercourse	35.2%
Reported having sexual intercourse before age 13	4.1%
Had sex with four or more people	9.4%
Had sex in the past 3 months	25.7%
Drank alcohol or used drugs before last sexual intercourse	19.7%
Did not use a condom during last sexual intercourse	37.5%
Did not use any method to prevent pregnancy during last sexual intercourse	12.2%
Were never taught in school about AIDS or HIV infection	25.5%

^{1.} Centers for Disease Control, Youth Risk Behavior Survey 2013.

2. Vital Statistics, Nebraska Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS).

3. HIV Surveillance, Nebraska Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS).

22 | KIDS COUNT IN NEBRASKA REPORT

Sexually transmitted infections (STIs) (2004-2013)²



There were 2,364 cases of sexually transmitted infections reported in children ages 19 and under in Nebraska in 2013.

HIV/AIDS³

In 2013, there were 11 children ages 0-11 and 23 children ages 12-19 living with HIV.

Since 2004, only 3 children with a diagnosis of HIV or AIDS have died from the disease.

Infant mortality

Infant mortality increased to 5.3 per 1,000 births in 2013 from 4.8 per 1,000 births in 2012.

Causes of infant deaths (2013)			
	Number	Percent	
Birth Defects	36	25.9%	
Maternal and Perinatal	35	25.2%	
Prematurity	18	12.9%	
SIDS	15	10.8%	
Respiratory and Heart	14	10.1%	
Accidents	5	3.6%	
Infection	3	2.2%	
Other	13	9.4%	
Total	139		

Child deaths

In 2013, 129 children and youth ages 1 to 19 died of various causes, the most common of which were accidents and suicide.

Causes of child deaths (2013)		
	Number	Percent
Accidents	43	33.3%
Suicide	16	12.4%
Cancer	15	11.6%
Homicide	8	6.2%
Infection	7	5.4%
Birth Defects	8	6.2%
Other	16	14.0%
Total	129	

Rate of infant mortality per 1,000 births by race and ethnicity (2013)



Child deaths, ages 1-19 (2004-2013)



Health insurance

Health coverage for Nebraska's children, ages 17 & under (2011-2013) 350.000 311.117 308.936 312.484 300.000 250.000 200,000 140,149 150.000 137.764 135.514 100.000 50.000 26,892 27,806 25,379 0 2011 2012 2013

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2011, 2012, and 2013 1-year estimates, American Community Surveys, Tables B27001, B27002, and B27003 respectively.

Private Insurance

Medicaid and CHIP served a monthly average 161,728 children in SFY 2013

Public Insurance

Access to health care

In 2013, there were 25,379 uninsured children in Nebraska.1 Of those, 16,914 were low-income (below 200% of the federal poverty level) and likely eligible, yet unenrolled in the Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP).²

Percent uninsured children by race	ethnicity
(2008-2012)	
Black/African American	6.0%
Asian or Pacific Islander	5.5%
Other of 2 or more races	12.1%
White non-Hispanic	4.5%
Hispanic	14.3%
American Indian and Alaska Native	18.0%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2008-2012 American Community Survey 5-year estimates, Tables C27001B-I.

67% of uninsured children are from low-income families and are likely eligible for Medicaid or CHIP.²

Nebraska Medicaid average monthly Nebraska Medicaid expenditures **CHIP/Medicaid** eligible persons by category (SFY 2013) by category (SFY 2013) enrollment (SFY 2013) Medicaid (129,925) Blind/Disabled (15.3%) Blind/Disabled (44.7%) \$803,733,957 Aged (7.5%) Adults (13.2%) Children (64.0%) Aged (20.7%) \$372,963,484 Adults (7.5%) \$134,708,628 Children (27.1%) CHIP (31,803) \$488,051,097

Uninsured

Source: Division of Medicaid and Long-Term Care, Nebraska Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS).

Notes: "Children" category combines Medicaid and CHIP coverage. "Adults" are those aged 19-64 receiving Aid to Dependent Children, or temporary cash assistance through the state of Nebraska.

1. U.S. Census Bureau, 2013 American Community Survey 1-year estimates, Table B27003. 2. U.S. Census Bureau, 2013 American Community Survey 1-year estimates, Table B27016. 3. Financial and Program Analysis Unit, Nebraska Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS).

24 | KIDS COUNT IN NEBRASKA REPORT

Estimating mental health needs

Many children in Nebraska deal with behavioral health problems that may affect their ability to participate in normal childhood activities. The 2009-10 National Survey of Children with Special Health Care Needs (NS-CSHCN) estimated that 37,539 (8%) Nebraska children faced specified behavioral health disorders.

Anxiety: 9,263 ADD/ADHD: 15,870 Behavioral or conduct problems: 7,770 Depression: 4,636

Source: Data Resource Center for Child & Adolescent Health, childhealthdata.org.

Considered suicide in last 12 months (2013)		
Seriously considered suicide	12.1%	
Had suicide plan	9.8%	
Made suicide attempt	6.0%	

Source: Centers for Disease Control, Youth Risk Behavior Survey, 2013.

Community-based services and residential treatment (2013)

2,191 youth received mental health services.

539 youth received substance abuse services.

73 youth received dual services.

1,545 youth had "serious emotional disturbance."

Regional centers (2013)

69 males

received services at Hastings Regional Center, a chemical dependency program for youth from the Youth Rehabilitation & Treatment Center (YRTC) in Kearney.

24 males

received services from Lincoln Regional Center at the Whitehall Campus.

Source: Division of Behavioral Health, Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS).

29,294 Nebraska children received mental health and substance abuse services through Medicaid or CHIP in 2013.¹

20.6% of children 4 months to 5 years were at moderate to high risk of behavioral or developmental problems based on parents' specific concerns.²

70.7% of children needing mental health counseling actually received it.²

19.5% of teens felt sad or hopeless (everyday for 2+ weeks so that activity was stopped in last 12 months.)³

1. Financial and Program Analysis Unit, Department of Health and Human Services.

2. National Survey of Children's Health, 2011/12.

^{3.} Centers for Disease Control, Youth Risk Behavior Survey, 2013.

Health risks

Motor vehicle crashes and seat belt use*	2013
Rarely or never wore a seat belt	11.9%
In past 30 days, rode in a vehicle driven by someone who had been drinking alcohol	20.3%
In past 30 days, drove a motor vehicle after drinking alcohol	6.8%
In past 30 days, texted or emailed while driving a car or other vehicle	46.6%



Injuries and violence*	2013
In past 12 months, was physically hurt on purpose by boyfriend or girlfriend	7.6%
Were threatened or injured with a weapon on school property	6.4%
In past 12 months, was bullied on school property	20.8%
Ever been physically forced to have sexual intercourse	8.6%





In past 12 months, was in a physical fight: 20.1%

In past 12 month, was electronically bullied: 15.7%

*Representative of Nebraska public high school students. Source: Centers for Disease Control, Youth Risk Behavior Survey, 2013. 26 | KIDS COUNT IN NEBRASKA REPORT

Motor Vehicle Accidents

25 children died and 175 children suffered disabling injuries

in motor vehicle accidents in 2013.

Source: Nebraska Department of Roads.

Blood lead level testing (2013)

Exposure to lead may harm a child's brain and central nervous system. Even low blood lead concentrations can cause irreversible damage such as:

- Impaired physical and cognitive development
- Delayed development
- Behavioral problems
- Hearing loss
- Malnutrition

The Statewide Blood Lead Testing Plan has detailed guidance on recommendations for when children should have their blood tested for lead. The Centers for Disease Control uses a reference level of 5 micrograms per deciliter to identify children as having an elevated blood lead level.

In 2013: 35,518 were children tested.

685 had elevated blood lead levels

representing 1.9% of all children tested (an increase from 1.3% in 2012).

Source: Nebraska Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS).

Health risks

Alcohol and other drugs*1	2013
Ever used marijuana	23.6%
Ever used any form of cocaine	3.2%
Ever used inhalants to get high	7.0%
Ever used meth	2.0%
Ever used ecstasy or MDMA	3.2%
In past 12 months, offered, sold, or given an illegal drug by someone on school property	19.2%
Ever tried smoking	31.9%
Currently uses smokeless tobacco	7.7%



In past 30 days, had at least 1 drink of alcohol: 22.1%



In past 30 days, had 5 or more drinks in a row within a couple of hours: 13.6%



Ever took prescription drugs without a doctor's prescription: 10.4%



Currently smokes: 10.9%

Obesity, activity and eating habits



In past 7 days did not eat fruit or drink 100% fruit juice: $4.1\%^{*1}$



In past 7 days did not eat vegetables: 5.4%*1



Were overweight or obese according to CDC growth charts: $28.9\%^2$



In past 7 days did not participate in at least 60 minutes of physical activity on any day: 10.7%*¹

*Representative of Nebraska public high school students. 1. Centers for Disease Control, Youth Risk Behavior Survey, 2013.

2. National Survey of Children's Health, 2011/12.

Health risks

Adverse Childhood Experiences¹

Adverse childhood experiences (ACEs) are potentially traumatic events that can have negative, lasting effects on health and well-being.



Most common ACEs (2011/12)¹



Domestic Violence & Sexual Assault²

Nebraska's Network of Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault Programs includes 21 community-based. There are also 4 tribal programs.



Services provided to children served (2013)²



1. Child Trends, Adverse Childhood Experiences, National and State Level Prevalence, 2014.

2. Nebraska Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault Coalition.

28 | KIDS COUNT IN NEBRASKA REPORT

Health services



Medical home (2011/12)²

A patient-centered medical home is a primary care physician or provider that serves as a child's usual source of care. It is an important mechanism for coordination of all segments of health — physical, behavioral and oral.



Medical provider shortage

Medically underserved areas (MUA) or populations (MUP) are defined as those where residents may have access to too few primary care providers, have high infant mortality rates, have high poverty, and/or a high elderly population.

Health professional shortage areas are designated as having a shortage of primary medical care, dental or mental health providers.

Immunizations (2013)

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) aims for 90% of all children to receive the primary immunization series* by age 2.

79.0% of Nebraska children had received the series by age 2. This is an increase from last year's rate of 72.6% and higher than the national average of 70.4%

83.6% of children had a preventive medical visit in the past year.²

79.9% of children had a preventive dental visit in the past year.²

1. Shortage Designation, Health Resources and Services Administration, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Health Resources and Services Administration.

2. 2011/12 National Survey of Children's Health.

3. Immunization Program, Nebraska Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS).

*Series 4:3:1:3:3:1:4

Education

of Nebraska 3rd graders score proficient or better in reading.

Why does it matter?

A good education begins early. Access to high-quality early childhood and pre-kindergarten programs provides an important foundation for children as they move through their school years and into adulthood.

Children who are well educated are much more likely to become successful adults. Higher education is linked to higher income, higher job satisfaction, lower divorce rates and lower crime rates. By ensuring that all children have access to high-quality educational opportunities and closing the opportunity gap we are investing in the future of our communities, our state and our economy.

Additional supports for educationally vulnerable children — such as special education, English language learning programs and quality alternative education programs — help ensure that children with varying needs keep pace.

11.5% of high school students don't graduate on time.

Where are the data?

Head Start and Early Head Start	31
Early childhood education	32
Child care	33
Test scores - reading	34
Test scores - math	35
Test scores - science	36
Student characteristics	37
School absences	
Graduation/career	40

Head Start/Early Head Start

5,857 children were served by Head Start and Early Head Start in 2012/13.

148 pregnant women were served by Early Head Start in 2012/13.

Counties served by Head Start or Early Head Start grantees (2012/13)



There were **19** Head Start programs and **12** Early Head Start programs in Nebraska.

#	Grantee Name	Total Served	Early Head Start	Head Start
1	Boys and Girls Home of Nebraska	60	60	0
2	Blue Valley Community Action Partnership	323	90	233
3	Cass County Head Start/Plattsmouth Public Schools	140	0	140
4	Central Nebraska Community Services, Inc.	536	155	381
5	Community Action Partnership of Lancaster and Saunders Counties	688	140	548
6	Community Action Partnership of Mid-Nebraska	358	0	358
7	Community Action Partnership of Western Nebraska	415	52	363
8	Head Start Child & Family Development Program, Inc.	523	144	379
9	Midland Lutheran College/Dodge County Head Start	125	0	125
10	Northeast Nebraska Community Action Partnership	417	0	417
11	Northwest Community Action Partnership	267	63	204
12	Omaha Public Schools Head Start	1,013	0	1,013
13	Salvation Army Early Head Start	111	111	0
14	Sarpy County Cooperative Head Start	221	96	125
15	Southeast Nebraska Community Action	156	0	156
**	Omaha Tribe of Nebraska	101	0	101
**	Santee Sioux Council Tribal Head Start	35	0	35
**	Winnebago Tribe of Nebraska	90	0	90

Source: Federal Head Start PIR System.

* Dakota county is served by the Boys and Girls Home of Nebraska grantee and Northeast Nebraska Community Action Partnership

** Tribal programs. These programs are not depicted on the county map. Each of these counties (Knox and Thurston) is served by a tribal program and another grantee.

Early childhood education

Early Head Start/Head Start participants by age (2012/13)

Early Head Start/Head Start participants by race (2012/13)*



1 year old (7.4%)

2 years old (7.1%)

3 years old (31.7%)

4 years old (42.3%)

5 years old and older (0.9%)

Pregnant women (2.2%)



*32.2% of Head Start participants were Hispanic.

Source: Federal Head Start PIR System.

School-Based Preschool (2012/13)





Public School PreK Enrollment (1998/99 - 2012/13)



Early Development Network

The Early Development Network (EDN) serves families with children from birth to age 3 who have disabilities.



children were served by EDN in the 2013-2014 program year.*

Source: Special Education Office, Nebraska Department of Education. *One-day count of children taken on October 1, 2013.

Sixpence (2013/14)

Sixpence serves children birth to age 3 who are most at risk of failure in school and is funded through public and private dollars. There were **25** Sixpence programs in the state of Nebraska in the 2013/14 program year.





590 children

Source: Interdisciplinary Center for Program Evaluation, University of Nebraska Medical Center.

Child care

Children need a safe and quality environment while their parents work. Ensuring that caregivers are licensed is an important first step toward keeping children safe. This data shows counties with and without adequate licensed child care capacity.



Annual child care costs (2013)

Center-based care

Infant	\$9,100		
4 year old	\$7,800		
School Age	\$4,875		

Home-based care

Infant	\$6,760
4 year old	\$6,500
School Age	\$4,875

Source: Childcare Aware, Parents and the High Cost of Child Care: 2013 Report.

Child care subsidies (SFY 2013)

- There were **33,877** children in Nebraska who received child care subsidies in SFY 2013, for an average annual payment per child of **\$2,609**.
- An average of **18,221** children received a subsidy each month, for an average monthly payment per child of **\$404**.³
- The total state and federal funds spent for Child Care Subprogram 44, which includes child care subsidies, was \$89,248,810.⁴
- **4,127** of licensed and approved providers received subsidies.⁴
- About **52%** of licensed providers received child care subsidies.⁴

1. "Early Childhood Totals by Type and Capacity," Nebraska Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS).

2. Ibid.

- 3. Average annual and average monthly payments based on NFOCUS service expenditures, not total Child Care Program expenditures.
- 4. Nebraska Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS).

Test Scores - Reading



Source: Nebraska Department of Education, 2012-2013 State of the Schools Report, Nebraska State Accountability (NeSA) - Reading. 34 | KIDS COUNT IN NEBRASKA REPORT



Source: Nebraska Department of Education, 2012-2013 State of the Schools Report, Nebraska State Accountability (NeSA) - Math.

Test Scores- Science



Source: Nebraska Department of Education, 2012-2013 State of the Schools Report, Nebraska State Accountability (NeSA) - Science. 36 | KIDS COUNT IN NEBRASKA REPORT
Student characteristics

341,458 children were enrolled in public or nonpublic school in 2012/13.



Public school membership by grade (2012/13)



133,960 public and nonpublic students were eligible for free and reduced meals in 2012/13.

MEAL PROGRAM PARTICIPATION					
Breakfast Lunch Total					
251	371	453			
districts	districts	districts			
691	870	1,245			
schools	schools	schools			

Note: Includes state operated Special Purpose School

MEAL PROGRAM FUNDING						
	Breakfast Lunch					
Federal	\$13,345,171	\$55,567,138*				
State**	\$362,841	\$170,148				
Total	\$69,445,298					

Percentage of public and private students eligible for free and reduced school meals (2003/04 - 2012/13)



*Federal lunch reimbursement includes 6 cents.

** State match for free/reduced meals is only available to public schools. 0%

Note: Both public and private school students are eligible for free and reduced school meals.

Source of all data on this page: Nebraska Department of Education.

Student characteristics

14.7% of students were classified as Special Education (2012/13).

15.0% of students were classified as High Ability Learners (2012/13).

School mobility rate per 1,000 public school students (2003/04 - 2012/13)

School Mobility is a measure of how many students are transferring in and out of school within a school year. Higher school mobility is correlated with lower achievement.



Public school cost per pupil in 2013 dollars (2003/04 - 2012/13)



Percentage of home school students to total census count (2003/04 - 2012/13)



Percentage of students who were English language learners (2003/04 - 2012/13)



School absences

881 (0.25%) students in public and nonpublic schools were EXPELLED during the 2012/13 school year.

14,043 (4.1%) students in public and nonpublic schools were SUSPENDED during the 2012/13 school year.



Public school absences (2012/13)

56,011 (19.4%) students were absent **10-19** days

10,383 (3.6%) students were absent **20-29** days

6,575 (2.3%) students were absent **30+** days

Source of all data on this page: Nebraska Department of Education.

Graduation/Career

14,956 (73%) of graduates in 2012/13 enrolled in college.¹

17,745 students took the ACT during the 2012/13 school year with average composite score of 21.5 (20.9 nationally).²

16,000 (9%) of young adults age 18-24 were not attending school, not working, and had no degree beyond high school.³

96,000 (52%) of young adults age 18-24 were enrolled in or completed college.³

6,000 (6%) of teens 16-19 were not in school and not working.³

8,902 students completed a career education program of study and exited high school in 2012/13.⁴

 National Student Clearinghouse and Nebraska Department of Education.
ACT[®] and Nebraska Department of Education.
Kids Count Data Center 2012 Data.
Nebraska Department of Education 40 | KIDS COUNT IN NEBRASKA REPORT 22,641 students completed high

school in 2012/13.

Cohort four year graduation rates by race and ethnicity (2013)



90.28% 2013 extended 5th year

graduation rate* (an increase from 88.5% from the 2013 cohort four year graduation rate).

> *Extended 5th year graduation rate is the percent of students who graduated within 5 years rather than the standard 4. Source: Nebraska Department of Education.

Child Welfare

Every day in Nebraska,

iiii

Why does it matter?

Keeping our children and youth safe is essential to their healthy development. Strengthening families so we prevent child abuse and neglect and acting quickly but thoughtfully to guarantee children have a safe, permanent, and loving home are all key to ensuring every child can build a successful, independent adult life.

This section will provide data on Nebraska's child welfare system. Data include child maltreatment, out-of-home care, in-home services, court and non-court involved children in the child welfare system, adoption and guardianships.

over 12 children experience some form of maltreatment.

Children of color make up 31% of Nebraska's children, but make up 45% of children in out-of-home care.

Where are the data?

Calls to Child Abuse & Neglect Hotline	42
Substantiated maltreatment	43
Court and noncourt entries to care	44
Placement of state wards	45
Out-of-home care	46
Placement stability	47
Exiting the child welfare system	48

Child maltreatment

Federal law defines child maltreatment, otherwise known as abuse and neglect, as "any act or failure to act that results in death, serious physical or emotional harm, sexual abuse or exploitation, or any act or failure to act that represents an imminent risk of serious harm."

In Nebraska, the vast majority of maltreatment is physical neglect, which is a failure to meet a child's basic needs like food, shelter, and clothing.

Why should we be concerned?

Exposure to childhood abuse and neglect hinders children's healthy social, emotional, and cognitive development. If untreated, toxic stress makes it more likely that children will adopt risky behaviors which negatively impact their future health and success. Given the impacts, we need to strengthen families to prevent abuse and neglect whenever possible, and take swift, thoughtful action to ensure that all children grow up in loving homes.

32,037 Do you know a child reports of who is being 32,037 reports maltreatment maltreated? were made of alleged maltreatment were made (5.9% decrease) Call the Child Abuse & to the Child Abuse and Neglect Hotline at 12.172 Neglect Hotline in 2013. 1-800-652-1999. calls were assessed by DHHS and/or law enforcement (1.3% increase) 2,892 7,878 reports were reports were substantiated unfounded (6.2% increase) (2.4% increase) Safety assessments 1.601 12,401 children determined children determined unsafe safe 84 1.177 340 children determined children determined children determined unsafe and non-court unsafe and involved and family did unsafe and court involved non-court involved not elect to participate in voluntary services

Child abuse & neglect reports

Source of all data on this page: Nebraska Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS). 42 | KIDS COUNT IN NEBRASKA REPORT

Child maltreatment



higher than the total number of children who experienced maltreatment.

It is important to note that only maltreatment cases that were reported are included in this report. The actual incidence of maltreatment may be higher than what is reported here.

4,657 kids experienced maltreatment in 2013, an increase from 4,306 kids in 2012.

Number of child maltreatment victims per 1,000 children (2006-2013)



Rate was created by using the total number of children 0-17 years. U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey 1-year estimates, Table CP05.

Entries

How do children enter our child welfare system?





Source of all data on this page: Nebraska Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS). 44 | KIDS COUNT IN NEBRASKA REPORT

6,584 kids

entered the child welfare system in 2013.

3,147 (48%) were court involved

(a decrease from 57% in 2012). 3,437 (52%) were not court involved

(an increase from 43% in 2012).



761 kids involved in the child welfare system without court involvement later became state wards in 2013.

Court entries





*This number may include some duplicate children who left the system and reentered in the same year. **761 children moved from non-court to court involvement, these children are included in both values. ***Point in Time December 31, 2013.

Source of all data on this page: Nebraska Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS).

12,034 kids* were involved in the child welfare system in 2013.

8,447 (66%) were court involved.** 4,348 (34%) from 1,939 families were non-court involved.**

Court vs. non-court

Children who are removed from their homes often experience traumatic and long-term consequences. For years, Nebraska had one of the highest rates of out-of-home placement in the entire country. More recently, DHHS has been seeking ways to keep families together while ensuring that safety can be maintained. This has been reflected in data from recent years in how families enter the system, with more and more families receiving services without judicial oversight ("non-court") when appropriate. The majority of non-court cases allow children to remain in their own homes, where they can continue to receive a stable source of love and care from their families.

In 2013, non-court cases accounted for approximately 52% of all entries into the child welfare system. 98% of these families were able to receive services while keeping their children safe in their own homes, compared to just over 20% of the children who remained in their homes once there was court involvement.

Placement of state wards

State wards (court involved) receiving in-home services by



Children receiving in-home and out-of-home services by race & ethnicity (2013)



Youth receiving in-home services by adjudication (2013)



Youth in out-of-home care by legal status (2013)



Sources: Nebraska Department of Health and Human Services. Population data from U.S. Census Bureau Population Estimates, July 1, 2013. 46 | KIDS COUNT IN NEBRASKA REPORT

Out-of-home placements

Where are the kids in out-of-home care?



Available foster placements (2013)

There is a foster home available for every 1.25 children that need it.

1,770

licensed foster homes (including 142 licensed relative homes) (a decrease from 1,783 in 2012)

199

approved kinship homes

839

approved relative homes

1,038 total kin homes

(a decrease from 1,179 in 2012)

When children must be removed from their homes, it is important to ensure that their placement reduces the trauma of removal and promotes the well-being of the child. Congregate care, which places children in an institutional setting such as a group home or detention center, should be used minimally for out-of-home placements. Research shows that placement in a familylike setting provides children with improved long-term outcomes in physical and emotional health. Although congregate care may be necessary for some children, for many others, it does not allow children to maintain the strong relationships with trusted adults that are essential for successful development.

Placement stability

Multiple placements

The Nebraska Department of Health and Human Services counts placement changes when, for example, a child moves from one foster care setting to another. However, the following scenarios are considered temporary living situations and therefore are not counted as placement changes:

- Runaway episodes, unless the child returns to a different foster home;
- Trial home visits;
- Day or summer camps;
- Respite care;

- Hospitalizations for medical treatment, acute psychiatric episodes or diagnosis;
- Visitation with a sibling, relative, or other caretaker; or
- Initial placement in hospitals or locked facilities.



Multiple placements by age (2013)

Length of time in care (2013)



Length of time in care by race/ethnicity (2013)



Exiting child welfare

Exiting the system

Once in the child welfare system, children should be on a track toward achieving permanency in a safe, loving environment. Most of the time that means they will be reunified with their family and return home. Other times, permanency may be achieved through adoption or guardianship.







2,878 children exited out-of-home care in 2013. 2,421 children exited non-court care in 2013.

151 youth were in out-of-home care when they reached their 19th birthday in 2013.

61% were HHS wards, 29% were OJS wards, 10% were both HHS and OJS wards.

515 children were adopted in 2013.

499 adoptions were subsidized.

Mean time to adoption: 32 months

Mean time from becoming free for adoption to adoption: 8 months

189 children exited into guardianships in 2013, 134 of which were subsidized.

Source of all data on this page: Nebraska Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS).

Juvenile Justice

10,534 youths were arrested in 2013, a decrease from 12,207 in 2012.

Why does it matter?

Keeping our children and youth safe is essential to their healthy development. Responding to the troubling behaviors of children and youth in developmentally appropriate ways is key to ensuring every child can build a successful, independent adult life.

This section will provide data on Nebraska's juvenile justice systems. Data include juvenile arrests, detention, probation, diversion, Youth Rehabilitation and Treatment Centers (YRTCs), and juveniles in adult court and lock-ups.

\$5,018,028 were allocated by the Nebraska legislature to the Community-based Juvenile Services Aid Program in 2013.

This is an increase of 75% in funding to Nebraska communities.

Where are the data?

Youth arrests	51
Disproportionate minority contact	52
Diversion	53
Probation	54
Detention	55
Youth Rehabilitation and Treatment Centers	56
Youth treated as adults	57

Arrests

Youth arrests (2013)

Туре	Male	Female	Total	% of total
Violent	150	24	174	1.7%
Status Offe	nses:			
Runaway	195	135	330	3.1%
Curfew	133	66	199	1.9%
Alcohol	637	464	1,101	10.5%
Property	2,307	1,146	3,453	32.8%
Person	1,137	534	1,671	15.9%
Drug- Related	966	272	1,238	11.8%
Public Order	412	240	652	6.2%
Weapons	95	6	101	1.0%
DUI	86	41	127	1.2%
Other	1,045	443	1,488	14.1%
Total	7,163	3,371	10,534	

10,534 youths were arrested in 2013. Of those arrests, only 174 or 1.7% were for violent crimes.





NOTE: ETHNICITY DATA IS NOT AVAILABLE.

Source of all data on this page: Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice. KIDS COUNT IN NEBRASKA REPORT | 51

Disproportionate minority contact

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC)

Despite the promise of equal protection under the law, national research has shown that youth of color are overrepresented in the juvenile justice system. This overrepresentation often is a product of decisions made at early points of contact with the juvenile justice system. Where racial differences are found to exist, they tend to accumulate as youth are processed deeper into the system.¹ Unfortunately, our juvenile justice system lacks uniform ways of collecting data on race and ethnicity. Although disparities exist across system points, different agencies have different ways of counting Hispanic youth in particular. Additional information on the race and ethnicity of youth arrested, on probation, and in adult prison are available elsewhere in this section.



Youth interaction with the justice system by race (2013)

1. "And Justice for Some: Differential Treatment of Youth of Color in the Juvenile Justice System," National Council on Crime and Delinquency, (January 2007).

i. The "Youth population" in this figure comprises youth in Nebraska ages 10 through 17 in 2012, according to the 2012 American Community Survey Tables B01001-B01001B-I. "Other" includes two or more races and other.

 $ii.\ Nebraska\ Crime\ Commission.\ Douglas\ County\ Juvenile\ Assessment\ Center.$

iii. SFY 2013/14 Annual Reports for Kearney and Geneva Youth Rehabilitation and Treatment Centers. Other represents 19.4% Hispanic youths and 4.4% of another race.

iv. Analysis based on data from individual facilities including Lancaster County Detention Center, North East Nebraska Juvenile Services, Scotts Bluff County Detention Center, Douglas County Youth Center, and the Patrick J. Thomas Juvenile Justice Center.

v. JUSTICE, Administrative Office of the Courts.

52 | KIDS COUNT IN NEBRASKA REPORT



Juvenile Diversion Program

Pretrial diversion programs are based on the belief that many juvenile cases are better handled outside the courthouse doors. These voluntary programs are designed to provide eligible youth an opportunity to demonstrate rehabilitation and make things right with the community, while reducing the cost and burden to taxpayers and courts that come with formal charges being filed. When a young offender is referred to a diversion program, screening tools and assessments may be used to identify a set of individualized goals for the child. Program components can include, but are not limited to, community service, drug or alcohol treatment, individual and/or family counseling, curfew, educational services, victim/offender mediation, and payment of any restitution. By successfully completing his or her diversion plan, a juvenile has the opportunity to avoid formal charges in the court and get all record of the matter sealed. By diverting these cases from the court system, counties save significant dollars, making successful diversion programs a win-win.



Source: Nebraska Crime Commission. Douglas County Juvenile Assessment Center.

Youth involved in probation (2013)

3,786 youths in all were supervised on probation. **2,947** youths were placed on probation: 322 for felony offenses; **1,840** for misdemeanors and 785 for status offenses. **1,667*** youths were released from probation.

	Placed on probation for		Placed on p	Placed on probation for Placed on		robation for	Released from probation			
	felony offenses		misdemeanor offenses		status offenses		Successful		Unsuccessful	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Gender										
Male	275	85.4%	1,248	67.8%	418	53.2%	820	62.3%	233	72.8%
Female	47	14.6%	592	32.2%	367	46.8%	496	37.7%	87	27.2%
Race										
American Indian/ Alaska Native	10	3.1%	74	4.0%	27	3.4%	32	2.4%	10	3.1%
Asian	1	0.3%	14	0.8%	14	1.8%	11	0.8%	1	0.3%
Black/ African-American	80	24.8%	283	15.4%	163	20.8%	205	15.6%	54	16.9%
White	165	51.2%	1,009	54.8%	361	46.0%	721	54.8%	149	46.6%
Other	66	20.5%	460	25.0%	220	28.0%	347	26.4%	106	33.1%
Ethnicity										
Hispanic	65	20.2%	472	25.7%	220	28.0%	339	25.8%	100	31.3%
Non-Hispanic	257	79.8%	1,368	74.3%	565	72.0%	977	74.2%	220	68.8%
Age										
14 and under	62	19.3%	371	20.2%	121	15.4%	177	13.4%	29	9.1%
15 and 16	154	47.8%	890	48.4%	381	48.5%	561	42.6%	156	48.8%
17	106	32.9%	579	31.5%	283	36.1%	578	43.9%	135	42.2%
Total	322	10.9%	1,840	62.4%	785	26.6%	1,316	80.4%	320	19.6%

Mean length of time on probation (2013)**:

10 months

Minimum: less than 1 month

Maximum: 52 months

Source: Nebraska Office of Probation Administration.

*31 youths were released from probation for other reasons are not included in the successful and unsuccessful numbers. **For juveniles discharged in 2013.

Significant changes in the juvenile justice system

With the passage of LB561 in 2013, Nebraska's juvenile justice system underwent significant changes. Major provisions of the bill sought to reduce the use of incarceration and detention, while enhancing diversion practices. Under the new bill, supervision of juveniles with status or delinquency offenses shifted from DHHS to the Office of Probation Administration. Previously, youth with status or delinquency offenses were made state wards through the courts in order to access services. This represents an important step forward in prioritizing rehabilitation and family involvement in Nebraska. We can also expect some child welfare data on state wards to reflect some of these changes as we continue to work towards ensuring that youths can access in-home and community-based services.

Detention

Youths ages 17 & under held in juvenile detention facilities* (2013)

	Lancaste Detentio (Lancaste	er County n Center er County)	Norti Nebi Juvenile (Madisoi	h East raska Services n County)	Scotts Bli Detentio (Scotts Bli	uff County In Center uff County)	Douglas Co Cei (Douglas	ounty Youth Iter 5 County)	Patrick J. The Justice (Sarpy	omas Juvenile Center County)
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Female	229	34.0%	153	28.0%	54	24.8%	234	25.1%	43	29.1%
Male	444	66.0%	394	72.0%	158	72.5%	698	74.9%	105	70.9%
White	359	53.3%	316	57.8%	92	42.2%	246	26.4%	99	66.9%
Black/	177	26.3%	35	6.4%	7	3.2%	468	50.2%	21	14.2%
African-American										
American Indian/ Alaska Native	23	3.4%	37	6.8%	37	17.0%	30	3.2%	3	2.0%
Asian/Pacific Islander	8	1.2%	0	0.0%	1	0.5%	6	0.6%	1	0.7%
Hispanic	98	14.6%	159	29.1%	81	37.2%	181	19.4%	24	16.2%
Other	8	1.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%
12 and under			16	2.9%	6	2.8%	23	2.5%	0	0.0%
13-14	Averag	ge age:	110	20.1%	42	19.3%	162	17.4%	23	15.5%
15-16	16 ye	ars**	229	41.9%	91	41.7%	401	43.0%	62	41.9%
17+			182	33.3%	79	36.2%	346	37.1%	63	42.6%
Times Detained										
1	563	83.7%	479	87.6%	176	80.7%	N	ot	100	67.6%
2	87	12.9%	54	9.9%	29	13.3%	Available***		37	25.0%
3+	23	3.4%	14	2.5%	13	6.0%			11	7.4%
Total count	67	73	54	47	2:	18	93	32	14	48
Secure****	59	98	25	57	2:	18	93	35	(0
Staff Secure****	22	18	29	90	()	23	39	14	48

Sources: Individual detention centers.

*Includes secure and staff secure detention.

**Lancaster County Detention Center is unable to pull individual year ages, the average age of youth in the facility is displayed.

*** Douglas County Youth Center's data system does not provide data on times detained for 2013.

**** Youth may go back and forth between secure and staff secure several times during the year. As a result these two values may sum much higher than the total number of youth detained at each facility. Scotts Bluff Detention Center only houses secure, Patrick J. Thomas Juvenile Justice Center only houses staff secure.

Youth rehabilitation and treatment centers (YRTCs)

		L

Type of offenses

at Geneva

Person 34.8%

Property 30.3%

Probation 3.4%

Public Order 21.3%

Drug 10.1%

Geneva	Data indicators for state fiscal year (SFY) 2013-2014	Kearney
89 girls	Number admitted for treatment	203 boys
59	Average daily population	111
7.0 months	Average length of stay	6.8 months
15 years	Average age at admission	16 years
\$347.55	Average per diem cost, per youth	\$271.90
6.1%	Recidivism Rate	17.0%
White, non-Hispanic: 39.3% Black, non-Hispanic: 13.5% Other Hispanic: 6.7% American Indian: 3.4% Other: 22.5% White, Hispanic: 14.6%	Race and ethnicity breakdown	White, non-Hispanic: 50.7% Black, non-Hispanic: 20.2% Other Hispanic: 22.7% American Indian: 4.9% Other: 1.5%
99 girls released Parole: 71.7% Probation: 26.3% Reinstated: 2.0% Tribal Population: 0.0% Institutional Discharge: 0.0%	Releases	245 boys released Parole: 61.2% Probation: 31.4% Reinstated: 0.0% Tribal Population: 1.0% Institutional Discharge: 7.9%

YRTC admissions (2005-2014)



Sources: SFY 2013/14 Annual Reports for Kearney and Geneva Youth Rehabilitation and Treatment Centers. Note: The 2013/14 YRTC Annual Reports were released early, in time for this report, as a result the 2012/13 data was not reported in a Kids Count publication. This data can be accessed at dhhs.ne.gov/children_family_services.

56 | KIDS COUNT IN NEBRASKA REPORT

Adult court filings of juveniles by gender and age (2013)

	Youth tried in adult court					
Male	1,516	69.2%				
Female	610	27.8%				
Unknown	66	3.0%				
12 and under	12	0.5%				
13-15	210	9.6%				
16-17	1,970	89.9%				
Total youth	2,192					

Source: JUSTICE, Administrative Office of the Courts.

Youth in adult prisons and jails

Young brains are different

There are fundamental differences between the culpability of youth and adults who have committed crimes. Adolescents do not have the same capacity as adults to understand longterm consequences, control impulses, handle stress, and resist peer pressure. Brain-development research has revealed the systems of the brain which govern "impulse control, planning and thinking ahead are still developing well beyond age 18."²

While youth must accept responsibility and the consequences of their actions, our justice systems must acknowledge the difference between youth and adults to promote public safety and to improve the odds of success for youth in the system.

Youth treated as adults

In 2013, 2,192 cases were filed against Nebraska youth in adult court—down from 3,854 in 2012.

Research consistently indicates that treating children as adults in the justice system neither works as a deterrent, nor does it prevent or reduce violence. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention has found that the "transfer of youth to the adult criminal system typically results in greater subsequent crime, including violent crime" among youth in the adult system.¹

In 2013, 128 youths were processed through the adult system and housed in a Nebraska adult detention facility. This is a decrease from 229 youths in 2012. An individual may be admitted more than once and each event would be counted separately.³

Youth in a Nebraska adult detention facility by race (2013)³



In addition, an average of 66 youths per month were housed in a Nebraska Correctional Youth Facility (NCYF) in 2013. This is a decrease from 74 per month in 2012. NCYF houses youths up to age 21 and 10 months.⁴

^{1. &}quot;Effects on Violence of Laws and Policies Facilitating the Transfer of Youth from the Juvenile to the Adult Justice System," Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, Vol. 56, No. RR-9, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, www.cdc.gov.

^{2. &}quot;Less Guilty by Reason of Adolescence," MacArthur Foundation Research Network on Adolescent Development and Juvenile Justice, Issue Brief No. 3, www.adjj.org.

^{3.} Nebraska Crime Commission Statistical Analysis Center.

^{4.} Nebraska Department of Corrections 2013 Annual Report.

Economic Stability



Nearly 1 in 5 Nebraska kids live in poverty

Only 83% of income needed to make ends meet can be made at minimum wage.

Our values

Our children, communities and state are stronger when all of Nebraska's families are able to participate fully in the workforce and establish financial security.

Achieving economic stability occurs when parents have the education, skills and opportunity to access work that pays a living wage. In turn, parents who are economically stable can provide their children housing, child care, health care, food and transportation.

Public assistance provides a vital safety net for families who are unable to provide these necessities on their own. Well-structured public assistance programs gradually reduce assistance while supporting families moving toward financial independence.

This section will provide data on Nebraska poverty and utilization of public programs including cash assistance, homelessness assistance and nutrition assistance, employment and income.

Where are the data?

Poverty rates & tax credits	59
Making ends meet	60
Aid to Dependent Children	61
Housing and homelessness	62
Hunger	63
Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program	64
WIC & CSFP	65
Employment & income	66

Poverty rates & tax credits

Family tax credits 2013

138,770 families claimed \$313,299,000 in federal Earned Income Tax Credit (EITC).

137,030 families claimed \$31,084,000 in state EITC.

151,840 families claimed \$207,234,000 in federal Child Tax Credit.

54,410 families claimed \$27,568,000 in federal Child and Dependent Care Credit.

58,740 families claimed \$12,935,000 in state Child and Dependent Care Credit.

Source: Nebraska Department of Revenue.

Family structure and poverty





of children living in

single-father house-

holds are in poverty

42.4% of children living in single-mother households are in poverty



8.8% of children living in married-couple household are in poverty Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2013 American Community Survey, Table B17006. In the United States, there is an ongoing relationship between race and ethnicity and poverty, with people of color experiencing higher rates of poverty. Poverty rates in Nebraska continue to reveal significant disparities based on race and ethnicity. These disparities grew out of a history of systemic barriers to opportunity for people of color and still have a presence in our society and institutions today. We need to continue working to address these barriers in order to ensure that all children have the best opportunity to succeed.

Nebraska poverty rates (2009 and 2013)						
	2009	2013	% change			
Poverty rate for children	15.2%	17.7%	↑ 16.1%			
Poverty rate for families	14.4%	14.6%	↑ 1.7%			
Poverty rate for all persons	12.3%	13.2%	↑ 6.8%			

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2009 & 2013 American Community Surveys, Tables B17001, B17010 and B17001, respectively.

Nebraska poverty rates by race and ethnicity* (2008-2012)						
Child poverty rate						
Race	(17 and under)	Overall poverty rate				
White non-Hispanic	10.7%	9.4%				
Black or African American	44.9%	32.5%				
American Indian and Alaska Native	42.2%	38.2%				
Asian/Pacific Islander	15.6%	16.2%				
Some Other Race	32.1%	24.7%				
Two or More Races	27.2%	25.0%				
Hispanic or Latino	31.9%	25.4%				

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2008-2012 American Community Survey 5-year estimates, Tables B17001B - B17001I.

Making ends meet

Making ends meet

Nebraskans pride themselves on being hard-working people. In 2013, 74.9% of children in our state had all available parents in the workforce.¹ Unfortunately, having high labor-force participation doesn't always translate into family economic stability.

The chart at right illustrates the gap between low-wage earnings and the amount needed to provide for a two-parent family with two children. It assumes that both parents work full-time (40 hours a week), year round (52 weeks per year). That means no vacation, no sick time, just work.

Minimum wage in Nebraska is \$7.25 an hour.^{2*} If both parents work at minimum wage, their monthly income will be \$2,513. This puts them just above the federal poverty level of \$1,920.

The federal poverty level doesn't describe what it takes for working families to make ends meet. For that we turn to the Family Economic Self-Sufficiency Standard (FESS). The FESS uses average costs, like fair median rent or the average price of a basic menu of food, to calculate what a family needs to earn to meet its basic needs without any form of private or public assistance. It does not include luxuries like dining out or saving for the future.

For a two-parent, two-child family of any age, the FESS for Nebraska is \$3,028 a month.³ That requires an hourly wage of \$8.74 per parent.

1. U.S. Census Bureau, 2013 American Community Survey, Table B23008.

2013 Federal Poverty Level Guidelines

2. United States Department of Labor, "Minimum Wage Laws in the States - January 1, 2012," http://www.dol.gov.

3. FESS was calculated using an average of 2010 figures for a two-adult, two-child family, adjusted for 2013 inflation. Data used to calculate information is courtesy of Nebraska Appleseed Center for Law in the Public Interest. For more information, please see the Family Bottom Line Report: www.voicesforchildren.com/familybottomline.

*Minimum wage will increase to \$8.00/hour starting January 1, 2015 and \$9.00/hour start-



\$7.25 hourly (per adult)

20101000101	Loron Cy Loron	auruonnoo						
Program Eligibility		Child Care Subsidy (non-ADC)	SNAP		CSFP WIC Free/Reduced Price Meals	Kids Connection		ACA Exchange Tax Credits
Family Size	100%	130%	133%	150%	185%	200%	300%	400%
1	\$11,490	\$14,937	\$15,282	\$17,235	\$21,257	\$22,980	\$34,470	\$45,960
2	\$15,510	\$20,163	\$20,628	\$23,265	\$28,694	\$31,020	\$46,530	\$62,040
3	\$19,530	\$25,389	\$25,975	\$29,295	\$36,131	\$39,060	\$58,590	\$78,120
4	\$23,550	\$30,615	\$31,322	\$35,325	\$43,568	\$47,100	\$70,650	\$94,200
5	\$27,570	\$35,841	\$36,668	\$41,355	\$51,005	\$55,140	\$82,710	\$110,280
6	\$31,590	\$41,067	\$42,015	\$47,385	\$58,442	\$63,180	\$94,770	\$126,360
7	\$35,610	\$46,293	\$47,361	\$53,415	\$65,879	\$71,220	\$106,830	\$142,440
8	\$39,630	\$51,5196	\$52,708	\$59,445	\$73,316	\$79,260	\$118,890	\$158,520

Source: Georgetown University Health Policy Institute: Center for Children and Families.

*For families with more than 8 people, add \$4,020 to 100% for each additional member.

60 | KIDS COUNT IN NEBRASKA REPORT

Aid to Dependent Children

Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) (2013) ADC recipients by age (SFY 2013)



14,350 Average monthly number of children receiving ADC.

7,213 Average monthly number of families receiving ADC.

\$326.17 Average monthly ADC payment per family.

\$28,230,380 Total ADC payments (SFY 2013) (Includes both state and federal funds).



Is Nebraska's safety net catching families in need?

Aid to Dependent Children (ADC), Nebraska's cash assistance program, is intended to support very low-income families with children struggling to pay for basic needs. According to the Nebraska Department of Health and Human Services, ADC payments are often the only form of income for participating families.¹

The chart below explores whether ADC adequately reaches children and families in need. The number of children in poverty and extreme poverty over time is compared with the number of children receiving ADC. The gaps between extreme poverty and ADC enrollment suggest that Nebraska's safety net has not kept pace with growing needs.

Children receiving ADC vs. children in poverty and extreme poverty (2006-2013)



1. "Aid to Dependent Children," Financial Services, Operations, Nebraska Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS).

2. U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey 1-year estimates, Table B17024.

KIDS COUNT IN NEBRASKA REPORT | 61

Source: Financial Services, Operations, Nebraska Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS).

Housing and homelessness

Homeownership

Homeownership provides a sense of stability for children and communities.



Percent of children living in homes that are owned (2009-2013)¹

Homelessness

The Nebraska Homeless Assistance Program (NHAP) serves individuals who are homeless or near homeless. Not all homeless people receive services.

In 2013, NHAP served:

15,335 homeless individuals.

3,560 homeless children ages 18 and under.

19,486 individuals at risk of homelessness. 31,000 (7%) children

live in high-poverty areas.²

Why does it matter?

Kids who live in areas with a high poverty concentration—regardless of their own economic circumstances—are at increased risk of having problems in school, getting involved with gangs or other negative peer groups, and failing to attain successful employment.

122,000 (26%) children

live in households with a high housing cost burden. $^{\scriptscriptstyle 3}$

Why does it matter?

When rent or mortgage payments make up 30% or more of a family's income, the family is likely to struggle to afford other basic needs. This is particularly true for low-income families.

Source: Nebraska Management Information System HMIS.

1. U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey 1-year estimates, Table B25115.

2. U.S. Census Bureau, 2008-2012 American Community Survey 5-year estimates. High-poverty areas are defined as those where 30% or more of the local population are poor.

3. Ibid. Families with high housing cost burdens spend more than 30% of their pre-tax income on housing.

Hunger



1 in 8 Nebraska households don't know where their next meal is coming from.¹



Food insecure households in Nebraska (2005-2013)

With poverty rates remaining high in recent years, it is not surprising that many families with children struggle to put food on the table. Approximately 104,328 households in Nebraska were food insecure in 2013—meaning they didn't know where their next meal was coming from at some point during the last year.²

1. "Household Food Security in the United States in 2013," USDA, http://www.ers.usda.gov. 2. lbid.

Source: National and State Program Data, Food Research & Action Center, USDA, Household Food Security in the United States in 2013.

Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program

The Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) is one of the most effective anti-poverty programs in the United States. It provides nutrition assistance to low-income individuals and families through benefits that can be used to purchase food at grocery stores, farmers markets and other places where groceries are sold.

 In Nebraska in 2012, SNAP moved 10,184 households above the poverty line.¹

Average number of children enrolled in SNAP in June (2004-2013)



SNAP participants by age (June 2013)

SNAP participants by race (June 2013)



Sources: Financial Services, Operations, Nebraska Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS), 2013 American Community Survey 1-year population estimate.

1. Characteristics of the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Households: Fiscal Year 2012, USDA, Food Nutrition Services, The Office of Policy Support.

WIC & CSFP

Women, Infants, and Children (WIC) (2013)

Of the monthly average **39,478***

WIC participants in 2013:

- 9,064 were women;
- 9,352 were infants; and
- 21,062 were children

106 clinics in **78 counties** report participating in WIC.

36.0% of babies born in 2013 were enrolled in WIC.

Average monthly cost (2013)				
Women	\$45.32			
Children	\$44.43			
Infants	\$143.99			

More than 1 in 3 new babies were enrolled in WIC in 2013

The Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children—known as WIC—aims to improve the health of low-income pregnant, postpartum and breastfeeding women, infants and children up to age 5 who are at nutritional risk. The program provides nutritious foods to supplement diets, information on healthy eating, breastfeeding promotion and support and referrals to health care.

Research has shown that the WIC program has a positive impact on the health of low-income mothers and babies by improving birth outcomes, improving infant feeding practices and positively impacting children's diet and overall nutrition.

Commodity Supplemental Food Program (CSFP) (2013)

Eligibility for the USDA Commodity Supplemental Food Program (CSFP) includes women who are pregnant, breastfeeding or postpartum, families with infants, children up to age six and the elderly. Participants must be at or below 185% of poverty. Each year, the number of individuals served and funds allocated are determined by the USDA. Individuals cannot receive CSFP if they are enrolled in WIC.

The program provides surplus commodity foods such as non-fat dry milk, cheese, canned vegetables and fruits, bottled juices, pasta, rice, dry beans, peanut butter, infant formula and cereal.

625 Monthly average number of women, infants and children served by CSFP

7,500 Monthly average number of food packages distributed

93 Number of counties served

55 Number of distribution sites

Note: Starting in 2014, the Commodity Supplemental Food Program (CSFP) no longer serves women, infants and children. The program will continue to serve the elderly. As a result, this is the last year CSFP data will be reported in Kids Count.

Source: Nebraska Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS). * This data reflects average participation per month during the fiscal year.

Employment & income

Parental employment can have implications for child well-being. Being unemployed or underemployed can impact a parent's ability to provide for their family financially and can be a source of household stress. The number of children with all available parents in the workforce tells us that there are significant numbers of children receiving care by someone other than a parent. Median income gives us a benchmark of how Nebraska families with children of different compositions are faring.

All available parents in workforce				
All Children Children Under 18 Under 6				
2009	79.4%	75.1%		
2010	78.0%	75.2%		
2011	76.8%	73.6%		
2012	76.7%	72.6%		
2013	74.9%	70.2%		

Nebraska unemployment and underemployment rate (2004-2013)



Source: American Community Survey 1-year estimates, Table B23008.

Median income for families with children (2013)				
All Families	\$64,763	Female Householder (no husband)	\$24,609	
Married Couple	\$81,531	Male Householder (no wife)	\$37,801	

Source: American Community Survey 1-year averages, Table B19126.

Median income for families with children (2005-2013)



Child support

Custodial parents who do not receive child support payments they are owed by noncustodial parents may seek assistance from the Department of Health and Human Services. Assistance is provided by Child Support Enforcement (CSE).

107,409 cases received CSE assistance, this is **70.7**% of child support cases in Nebraska.

100,531 were non-ADC cases.*

6,878 were ADC cases.*

\$215,691,820 Amount of child support collected through CSE

\$215,316,965 Amount of child support disbursed through CSE

Source: Nebraska Department of Revenue. * If the custodial parent is receiving ADC, the state is entitled to collect child support from the non-custodial parent as reimbursement.

County data

About county data

This edition of the county indicators include the most current available data, comparison data from five years ago or the 2000 census, and a rate or percentage based on population or change as relevant.



Map of Nebraska Counties

Where are the data?

Total population	68
Children 19 and under	69
Children under 5	70
Children 10-17 years	71
Children: White Non-Hispanic	72
Children: Hispanic	73
Children: Black/African-American	74
Children: American Indian/Alaska Native	75
Children: Asian/Pacific Islander	76
Children: 2 or more races	77
Percent children 17 & under in poverty	78
Percent children under 6 in poverty	79
Percent children of color in poverty	80
Children under 6 with all available parents working.	81
Total births	82

Births to mothers ages 10-17	83
Low birth weight births	84
Children without health insurance	85
Children enrolled in Medicaid or CHIP	86
Family Bottom Line full-time hourly wage	87
Average monthly number of families on ADC	88
Child food security	89
SNAP participation among children	90
Children eligible for free and reduced meals	91
3- and 4-year olds enrolled in school	92
Child maltreatment	93
State wards	94
Children in out-of-home care	95
Youth arrests ages 17 & under	96
Youth adjudicated in adult court	97

Total population (2009 & 2013)

State	Number
2009	1,772,124
2013	1,868,516
Highest county	2013
By number	Douglas
By percent change	Arthur

Lowest county	2013
By number	Arthur
By percent change	Keya Paha, Sioux



	2009	2013	% Change
Adams	33,024	31,610	-4.3%
Antelope	6,729	6,456	-4.1%
Arthur	364	458	25.8%
Banner	824	759	-7.9%
Blaine	471	482	2.3%
Boone	5,531	5,388	-2.6%
Box Butte	11,031	11,305	2.5%
Boyd	2,120	2,032	-4.2%
Brown	3,178	2,926	-7.9%
Buffalo	44,877	47,893	6.7%
Burt	7,047	6,574	-6.7%
Butler	8,416	8,312	-1.2%
Cass	25,495	25,357	-0.5%
Cedar	8,582	8,711	1.5%
Chase	3,702	4,000	8.0%
Cherry	5,682	5,788	1.9%
Cheyenne	9,829	10,091	2.7%
Clay	6,334	6,392	0.9%
Colfax	10,100	10,425	3.2%
Cuming	9,330	8,996	-3.6%
Custer	10,894	10,792	-0.9%
Dakota	20,295	20,947	3.2%
Dawes	8,736	9,088	4.0%
Dawson	24,789	24,207	-2.3%
Deuel	1,988	1,937	-2.6%
Dixon	6,224	5,851	-6.0%
Dodge	35,774	36,515	2.1%
Douglas	497,939	537,256	7.9%
Dundy	1,939	1,981	2.2%
Fillmore	6,051	5,698	-5.8%
Franklin	3.187	3.085	-3.2%

	2009	2013	% Change
Frontier	2,643	2,709	2.5%
Furnas	4,681	4,865	3.9%
Gage	22,935	21,864	-4.7%
Garden	1,856	1,902	2.5%
Garfield	1,902	2,035	7.0%
Gosper	1,932	1,972	2.1%
Grant	608	633	4.1%
Greeley	2,344	2,494	6.4%
Hall	55,669	60,720	9.1%
Hamilton	9,302	9,112	-2.0%
Harlan	3,350	3,513	4.9%
Hayes	1,044	976	-6.5%
Hitchcock	2,858	2,872	0.5%
Holt	10,258	10,449	1.9%
Hooker	661	738	11.6%
Howard	6,459	6,355	-1.6%
Jefferson	7,502	7,560	0.8%
Johnson	5,103	5,144	0.8%
Kearney	6,550	6,548	0.0%
Keith	7,934	8,130	2.5%
Keya Paha	863	790	-8.5%
Kimball	3,644	3,702	1.6%
Knox	8,566	8,565	0.0%
Lancaster	274,432	297,036	8.2%
Lincoln	35,481	36,051	1.6%
Logan	720	763	6.0%
Loup	550	576	4.7%
Madison	34,727	35,278	1.6%
McPherson	498	526	5.6%
Merrick	7,672	7,802	1.7%
Morrill	4,980	4,908	-1.4%

	2009	2013	% Change
Nance	3,552	3,623	2.5%
Nemaha	6,980	7,157	3.9%
Nuckolls	4,506	4,413	-4.7%
Otoe	15,389	15,752	2.5%
Pawnee	2,682	2,709	7.0%
Perkins	2,771	2,921	2.1%
Phelps	9,188	9,213	4.1%
Pierce	7,293	7,150	6.4%
Platte	31,771	32,505	9.1%
Polk	5,164	5,275	-2.0%
Red Willow	10,755	11,006	4.9%
Richardson	8,358	8,125	-6.5%
Rock	1,494	1,411	0.5%
Saline	13,836	14,416	1.9%
Sarpy	146,340	169,331	11.6%
Saunders	20,115	20,929	-1.6%
Scotts Bluff	36,483	36,848	0.8%
Seward	16,575	17,089	0.8%
Sheridan	5,403	5,251	0.0%
Sherman	2,962	3,106	2.5%
Sioux	1,218	1,313	-8.5%
Stanton	6,360	6,133	1.6%
Thayer	5,150	5,189	0.0%
Thomas	662	699	8.2%
Thurston	7,252	6,895	1.6%
Valley	4,221	4,193	6.0%
Washington	19,724	20,223	4.7%
Wayne	9,334	9,411	1.6%
Webster	3,555	3,688	5.6%
Wheeler	741	759	1.7%
York	14,084	13,883	-1.4%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Estimates Program, July 1 2009 and 2013 Estimates, Table PEPAGESEX.

er

Children 19 and under (2009 & 2013)

2013 51	17,967	27.7%
2009 50	00,498	28.2%
State Nu	umber	% total population

Tighest county	By number	By % total population
2009	Douglas	Thurston
2013	Douglas	Thurston

Lowest county	By number	By % total population			
2009	Arthur	Garden			
2013	Blaine	Banner			



18.0-22.9%

23.0-24.9%

25.0-26.9%

.9% 27.0-29.9%

30.0%+

	2009	population	2013	population		2009	population	2013	population		2009	population	2013	population
Adams	9,193	27.8%	8,560	27.1%	Frontier	695	26.3%	695	25.7%	Nance	1,004	28.3%	893	24.6%
Antelope	1,841	27.4%	1,648	25.5%	Furnas	1,202	25.7%	1,192	24.5%	Nemaha	1,864	26.7%	1,874	26.2%
Arthur	92	25.3%	139	30.3%	Gage	5,839	25.5%	5,362	24.5%	Nuckolls	1,107	24.6%	997	22.6%
Banner	189	22.9%	141	18.6%	Garden	387	20.9%	361	19.0%	Otoe	4,216	27.4%	4,054	25.7%
Blaine	109	23.1%	112	23.2%	Garfield	397	20.9%	442	21.7%	Pawnee	646	24.1%	638	23.6%
Boone	1,553	28.1%	1,351	25.1%	Gosper	501	25.9%	512	26.0%	Perkins	785	28.3%	753	25.8%
Box Butte	3,123	28.3%	3,077	27.2%	Grant	171	28.1%	149	23.5%	Phelps	2,536	27.6%	2,476	26.9%
Boyd	517	24.4%	469	23.1%	Greeley	653	27.9%	641	25.7%	Pierce	2,183	29.9%	1,948	27.2%
Brown	823	25.9%	695	23.8%	Hall	16,542	29.7%	17,846	29.4%	Platte	9,426	29.7%	9,299	28.6%
Buffalo	13,051	29.1%	13,409	28.0%	Hamilton	2,714	29.2%	2,483	27.2%	Polk	1,367	26.5%	1,352	25.6%
Burt	1,879	26.7%	1,614	24.6%	Harlan	816	24.4%	831	23.7%	Red Willow	2,889	26.9%	2,836	25.8%
Butler	2,336	27.8%	2,185	26.3%	Hayes	267	25.6%	233	23.9%	Richardson	2,115	25.3%	1,819	22.4%
Cass	7,298	28.6%	6,841	27.0%	Hitchcock	663	23.2%	660	23.0%	Rock	322	21.6%	314	22.3%
Cedar	2,590	30.2%	2,379	27.3%	Holt	2,834	27.6%	2,702	25.9%	Saline	4,036	29.2%	4,165	28.9%
Chase	955	25.8%	1,051	26.3%	Hooker	159	24.1%	165	22.4%	Sarpy	44,431	30.4%	51,929	30.7%
Cherry	1,580	27.8%	1,401	24.2%	Howard	1,810	28.0%	1,646	25.9%	Saunders	5,759	28.6%	5,721	27.3%
Cheyenne	2,591	26.4%	2,629	26.1%	Jefferson	1,858	24.8%	1,826	24.2%	Scotts Bluff	10,039	27.5%	9,942	27.0%
Clay	1,775	28.0%	1,700	26.6%	Johnson	1,108	21.7%	1,110	21.6%	Seward	4,916	29.7%	4,861	28.4%
Colfax	3,297	32.6%	3,317	31.8%	Kearney	1,736	26.5%	1,711	26.1%	Sheridan	1,450	26.8%	1,287	24.5%
Cuming	2,686	28.8%	2,426	27.0%	Keith	2,030	25.6%	1,842	22.7%	Sherman	746	25.2%	724	23.3%
Custer	3,014	27.7%	2,705	25.1%	Keya Paha	232	26.9%	168	21.3%	Sioux	315	25.9%	322	24.5%
Dakota	6,843	33.7%	6,623	31.6%	Kimball	961	26.4%	894	24.1%	Stanton	1,886	29.7%	1,770	28.9%
Dawes	2,426	27.8%	2,376	26.1%	Knox	2,284	26.7%	2,244	26.2%	Thayer	1,250	24.3%	1,286	24.8%
Dawson	8,239	33.2%	7,421	30.7%	Lancaster	73,607	26.8%	79,907	26.9%	Thomas	127	19.2%	174	24.9%
Deuel	444	22.3%	453	23.4%	Lincoln	9,836	27.7%	9,716	27.0%	Thurston	2,846	39.2%	2,650	38.4%
Dixon	1,710	27.5%	1,603	27.4%	Logan	198	27.5%	198	26.0%	Valley	1,081	25.6%	1,045	24.9%
Dodge	9,567	26.7%	9,530	26.1%	Loup	171	31.1%	121	21.0%	Washington	5,705	28.9%	5,474	27.1%
Douglas	141,778	28.5%	152,946	28.5%	Madison	10,144	29.2%	9,755	27.7%	Wayne	2,649	28.4%	2,624	27.9%
Dundy	496	25.6%	506	25.5%	McPherson	126	25.3%	155	29.5%	Webster	855	24.1%	898	24.3%
Fillmore	1,664	27.5%	1,314	23.1%	Merrick	2,113	27.5%	2,041	26.2%	Wheeler	228	30.8%	178	23.5%
Franklin	782	24.5%	674	21.8%	Morrill	1,376	27.6%	1,329	27.1%	York	3,848	27.3%	3,532	25.4%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Estimates Program, July 1 2009 and 2013 Estimates, Table PEPAGESEX.

Children under 5 (2009 & 2013)

State	Number	% of all children
2009	134,717	26.5%
2013	130,160	25.1%

Highest county	By number	By % of all children				
2009	Douglas	Logan				
2013	Douglas	Grant				

Lowest county	By number	By % of all children			
2009	Arthur	Loup			
2013	Loup	Garfield			

Percent of children under 5 (2013) 25.0-26.9%

< 20.9%

21.0-22.9%

23.0-24.9%

27.0%+

	2009	% of all children	2013	% of all children		2009	% of all children	2013	% of all children		2009	% of all children	2013	% of all children
Adams	2,205	23.8%	1,997	23.3%	Frontier	138	21.5%	110	15.8%	Nance	211	22.9%	235	26.3%
Antelope	406	23.9%	414	25.1%	Furnas	240	21.2%	244	20.5%	Nemaha	429	23.7%	434	23.2%
Arthur	17	20.7%	36	25.9%	Gage	1,391	25.2%	1,258	23.5%	Nuckolls	221	22.3%	198	19.9%
Banner	30	19.0%	33	23.4%	Garden	78	24.3%	74	20.5%	Otoe	1,017	24.7%	1,005	24.8%
Blaine	18	17.5%	38	33.9%	Garfield	90	23.7%	69	15.6%	Pawnee	128	20.9%	138	21.6%
Boone	309	21.4%	302	22.4%	Gosper	90	20.0%	126	24.6%	Perkins	177	24.4%	195	25.9%
Box Butte	767	24.7%	772	25.1%	Grant	29	22.1%	52	34.9%	Phelps	573	23.4%	655	26.5%
Boyd	92	20.5%	111	23.7%	Greeley	142	24.3%	143	22.3%	Pierce	445	21.8%	419	21.5%
Brown	140	19.9%	135	19.4%	Hall	5,048	28.5%	4,692	26.3%	Platte	2,440	25.8%	2,439	26.2%
Buffalo	3,377	25.2%	3,326	24.8%	Hamilton	528	19.9%	525	21.1%	Polk	303	22.4%	296	21.9%
Burt	387	22.4%	353	21.9%	Harlan	163	21.5%	226	27.2%	Red Willow	697	24.8%	658	23.2%
Butler	466	20.0%	458	21.0%	Hayes	45	18.8%	47	20.2%	Richardson	426	21.7%	395	21.7%
Cass	1,602	22.6%	1,496	21.9%	Hitchcock	159	24.6%	157	23.8%	Rock	71	22.9%	64	20.4%
Cedar	574	24.2%	501	21.1%	Holt	647	24.4%	717	29.9%	Saline	1,050	25.6%	999	24.0%
Chase	253	28.1%	258	24.5%	Hooker	35	25.5%	43	26.1%	Sarpy	12,979	27.7%	13,468	25.9%
Cherry	299	21.6%	325	23.2%	Howard	398	22.8%	380	23.1%	Saunders	1,314	23.7%	1,325	23.2%
Cheyenne	680	26.3%	615	23.4%	Jefferson	389	22.6%	401	22.0%	Scotts Bluff	2,760	27.0%	2,520	25.3%
Clay	386	23.0%	403	23.7%	Johnson	256	24.5%	252	22.7%	Seward	977	20.1%	1,016	20.9%
Colfax	1,093	29.8%	911	27.5%	Kearney	403	23.7%	397	23.2%	Sheridan	308	22.5%	276	21.4%
Cuming	586	22.9%	508	20.9%	Keith	444	23.8%	371	20.1%	Sherman	164	22.6%	156	21.5%
Custer	661	23.2%	639	23.6%	Keya Paha	39	19.3%	38	22.6%	Sioux	56	21.1%	71	22.0%
Dakota	2,016	28.4%	1,657	25.0%	Kimball	204	23.5%	229	25.6%	Stanton	411	23.3%	400	22.6%
Dawes	483	19.7%	471	19.8%	Knox	520	23.7%	522	23.3%	Thayer	295	24.4%	252	19.6%
Dawson	2,175	26.1%	1,817	24.5%	Lancaster	20,616	26.8%	20,210	25.3%	Thomas	23	20.0%	57	32.8%
Deuel	100	24.3%	95	21.0%	Lincoln	2,541	25.9%	2,339	24.1%	Thurston	802	28.4%	713	26.9%
Dixon	396	23.8%	349	21.8%	Logan	53	30.5%	41	20.7%	Valley	235	23.4%	233	22.3%
Dodge	2,525	26.5%	2,293	24.1%	Loup	26	16.0%	22	18.2%	Washington	1,135	20.7%	1,105	20.2%
Douglas	42,647	29.0%	40,812	26.7%	Madison	2,678	27.8%	2,604	26.7%	Wayne	484	18.7%	538	20.5%
Dundy	90	19.2%	83	16.4%	McPherson	35	26.7%	33	21.3%	Webster	178	21.2%	233	25.9%
Fillmore	323	20.7%	279	21.2%	Merrick	464	22.2%	458	22.4%	Wheeler	36	18.7%	47	26.4%
Franklin	163	23.2%	148	22.0%	Morrill	317	24.3%	287	21.6%	York	900	24.2%	918	26.0%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Estimates Program, July 1 2009 and 2013 Estimates, Table PEPAGESEX.

Children 10-17 years (2000 & 2008-2012)

State	Number	% of all children
2000	209,749	46.6%
2008-2012	199,430	43.6%
Highest county	By number	By % of all children

ingricor county	2)	by no of all officiation
2000	Douglas	Grant
2008-2012	Douglas	Loup

Lowest county	By number	By % of all children			
2000	Arthur	Dawson			
2008-2012	McPherson	Garden			



< 42.0%

42.0-45.9%

46.0-49.9%

49.9% 50.0-54.9%

55.0%+

	2000	% of all children	2008- 2012	% of all children		2000	% of all children	2008- 2012	% of all children		2000	% of all children	2008- 2012	% of all children
Adams	3,495	45.9%	3,114	41.6%	Frontier	439	54.5%	312	57.4%	Nance	588	52.2%	392	45.0%
Antelope	1,119	54.6%	761	48.6%	Furnas	622	48.4%	622	54.7%	Nemaha	920	52.4%	671	44.2%
Arthur	58	54.7%	55	36.2%	Gage	2,693	48.8%	2,359	46.3%	Nuckolls	655	55.3%	454	47.8%
Banner	139	58.9%	76	38.0%	Garden	286	57.3%	113	35.9%	Otoe	1,975	48.8%	1,869	48.4%
Blaine	78	51.0%	73	47.1%	Garfield	233	52.1%	231	48.6%	Pawnee	347	49.6%	305	53.2%
Boone	982	53.9%	670	51.6%	Gosper	267	52.4%	215	45.2%	Perkins	454	53.3%	300	43.7%
Box Butte	1,710	50.0%	1,275	44.4%	Grant	133	61.0%	69	49.6%	Phelps	1,251	48.4%	1,010	45.6%
Boyd	334	54.8%	219	50.2%	Greeley	363	49.7%	268	44.4%	Pierce	1,204	52.9%	889	47.6%
Brown	475	54.3%	319	44.6%	Hall	6,355	43.7%	6,855	42.9%	Platte	4,447	48.4%	4,184	49.0%
Buffalo	4,952	46.9%	4,562	41.4%	Hamilton	1,318	48.2%	1,127	48.7%	Polk	691	48.7%	667	51.1%
Burt	1,027	51.3%	728	47.8%	Harlan	480	52.4%	388	54.2%	Red Willow	1,364	47.9%	1,216	48.4%
Butler	1,232	50.4%	1,025	50.7%	Hayes	154	54.2%	118	47.2%	Richardson	1,268	52.1%	798	44.8%
Cass	3,156	46.5%	2,846	44.7%	Hitchcock	413	55.8%	270	45.2%	Rock	221	54.7%	179	60.5%
Cedar	1,486	52.5%	1,028	46.6%	Holt	1,664	52.9%	1,235	50.6%	Saline	1,662	47.7%	1,703	48.9%
Chase	556	54.2%	378	40.1%	Hooker	111	59.0%	67	45.9%	Sarpy	16,640	44.5%	19,313	42.2%
Cherry	846	51.0%	621	51.3%	Howard	971	52.2%	735	48.0%	Saunders	2,716	49.1%	2,564	48.3%
Cheyenne	1,308	50.6%	1,039	44.3%	Jefferson	1,014	52.3%	747	45.5%	Scotts Bluff	4,505	47.0%	3,944	43.3%
Clay	1,002	52.2%	856	52.2%	Johnson	567	52.2%	507	50.1%	Seward	2,042	50.1%	1,752	44.6%
Colfax	1,397	46.3%	1,167	38.9%	Kearney	919	49.9%	697	44.8%	Sheridan	814	51.3%	615	49.0%
Cuming	1,393	50.2%	1,125	49.8%	Keith	1,156	51.5%	823	47.2%	Sherman	429	52.7%	378	54.5%
Custer	1,559	50.3%	1,137	44.0%	Keya Paha	102	43.6%	58	44.3%	Sioux	184	51.3%	129	49.4%
Dakota	2,660	43.1%	2,740	45.5%	Kimball	511	50.6%	362	42.8%	Stanton	991	51.6%	763	46.1%
Dawes	977	50.9%	809	48.1%	Knox	1,249	52.2%	1,029	50.1%	Thayer	733	50.2%	527	47.2%
Dawson	3,064	43.0%	2,912	42.0%	Lancaster	25,974	44.2%	26,893	40.8%	Thomas	93	54.1%	116	47.9%
Deuel	288	58.9%	189	47.8%	Lincoln	4,344	47.8%	3,961	43.9%	Thurston	1,201	45.5%	1,062	43.1%
Dixon	892	51.2%	729	46.8%	Logan	124	58.8%	68	45.3%	Valley	581	50.7%	444	46.5%
Dodge	4,251	47.6%	3,670	42.1%	Loup	103	54.2%	81	65.9%	Washington	2,456	48.3%	2,630	52.9%
Douglas	54,687	44.4%	57,016	42.2%	Madison	4,482	52.4%	3,838	44.1%	Wayne	1,046	49.1%	848	46.0%
Dundy	271	50.7%	238	54.0%	McPherson	77	47.4%	31	54.4%	Webster	479	50.1%	441	51.5%
Fillmore	912	52.2%	710	51.8%	Merrick	1,110	49.1%	851	45.4%	Wheeler	122	47.3%	62	42.5%
Franklin	448	51.2%	335	50.0%	Morrill	793	53.6%	552	46.5%	York	1,889	51.2%	1,301	42.0%

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2000 Census. 2008-2012 American Community Survey 5-year estimates, Table B01001.

Children: White Non-Hispanic (2009 & 2013)

State	Number	% of all children				
2009	387,335	77.4%				
2013	371,004	71.7%				

Highest county	By number	By % of all children				
2009	Douglas	Grant				
2013	Douglas	Keya Paha				

Lowest county	By number	By % of all children			
2009	Arthur	Thurston			
2013	Blaine	Thurston			

Percent of children: White non-Hispanic (2013)



	2009	% of all children	2013	% of all children		2009	% of all children	2013	% of all children		2009	% of all children	2013	% of all children
Adams	7,691	83.7%	6,891	80.5%	Frontier	676	97.3%	660	95.0%	Nance	961	95.7%	835	93.5%
Antelope	1,771	96.2%	1,512	91.7%	Furnas	1,138	94.7%	1,087	91.2%	Nemaha	1,737	93.2%	1,709	91.2%
Arthur	85	92.4%	121	87.1%	Gage	5,473	93.7%	4,887	91.1%	Nuckolls	1,052	95.0%	925	92.8%
Banner	166	87.8%	125	88.7%	Garden	368	95.1%	311	86.1%	Otoe	3,774	89.5%	3,437	84.8%
Blaine	106	97.2%	110	98.2%	Garfield	377	95.0%	432	97.7%	Pawnee	621	96.1%	586	91.8%
Boone	1,502	96.7%	1,269	93.9%	Gosper	473	94.4%	448	87.5%	Perkins	729	92.9%	683	90.7%
Box Butte	2,351	75.3%	2,217	72.1%	Grant	169	98.8%	143	96.0%	Phelps	2,338	92.2%	2,175	87.8%
Boyd	508	98.3%	433	92.3%	Greeley	620	94.9%	601	93.8%	Pierce	2,097	96.1%	1,851	95.0%
Brown	798	97.0%	645	92.8%	Hall	10,904	65.9%	10,024	56.2%	Platte	7,588	80.5%	6,712	72.2%
Buffalo	11,515	88.2%	10,980	81.9%	Hamilton	2,604	95.9%	2,285	92.0%	Polk	1,292	94.5%	1,254	92.8%
Burt	1,737	92.4%	1,422	88.1%	Harlan	787	96.4%	778	93.6%	Red Willow	2,635	91.2%	2,458	86.7%
Butler	2,211	94.6%	2,023	92.6%	Hayes	249	93.3%	216	92.7%	Richardson	1,894	89.6%	1,583	87.0%
Cass	6,866	94.1%	6,216	90.9%	Hitchcock	625	94.3%	612	92.7%	Rock	315	97.8%	299	95.2%
Cedar	2,523	97.4%	2,256	94.8%	Holt	2,701	95.3%	2,438	90.2%	Saline	2,885	71.5%	2,553	61.3%
Chase	843	88.3%	796	75.7%	Hooker	152	95.6%	155	93.9%	Sarpy	36,608	82.4%	40,121	77.3%
Cherry	1,382	87.5%	1,119	79.9%	Howard	1,732	95.7%	1,529	92.9%	Saunders	5,442	94.5%	5,309	92.8%
Cheyenne	2,291	88.4%	2,224	84.6%	Jefferson	1,773	95.4%	1,627	89.1%	Scotts Bluff	6,521	65.0%	5,957	59.9%
Clay	1,575	88.7%	1,401	82.4%	Johnson	858	77.4%	886	79.8%	Seward	4,634	94.3%	4,507	92.7%
Colfax	1,580	47.9%	1,178	35.5%	Kearney	1,633	94.1%	1,516	88.6%	Sheridan	1,040	71.7%	900	69.9%
Cuming	2,255	84.0%	1,945	80.2%	Keith	1,846	90.9%	1,583	85.9%	Sherman	720	96.5%	677	93.5%
Custer	2,890	95.9%	2,471	91.3%	Keya Paha	211	90.9%	165	98.2%	Sioux	296	94.0%	276	85.7%
Dakota	3,352	49.0%	2,378	35.9%	Kimball	870	90.5%	706	79.0%	Stanton	1,727	91.6%	1,515	85.6%
Dawes	2,041	84.1%	1,891	79.6%	Knox	1,836	80.4%	1,723	76.8%	Thayer	1,190	95.2%	1,081	84.1%
Dawson	4,249	51.6%	3,456	46.6%	Lancaster	59,594	81.0%	60,305	75.5%	Thomas	124	97.6%	158	90.8%
Deuel	418	94.1%	402	88.7%	Lincoln	8,510	86.5%	8,001	82.3%	Thurston	683	24.0%	618	23.3%
Dixon	1,445	84.5%	1,261	78.7%	Logan	188	94.9%	180	90.9%	Valley	1,013	93.7%	968	92.6%
Dodge	8,118	84.9%	7,272	76.3%	Loup	162	94.7%	111	91.7%	Washington	5,323	93.3%	5,034	92.0%
Douglas	92,948	65.6%	91,257	59.7%	Madison	7,536	74.3%	6,862	70.3%	Wayne	2,407	90.9%	2,222	84.7%
Dundy	437	88.1%	418	82.6%	McPherson	120	95.2%	146	94.2%	Webster	826	96.6%	764	85.1%
Fillmore	1,521	91.4%	1,144	87.1%	Merrick	1,981	93.8%	1,751	85.8%	Wheeler	224	98.2%	168	94.4%
Franklin	767	98.1%	638	94.7%	Morrill	1,102	80.1%	975	73.4%	York	3,429	89.1%	3,055	86.5%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Estimates Program, July 1 2009 and 2013 Estimates, Table PEPAGESEX.
Children: Hispanic (2009 & 2013)

State	Number	% of all children
2009	58,876	11.8%
2013	81,779	15.8%

Highest county	By number	By % of all children
2009	Douglas	Colfax
2013	Douglas	Colfax

Lowest county	By number	By % of all children
2009	Blaine	Blaine
2013	Blaine, Hooker	Hooker

10.0-14.9%

15.0-19.9%

20.0+%

% of all 2013 2009 2013 2009 2009 2013 children children children children children children Adams 967 10.5% 1,208 14.1% Frontier 13 1.9% 18 2.6% Nance 28 2.8% 36 4.0% Antelope 47 2.6% 93 5.6% Furnas 37 3.1% 60 5.0% Nemaha 63 3.4% 67 3.6% 3 15 148 40 37 Arthur 10.8% Gage 2.5% 221 4.1% Nuckolls 3.7% 3.3% 3.6% Banne 23 12.2% 13 9.2% Garden 13 3.4% 43 11.9% 314 7.4% 437 10.8% Otoe Blaine 0 0.0% 1 0.9% Garfield 11 2.8% 5 1.1% Pawnee 15 2.3% 25 3.9% 44 2.8% 37 2.7% Gosper 22 4.4% 35 6.8% Perkins 38 4.8% 54 7.2% Boone 474 15.2% 558 18.1% 2 1.2% 4 2.7% 120 4.7% 216 8.7% Box Butte Grant Phelps Boyd 1 0.2% 17 3.6% Greeley 16 2.5% 19 3.0% Pierce 52 2 4% 52 27% Brown 12 1.5% 16 2.3% Hall 4,854 29.3% 6,720 37.7% Platte 1,536 16.3% 2,305 24.8% Buffalo 1,070 8.2% 1,765 13.2% Hamilton 62 2.3% 129 5.2% Polk 54 4.0% 70 5.2% 57 17 34 4.1% Red Willow Burt 3.0% 94 5.8% Harlan 2.1% 183 6.3% 260 9.2% 4.7% 47 Butle 97 4.2% 104 4.8% Hayes 12 4.5% 11 Richardson 47 2.2% 2.6% Cass 208 2.9% 345 5.0% Hitchcock 27 41% 30 4 5% Rock 3 0.9% 5 1 6% Cedar 29 1.1% 68 2.9% Holt 92 3.2% 200 8.3% Saline 926 22.9% 1,414 33.9% 99 10.4% 229 21.8% Hooker 4 2.5% 1 0.6% 3,536 8.0% 5,860 11.3% Chase Sarpy 37 61 4 4% 53 70 4.3% Cherry 2.3% Howard 2.9% Saunders 170 3.0% 208 3.6% Jefferson 47 120 Cheyenne 215 8.3% 266 10.1% 2.5% 6.6% Scotts Bluff 2.925 29.1% 3,503 35.2% 166 9.4% 245 14.4% Johnson 161 14.5% 177 15.9% Seward 132 2.7% 163 3.4% Clay Colfax 1 675 50.8% 2 0 3 7 61 4% 78 4 5% 150 8.8% 75 5.2% 100 7.8% Kearney Sheridan 14.8% 417 17.2% 149 7.3% 190 25 3.5% Cuming 398 Keith 10.3% Sherman 19 2.5% Custer 65 2.2% 130 4.8% Keya Paha 18 7.8% 2 1.2% Sioux 16 5.1% 32 9.9% 3,433 61 134 157 Dakota 2,856 41.7% 51.8% Kimball 6.3% 15.0% Stanton 109 5.8% 8.9% 118 4.9% 143 6.0% 78 3.4% 113 5.0% 2.2% 58 4.5% Dawes Knox Thayer 28 3 714 45.1% 3 451 46.5% 4 9 2 9 67% 7 968 10.0% 2 1 6% 12 6.9% Dawson Lancaster Thomas Deuel 20 4.5% 42 9.3% Lincoln 957 9.7% 1,288 13.3% Thurston 295 10.4% 173 6.5% Dixon 242 14.2% 295 18.4% Logan 3 1.5% 9 4.5% Valley 43 4.0% 44 4.2% 1.124 11.7% 1.828 9 170 236 4.3% Dodge 19.2% Loup 5.3% 7 5.8% Washington 3.0% 19,450 13.7% 27,987 18.3% Madison 1,998 19.7% 2,271 23.3% 125 4.7% 238 9.1% Douglas Wayne 46 9.3% 64 12.6% McPherson 4 3.2% 2 1.3% Webster 8 0.9% 84 9.4% Dundy Fillmore 94 5.6% 110 8.4% Merrick 96 4.5% 163 8.0% Wheeler 3 1.3% 4 2.2% Franklin 12 1.5% 16 2.4% Morrill 242 17.6% 306 23.0% York 225 5.8% 269 7.6%

< 5.0%

5.0-9.9%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Estimates Program, July 1 2009 and 2013 Estimates, Table PEPAGESEX.

Percent of children: Hispanic (2013)

Children: Black/African-American (2009 & 2013)

State	Number	% of all children
2009	29,488	5.9%
2013	31,837	6.1%

Highest county	By number	By % of all children
2009	Douglas	Douglas
2013	Douglas	Douglas

Lowest county	By number	By % of all children
2009	23 with 0	23 with 0%
2013	11 with 0	11 with 0%

Percent of children: Black/African-American (2013)



% of all 2009 2013 2009 2013 2009 2013 childre children childrer children childrer childrer Adams 106 1.2% 128 1.5% Frontier 1 0.1% 1 0.1% Nance 0 0.0% 5 0.6% Antelope 2 0.1% 12 0.7% Furnas 4 0.3% 6 0.5% Nemaha 23 1.2% 37 2.0% 0 43 42 4 Arthu 0 Nuckolls 0 0.4% 0.0% 0.0% Gage 0.7% 0.8% 0.0% 0.5% Banner 0 0.0% 0 0.0% Garden 2 1 0.3% 37 0.9% 39 1.0% Otoe Blaine 0 0.0% 0 0.0% Garfield 1 0.3% 2 0.5% Pawnee 0 0.0% 6 0.9% 0 0.0% 16 1.2% Gosper 0 0.0% 4 0.8% Perkins 0 0.0% 12 1.6% Boone 24 22 0.7% 0 0 0.0% 16 30 1.2% Box Butte 0.8% Grant 0.0% Phelps 0.6% Boyd 0 0.0% 3 0.6% Greeley 7 11% 11 1 7% Pierce 4 0.2% 9 0.5% 321 Brown 1 0.1% 4 0.6% Hall 1.9% 578 3.2% Platte 89 0.9% 102 1.1% Buffalo 147 1.1% 172 1.3% Hamilton 9 0.3% 12 0.5% 0 0.0% 10 0.7% Polk 11 Burt 0.6% 19 1.2% 1 0.1% Red Willow 6 33 1.2% Harlan 1 0.1% 0.2% 4 12 Butler 0.2% 12 0.5% Hayes 1 0.4% 3 1.3% Richardsor 10 0.5% 0.7% Cass 65 0.9% 41 0.6% Hitchcock 1 0.2% 1 0.2% Rock 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 7 4 0.2% 5 12 0.4% Saline 54 1.3% 74 1.8% Cedar 0.3% Holt 0.2% 3 0.3% 5 0.5% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 1,998 4.5% 2,376 4.6% Chase Hooker Sarpy 5 8 9 42 Cherry 0.3% 0.6% Howard 11 0.6% 0.5% Saunders 0.7% 55 1.0% Cheyenne 9 0.3% 16 0.6% Jefferson 5 0.3% 15 0.8% Scotts Bluff 114 1.1% 115 1.2% Clay 13 0.7% 29 1.7% Johnson 19 1.7% 10 0.9% Seward 28 0.6% 36 0.7% Colfax 15 116 6 6 1 5 0.4% 0.5% 3.5% Kearney 0.3% 0.4% Sheridan 0.1% 13 3 15 0.8% 3 0.4% Cuming 10 0.4% 0.5% Keith 0.1% Sherman 1 0.1% Custer 4 0.1% 32 1.2% Keya Paha 0 0.0% 0 0.0% Sioux 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 5 4 9 Dakota 150 2.2% 300 4.5% Kimball 0.4% Stanton 30 1.7% 0.5% 0.5% 61 2.5% 64 5 0.2% 9 0.4% 10 0.8% Dawes 2.7% Knox Thayer 1 0.1% 155 3 335 3 998 0 Dawson 1.9% 368 5.0% Lancaster 4 5% 5.0% Thomas 0 0.0% 0.0% Deuel 0 0.0% 1 0.2% Lincoln 141 1.4% 135 1.4% Thurston 77 2.7% 19 0.7% Dixon 1 0.1% 14 0.9% 0 0.0% 1 0.5% Valley 6 0.6% 4 0.4% Logan 129 138 80 57 Dodge 1.3% 1.4% Loup 0 0.0% 3 2.5% Washington 1.4% 1.0% 21,622 15.3% 21,900 14.3% 277 2.7% 229 2.3% 40 1.5% 64 2.4% Douglas Madison Wayne 0.2% 3 0.6% McPherson 0 0.0% 0 0.0% Webster 0.1% 8 0.9% Dundy 1 1 Fillmore 12 0.7% 30 2.3% Merrick 7 0.3% 11 0.5% Wheeler 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 77 Franklin 0 0.0% 4 0.6% Morrill 7 0.5% 10 0.8% York 2.0% 79 2.2%

Children: American Indian/Alaska Native (2009 & 2013)

State	Number	% of all children
2009	8,172	1.6%
2013	10,465	2.0%

Highest county	By number	By % of all children
2009	Thurston	Thurston
2013	Douglas	Thurston

Lowest county	By number	By % of all children
2009	7 with 0	7 with 0%
2013	12 with 0	12 with 0%

Percent of children: American Indian/Alaska Native (2013)



	2009	% of all children	2013	% of all children		2009	% of all children	2013	% of all children		2009	% of all children	2013	% of all children
Adams	51	0.6%	111	1.3%	Frontier	2	0.3%	5	0.7%	Nance	8	0.8%	5	0.6%
Antelope	4	0.2%	6	0.4%	Furnas	11	0.9%	8	0.7%	Nemaha	9	0.5%	9	0.5%
Arthur	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	Gage	49	0.8%	49	0.9%	Nuckolls	7	0.6%	6	0.6%
Banner	2	1.1%	0	0.0%	Garden	2	0.5%	0	0.0%	Otoe	18	0.4%	30	0.7%
Blaine	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	Garfield	2	0.5%	1	0.2%	Pawnee	3	0.5%	0	0.0%
Boone	1	0.1%	10	0.7%	Gosper	1	0.2%	3	0.6%	Perkins	6	0.8%	5	0.7%
Box Butte	179	5.7%	222	7.2%	Grant	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	Phelps	14	0.6%	22	0.9%
Boyd	2	0.4%	8	1.7%	Greeley	3	0.5%	3	0.5%	Pierce	11	0.5%	9	0.5%
Brown	3	0.4%	9	1.3%	Hall	176	1.1%	441	2.5%	Platte	68	0.7%	190	2.0%
Buffalo	81	0.6%	96	0.7%	Hamilton	10	0.4%	15	0.6%	Polk	6	0.4%	3	0.2%
Burt	38	2.0%	40	2.5%	Harlan	2	0.2%	8	1.0%	Red Willow	31	1.1%	28	1.0%
Butler	3	0.1%	6	0.3%	Hayes	0	0.0%	1	0.4%	Richardson	96	4.5%	101	5.6%
Cass	29	0.4%	36	0.5%	Hitchcock	4	0.6%	0	0.0%	Rock	3	0.9%	6	1.9%
Cedar	9	0.3%	15	0.6%	Holt	11	0.4%	25	0.9%	Saline	42	1.0%	87	2.1%
Chase	11	1.2%	8	0.8%	Hooker	0	0.0%	8	4.8%	Sarpy	256	0.6%	358	0.7%
Cherry	117	7.4%	144	10.3%	Howard	5	0.3%	7	0.4%	Saunders	18	0.3%	21	0.4%
Cheyenne	23	0.9%	41	1.6%	Jefferson	21	1.1%	10	0.5%	Scotts Bluff	509	5.1%	474	4.8%
Clay	18	1.0%	34	2.0%	Johnson	18	1.6%	6	0.5%	Seward	17	0.3%	27	0.6%
Colfax	55	1.7%	159	4.8%	Kearney	6	0.3%	8	0.5%	Sheridan	314	21.7%	234	18.2%
Cuming	16	0.6%	20	0.8%	Keith	22	1.1%	17	0.9%	Sherman	2	0.3%	1	0.1%
Custer	17	0.6%	20	0.7%	Keya Paha	3	1.3%	0	0.0%	Sioux	1	0.3%	11	3.4%
Dakota	295	4.3%	330	5.0%	Kimball	12	1.2%	20	2.2%	Stanton	16	0.8%	21	1.2%
Dawes	161	6.6%	129	5.4%	Knox	348	15.2%	362	16.1%	Thayer	11	0.9%	2	0.2%
Dawson	115	1.4%	214	2.9%	Lancaster	822	1.1%	1,045	1.3%	Thomas	1	0.8%	0	0.0%
Deuel	3	0.7%	5	1.1%	Lincoln	108	1.1%	115	1.2%	Thurston	1,863	65.5%	1,833	69.2%
Dixon	27	1.6%	16	1.0%	Logan	6	3.0%	5	2.5%	Valley	8	0.7%	2	0.2%
Dodge	67	0.7%	167	1.8%	Loup	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	Washington	15	0.3%	7	0.1%
Douglas	1,542	1.1%	2,515	1.6%	Madison	193	1.9%	284	2.9%	Wayne	15	0.6%	36	1.4%
Dundy	5	1.0%	6	1.2%	McPherson	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	Webster	4	0.5%	3	0.3%
Fillmore	35	2.1%	19	1.4%	Merrick	10	0.5%	18	0.9%	Wheeler	1	0.4%	0	0.0%
Franklin	2	0.3%	2	0.3%	Morrill	19	1.4%	42	3.2%	York	22	0.6%	40	1.1%

Children: Asian/Pacific Islander (2009 & 2013)

State	Number	% of all children
2009	9,467	1.9%
2013	12,401	2.4%

Highest county	By number	By % of all children
2009	Douglas	Johnson
2013	Douglas	Lancaster

Lowest county	By number	By % of all children
2009	16 with 0	16 with 0%
2013	16 with 0	16 with 0%

Percent of children: Asian/Pacific Islander (2013)



	2009	% of all children	2013	% of all children		2009	% of all children	2013	% of all children		2009	% of all children	2013	% of all children
Adams	290	3.2%	155	1.8%	Frontier	3	0.4%	0	0.0%	Nance	1	0.1%	0	0.0%
Antelope	0	0.0%	15	0.9%	Furnas	3	0.2%	5	0.4%	Nemaha	17	0.9%	9	0.5%
Arthur	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	Gage	28	0.5%	50	0.9%	Nuckolls	2	0.2%	3	0.3%
Banner	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	Garden	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	Otoe	21	0.5%	40	1.0%
Blaine	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	Garfield	1	0.3%	0	0.0%	Pawnee	2	0.3%	1	0.2%
Boone	2	0.1%	10	0.7%	Gosper	0	0.0%	1	0.2%	Perkins	7	0.9%	3	0.4%
Box Butte	21	0.7%	15	0.5%	Grant	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	Phelps	19	0.7%	11	0.4%
Boyd	3	0.6%	7	1.5%	Greeley	2	0.3%	1	0.2%	Pierce	4	0.2%	7	0.4%
Brown	3	0.4%	1	0.1%	Hall	259	1.6%	331	1.9%	Platte	109	1.2%	95	1.0%
Buffalo	77	0.6%	203	1.5%	Hamilton	8	0.3%	11	0.4%	Polk	2	0.1%	5	0.4%
Burt	10	0.5%	15	0.9%	Harlan	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	Red Willow	8	0.3%	14	0.5%
Butler	10	0.4%	15	0.7%	Hayes	2	0.7%	2	0.9%	Richardson	11	0.5%	9	0.5%
Cass	39	0.5%	47	0.7%	Hitchcock	1	0.2%	1	0.2%	Rock	0	0.0%	1	0.3%
Cedar	3	0.1%	10	0.4%	Holt	11	0.4%	9	0.3%	Saline	99	2.5%	116	2.8%
Chase	3	0.3%	1	0.1%	Hooker	1	0.6%	0	0.0%	Sarpy	738	1.7%	1,144	2.2%
Cherry	15	0.9%	5	0.4%	Howard	1	0.1%	6	0.4%	Saunders	22	0.4%	41	0.7%
Cheyenne	26	1.0%	60	2.3%	Jefferson	5	0.3%	12	0.7%	Scotts Bluff	105	1.0%	75	0.8%
Clay	8	0.5%	11	0.6%	Johnson	49	4.4%	23	2.1%	Seward	35	0.7%	27	0.6%
Colfax	42	1.3%	53	1.6%	Kearney	8	0.5%	11	0.6%	Sheridan	4	0.3%	8	0.6%
Cuming	3	0.1%	13	0.5%	Keith	2	0.1%	12	0.7%	Sherman	3	0.4%	7	1.0%
Custer	10	0.3%	12	0.4%	Keya Paha	0	0.0%	1	0.6%	Sioux	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Dakota	248	3.6%	256	3.9%	Kimball	0	0.0%	18	2.0%	Stanton	3	0.2%	0	0.0%
Dawes	5	0.2%	60	2.5%	Knox	12	0.5%	19	0.8%	Thayer	4	0.3%	13	1.0%
Dawson	74	0.9%	90	1.2%	Lancaster	3,105	4.2%	3,433	4.3%	Thomas	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Deuel	1	0.2%	0	0.0%	Lincoln	44	0.4%	69	0.7%	Thurston	15	0.5%	16	0.6%
Dixon	6	0.4%	4	0.2%	Logan	0	0.0%	1	0.5%	Valley	5	0.5%	7	0.7%
Dodge	68	0.7%	117	1.2%	Loup	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	Washington	40	0.7%	27	0.5%
Douglas	3,539	2.5%	5,298	3.5%	Madison	45	0.4%	75	0.8%	Wayne	24	0.9%	31	1.2%
Dundy	1	0.2%	4	0.8%	McPherson	2	1.6%	0	0.0%	Webster	3	0.4%	10	1.1%
Fillmore	1	0.1%	12	0.9%	Merrick	8	0.4%	60	2.9%	Wheeler	0	0.0%	3	1.7%
Franklin	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	Morrill	4	0.3%	13	1.0%	York	51	1.3%	24	0.7%

Children: 2 or more races (2009 & 2013)

State	Number	% of all children
2009	12,800	2.6%
2013	21,933	4.2%

Highest county	By number	By % of all children
2009	Douglas	Arthur
2013	Douglas	Cherry

Lowest county	By number	By % of all children
2009	6 with 0	6 with 0%
2013	2 with 0	2 with 0%

Percent of children: 2 or more races (2013)



	2009	% of all children	2013	% of all children		2009	% of all children	2013	% of all children		2009	% of all children	2013	% of all children
Adams	144	1.6%	215	2.5%	Frontier	4	0.6%	12	1.7%	Nance	10	1.0%	16	1.8%
Antelope	17	0.9%	15	0.9%	Furnas	10	0.8%	32	2.7%	Nemaha	22	1.2%	57	3.0%
Arthur	4	4.3%	6	4.3%	Gage	113	1.9%	165	3.1%	Nuckolls	6	0.5%	24	2.4%
Banner	2	1.1%	3	2.1%	Garden	2	0.5%	7	1.9%	Otoe	56	1.3%	110	2.7%
Blaine	3	2.8%	1	0.9%	Garfield	5	1.3%	2	0.5%	Pawnee	5	0.8%	23	3.6%
Boone	4	0.3%	14	1.0%	Gosper	5	1.0%	21	4.1%	Perkins	6	0.8%	4	0.5%
Box Butte	130	4.2%	160	5.2%	Grant	0	0.0%	2	1.3%	Phelps	43	1.7%	50	2.0%
Boyd	3	0.6%	4	0.9%	Greeley	5	0.8%	7	1.1%	Pierce	17	0.8%	30	1.5%
Brown	7	0.9%	20	2.9%	Hall	298	1.8%	531	3.0%	Platte	115	1.2%	173	1.9%
Buffalo	215	1.6%	359	2.7%	Hamilton	24	0.9%	45	1.8%	Polk	17	1.2%	24	1.8%
Burt	33	1.8%	49	3.0%	Harlan	8	1.0%	16	1.9%	Red Willow	42	1.5%	73	2.6%
Butler	14	0.6%	31	1.4%	Hayes	3	1.1%	1	0.4%	Richardson	68	3.2%	82	4.5%
Cass	101	1.4%	201	2.9%	Hitchcock	5	0.8%	19	2.9%	Rock	2	0.6%	3	1.0%
Cedar	20	0.8%	36	1.5%	Holt	17	0.6%	42	1.6%	Saline	77	1.9%	79	1.9%
Chase	4	0.4%	19	1.8%	Hooker	2	1.3%	1	0.6%	Sarpy	1,672	3.8%	2,964	5.7%
Cherry	34	2.2%	92	6.6%	Howard	8	0.4%	33	2.0%	Saunders	70	1.2%	103	1.8%
Cheyenne	37	1.4%	55	2.1%	Jefferson	16	0.9%	60	3.3%	Scotts Bluff	202	2.0%	290	2.9%
Clay	8	0.5%	22	1.3%	Johnson	13	1.2%	25	2.3%	Seward	85	1.7%	123	2.5%
Colfax	40	1.2%	66	2.0%	Kearney	14	0.8%	33	1.9%	Sheridan	56	3.9%	82	6.4%
Cuming	12	0.4%	55	2.3%	Keith	18	0.9%	53	2.9%	Sherman	4	0.5%	11	1.5%
Custer	32	1.1%	60	2.2%	Keya Paha	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	Sioux	2	0.6%	7	2.2%
Dakota	174	2.5%	196	3.0%	Kimball	13	1.4%	31	3.5%	Stanton	29	1.5%	68	3.8%
Dawes	81	3.3%	134	5.6%	Knox	31	1.4%	71	3.2%	Thayer	19	1.5%	26	2.0%
Dawson	92	1.1%	152	2.0%	Lancaster	2,652	3.6%	4,714	5.9%	Thomas	0	0.0%	4	2.3%
Deuel	3	0.7%	11	2.4%	Lincoln	167	1.7%	275	2.8%	Thurston	105	3.7%	107	4.0%
Dixon	13	0.8%	37	2.3%	Logan	1	0.5%	2	1.0%	Valley	11	1.0%	23	2.2%
Dodge	133	1.4%	279	2.9%	Loup	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	Washington	88	1.5%	135	2.5%
Douglas	4,803	3.4%	8,055	5.3%	Madison	200	2.0%	336	3.4%	Wayne	41	1.5%	68	2.6%
Dundy	7	1.4%	16	3.2%	McPherson	0	0.0%	7	4.5%	Webster	13	1.5%	39	4.3%
Fillmore	16	1.0%	17	1.3%	Merrick	18	0.9%	55	2.7%	Wheeler	0	0.0%	3	1.7%
Franklin	1	0.1%	18	2.7%	Morrill	15	1.1%	34	2.6%	York	63	1.6%	102	2.9%

Percent children 17 & under in poverty (2000 & 2008-2012)

State	% of children
2000	12.0%
2008-2012	16.7%

Highest county	By percent
2000	Rock
2008-2012	Loup

Lowest county	By percent
2000	Hooker, Sarpy
2008-2012	Arthur



	2000	2008- 2012
Adams	10.0%	17.4%
Antelope	17.0%	20.0%
Arthur	15.0%	0.0%
Banner	19.0%	26.0%
Blaine	22.0%	26.5%
Boone	12.0%	10.0%
Box Butte	14.0%	36.5%
Boyd	20.0%	12.5%
Brown	15.0%	8.8%
Buffalo	11.0%	13.8%
Burt	12.0%	7.3%
Butler	10.0%	12.1%
Cass	7.0%	7.4%
Cedar	11.0%	11.5%
Chase	11.0%	23.5%
Cherry	13.0%	9.0%
Cheyenne	12.0%	17.6%
Clay	13.0%	9.6%
Colfax	14.0%	22.5%
Cuming	10.0%	16.9%
Custer	16.0%	8.2%
Dakota	15.0%	24.2%
Dawes	14.0%	29.0%
Dawson	14.0%	19.2%
Deuel	12.0%	19.7%
Dixon	12.0%	16.9%
Dodge	10.0%	16.7%
Douglas	13.0%	19.1%
Dundy	16.0%	10.1%
Fillmore	8.0%	7.2%
Franklin	17.0%	11.9%

	2000	2008- 2012
Frontier	10.0%	13.9%
Furnas	15.0%	27.1%
Gage	10.0%	15.9%
Garden	22.0%	11.1%
Garfield	12.0%	12.1%
Gosper	11.0%	12.6%
Grant	17.0%	31.1%
Greeley	22.0%	17.2%
Hall	16.0%	18.1%
Hamilton	10.0%	12.6%
Harlan	14.0%	21.3%
Hayes	26.0%	8.0%
Hitchcock	23.0%	21.1%
Holt	15.0%	11.2%
Hooker	5.0%	20.5%
Howard	14.0%	12.0%
Jefferson	10.0%	24.5%
Johnson	11.0%	18.9%
Kearney	10.0%	2.9%
Keith	13.0%	20.3%
Keya Paha	34.0%	45.8%
Kimball	12.0%	14.9%
Knox	20.0%	18.3%
Lancaster	10.0%	17.1%
Lincoln	12.0%	12.3%
Logan	13.0%	12.6%
Loup	23.0%	51.2%
Madison	13.0%	20.4%
McPherson	22.0%	14.0%
Merrick	10.0%	13.3%
Morrill	20.0%	26.8%

	2000	2008- 2012
Nance	17.0%	17.8%
Nemaha	13.0%	7.9%
Nuckolls	17.0%	28.0%
Otoe	9.0%	16.4%
Pawnee	14.0%	21.2%
Perkins	20.0%	7.9%
Phelps	12.0%	10.3%
Pierce	14.0%	5.7%
Platte	9.0%	16.6%
Polk	7.0%	8.0%
Red Willow	11.0%	13.3%
Richardson	11.0%	29.2%
Rock	36.0%	5.1%
Saline	9.0%	24.8%
Sarpy	5.0%	10.7%
Saunders	7.0%	12.6%
Scotts Bluff	22.0%	23.8%
Seward	6.0%	5.2%
Sheridan	20.0%	23.3%
Sherman	19.0%	10.6%
Sioux	24.0%	13.7%
Stanton	7.0%	14.2%
Thayer	15.0%	13.4%
Thomas	21.0%	2.9%
Thurston	33.0%	32.8%
Valley	16.0%	14.0%
Washington	8.0%	7.1%
Wayne	11.0%	23.1%
Webster	14.0%	21.3%
Wheeler	28.0%	19.2%
York	10.0%	9.9%

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2000 Census of Population Summary File 3, Tables PCT52 and PCT761. 2008-2012 American Community Survey 5-year estimates, Table B17001.

Percent children under 6 in poverty (2000 & 2008-2012)

State	% of children
2000	14.0%
2008-2012	19.3%
Highest county	By percent
2000	Keya Paha
2008-2012	Webster

Lowest county	By percent
2000	Stanton
2008-2012	4 with 0%



	2000	2008- 2012
Adams	13.4%	17.9%
Antelope	18.6%	33.5%
Arthur	17.6%	0.0%
Banner	5.7%	25.6%
Blaine	32.5%	9.5%
Boone	16.2%	6.0%
Box Butte	17.1%	49.1%
Boyd	13.5%	26.7%
Brown	20.8%	12.0%
Buffalo	14.2%	12.5%
Burt	8.2%	10.3%
Butler	13.7%	12.9%
Cass	11.1%	7.8%
Cedar	8.4%	18.0%
Chase	14.9%	39.7%
Cherry	18.5%	9.6%
Cheyenne	15.9%	14.0%
Clay	15.8%	16.6%
Colfax	15.6%	27.8%
Cuming	14.1%	16.4%
Custer	18.9%	8.9%
Dakota	17.1%	39.7%
Dawes	26.3%	37.0%
Dawson	16.5%	11.2%
Deuel	15.0%	7.7%
Dixon	14.9%	18.4%
Dodge	13.4%	24.4%
Douglas	14.8%	20.8%
Dundy	14.8%	11.4%
Fillmore	11.6%	16.7%
Franklin	15.5%	8.9%

	2000	2008- 2012
Frontier	8.5%	12.4%
Furnas	17.5%	32.6%
Gage	12.9%	16.5%
Garden	26.6%	15.9%
Garfield	9.8%	3.6%
Gosper	9.2%	9.1%
Grant	19.5%	40.9%
Greeley	21.4%	13.0%
Hall	19.6%	29.1%
Hamilton	9.8%	21.6%
Harlan	18.8%	27.9%
Hayes	25.5%	16.3%
Hitchcock	28.8%	17.0%
Holt	12.8%	11.8%
Hooker	7.7%	12.1%
Howard	12.5%	0.8%
Jefferson	14.7%	26.2%
Johnson	13.7%	40.1%
Kearney	13.0%	5.2%
Keith	21.4%	29.8%
Keya Paha	43.4%	43.8%
Kimball	12.4%	20.9%
Knox	22.3%	14.5%
Lancaster	12.0%	20.4%
Lincoln	15.4%	15.7%
Logan	14.0%	6.7%
Loup	22.4%	5.6%
Madison	16.4%	23.5%
McPherson	18.2%	7.1%
Merrick	9.7%	16.3%
Morrill	23.2%	21.3%

	2000	2008- 2012
Nance	22.0%	10.7%
Nemaha	17.3%	17.0%
Nuckolls	15.1%	34.8%
Otoe	13.7%	24.1%
Pawnee	14.9%	40.9%
Perkins	22.4%	13.8%
Phelps	13.1%	14.9%
Pierce	19.5%	6.2%
Platte	10.8%	11.4%
Polk	9.9%	4.2%
Red Willow	14.5%	15.2%
Richardson	13.6%	34.1%
Rock	36.0%	0.0%
Saline	7.1%	22.7%
Sarpy	6.5%	12.1%
Saunders	8.8%	16.2%
Scotts Bluff	27.3%	23.3%
Seward	7.4%	5.3%
Sheridan	25.5%	34.0%
Sherman	36.4%	1.6%
Sioux	12.5%	0.0%
Stanton	5.3%	6.3%
Thayer	17.0%	18.4%
Thomas	7.4%	0.0%
Thurston	33.1%	35.4%
Valley	15.2%	27.3%
Washington	10.2%	8.4%
Wayne	13.9%	32.7%
Webster	12.4%	56.5%
Wheeler	29.0%	19.2%
York	12.5%	20.0%

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2000 Census of Population Summary File 3, Tables PCT52 and PCT761. 2008-2012 American Community Survey 5-year averages Table B17001.

Percent children of color in poverty (2000 & 2008-2012)

State	Percent
2000	27.0%
2008-2012	33.2%

Highest county	By percent
2000	Keya Paha
2008-2012	5 with 100%

Lowest county	By percent
2000	14 with 0%
2008-2012	11 with 0%



< 20.0%

30.0-39.9%

50.0%+

	2000	2008- 2012
Adams	17.0%	26.7%
Antelope	39.0%	50.4%
Arthur	50.0%	0.0%
Banner	69.0%	32.0%
Blaine	0.0%	100.0%
Boone	18.0%	82.5%
Box Butte	37.0%	65.9%
Boyd	0.0%	20.0%
Brown	46.0%	0.0%
Buffalo	24.0%	22.8%
Burt	13.0%	13.2%
Butler	33.0%	11.5%
Cass	5.0%	4.4%
Cedar	0.0%	23.0%
Chase	15.0%	43.9%
Cherry	22.0%	5.9%
Cheyenne	31.0%	63.1%
Clay	26.0%	30.5%
Colfax	21.0%	27.1%
Cuming	24.0%	39.7%
Custer	26.0%	20.9%
Dakota	23.0%	30.8%
Dawes	32.0%	40.7%
Dawson	21.0%	21.9%
Deuel	29.0%	47.2%
Dixon	12.0%	37.5%
Dodge	22.0%	33.4%
Douglas	31.0%	36.1%
Dundy	31.0%	2.4%
Fillmore	21.0%	14.3%
Franklin	43.0%	44.0%

	2000	2008- 2012
Frontier	10.0%	27.3%
Furnas	44.0%	63.1%
Gage	26.0%	30.4%
Garden	52.0%	37.0%
Garfield	0.0%	100.0%
Gosper	0.0%	0.0%
Grant	0.0%	0.0%
Greeley	0.0%	42.6%
Hall	29.0%	29.8%
Hamilton	37.0%	17.6%
Harlan	4.0%	41.4%
Hayes	46.0%	17.6%
Hitchcock	37.0%	47.6%
Holt	22.0%	24.6%
Hooker	0.0%	0.0%
Howard	24.0%	13.0%
Jefferson	8.0%	53.4%
Johnson	11.0%	25.5%
Kearney	2.0%	0.0%
Keith	25.0%	32.5%
Keya Paha	0.0%	100.0%
Kimball	22.0%	31.7%
Knox	36.0%	45.9%
Lancaster	24.0%	36.0%
Lincoln	21.0%	23.3%
Logan	11.0%	100.0%
Loup	9.0%	62.1%
Madison	32.0%	20.4%
McPherson	100.0%	11.9%
Merrick	25.0%	42.0%
Morrill	36.0%	20.4%

		2008
	2000	2008-
Nance	23.0%	70.0%
Nemaha	0.0%	50.0%
Nuckolls	39.0%	40.2%
Otoe	28.0%	43.7%
Pawnee	0.0%	0.0%
Perkins	17.0%	37.0%
Phelps	34.0%	6.1%
Pierce	28.0%	15.6%
Platte	20.0%	35.4%
Polk	48.0%	16.3%
Red Willow	17.0%	13.7%
Richardson	29.0%	27.9%
Rock	63.0%	0.0%
Saline	21.0%	40.1%
Sarpy	8.0%	20.4%
Saunders	8.0%	24.3%
Scotts Bluff	42.0%	31.1%
Seward	9.0%	19.4%
Sheridan	42.0%	39.5%
Sherman	0.0%	91.4%
Sioux	0.0%	0.0%
Stanton	25.0%	23.4%
Thayer	51.0%	54.7%
Thomas	0.0%	0.0%
Thurston	41.0%	41.6%
Valley	58.0%	44.7%
Washington	13.0%	0.0%
Wayne	40.0%	40.9%
Webster	27.0%	48.1%
Wheeler	100.0%	100.0%
York	56.0%	21.9%

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2000 Census of Population Summary File 3 Tables PCT52 and PCT761. 2008-2012 American Community Survey 5-year averages Table B17001B-I. Note: Includes all children but those who identify as White non-Hispanic.

Children under 6 with all available parents working (2000 & 2008-2012)

Percent of children under 6 with all available parents working (2008-2012)

State	Number	% of all children
2000	94,858	69.8%
2008-2012	111,306	73.9%

Highest county	By number	By percent
2000	Douglas	Deuel
2008-2012	Douglas	Garden, Loup

Lowest county	By number	By percent
2000	Arthur	Logan
2008-2012	McPherson	Banner



	2000	% of children <6	2008- 2012	% of children <6		2000	% of children <6	2008- 2012	% of children <6		2000	% of children <6	2008- 2012	% of children <6
Adams	1,806	73.5%	1,773	73.2%	Frontier	143	73.0%	85	52.5%	Nance	201	68.1%	255	86.7%
Antelope	394	74.9%	341	66.3%	Furnas	266	74.7%	159	59.1%	Nemaha	240	58.5%	304	59.3%
Arthur	12	70.6%	54	85.7%	Gage	1,321	81.4%	1,234	82.2%	Nuckolls	226	76.4%	213	77.5%
Banner	31	60.8%	25	30.1%	Garden	86	78.9%	142	100.0%	Otoe	843	74.0%	885	80.2%
Blaine	24	60.0%	34	73.9%	Garfield	86	84.3%	90	91.8%	Pawnee	115	68.5%	113	64.6%
Boone	323	73.1%	284	81.6%	Gosper	94	72.3%	150	86.7%	Perkins	122	62.2%	191	85.7%
Box Butte	572	65.6%	406	51.5%	Grant	26	66.7%	27	75.0%	Phelps	483	68.9%	445	66.5%
Boyd	111	78.7%	78	60.0%	Greeley	122	64.9%	120	67.4%	Pierce	425	73.5%	507	87.1%
Brown	172	83.1%	156	77.2%	Hall	3,221	70.6%	4,131	73.4%	Platte	1,918	70.5%	2,238	81.9%
Buffalo	2,372	74.5%	2,806	75.1%	Hamilton	564	75.7%	532	73.8%	Polk	255	65.6%	314	86.0%
Burt	414	77.1%	291	66.3%	Harlan	163	67.9%	130	68.1%	Red Willow	598	75.7%	672	82.2%
Butler	508	71.1%	316	59.8%	Hayes	31	60.8%	75	86.2%	Richardson	396	68.0%	227	49.0%
Cass	1,384	72.2%	1,363	69.9%	Hitchcock	93	58.5%	94	59.9%	Rock	76	66.7%	64	82.1%
Cedar	574	80.7%	527	78.0%	Holt	582	75.1%	665	86.3%	Saline	703	72.5%	740	69.3%
Chase	165	60.2%	213	61.4%	Hooker	35	67.3%	32	69.6%	Sarpy	8,140	67.3%	11,156	72.2%
Cherry	310	74.0%	268	79.5%	Howard	330	75.9%	357	71.1%	Saunders	1,063	68.8%	1,202	74.6%
Cheyenne	511	69.1%	550	74.9%	Jefferson	349	67.1%	405	86.0%	Scotts Bluff	1,873	66.3%	2,170	73.0%
Clay	349	73.2%	286	69.1%	Johnson	213	79.5%	183	60.8%	Seward	844	73.1%	938	82.3%
Colfax	552	64.1%	601	66.9%	Kearney	361	74.9%	365	82.4%	Sheridan	326	76.2%	208	59.6%
Cuming	566	73.4%	467	71.6%	Keith	425	73.0%	393	78.8%	Sherman	100	49.5%	121	53.8%
Custer	580	74.4%	590	71.6%	Keya Paha	37	52.1%	26	60.5%	Sioux	60	68.2%	42	59.2%
Dakota	1,304	67.0%	1,299	65.7%	Kimball	196	76.0%	162	60.7%	Stanton	404	70.0%	332	67.5%
Dawes	310	58.2%	396	74.9%	Knox	465	75.9%	494	82.3%	Thayer	281	75.7%	281	73.6%
Dawson	1,475	62.2%	1,608	75.8%	Lancaster	14,024	72.1%	18,227	77.3%	Thomas	36	66.7%	42	61.8%
Deuel	99	87.6%	63	70.8%	Lincoln	1,731	64.4%	2,294	80.4%	Thurston	489	66.1%	610	76.8%
Dixon	328	70.8%	368	81.8%	Logan	20	43.5%	20	64.5%	Valley	213	72.0%	204	69.2%
Dodge	2,008	72.7%	2,205	76.3%	Loup	40	72.7%	25	100.0%	Washington	1,129	72.5%	883	64.7%
Douglas	26,135	67.3%	33,785	72.6%	Madison	2,012	71.5%	2,333	76.5%	Wayne	481	77.1%	470	69.3%
Dundy	118	83.1%	61	51.7%	McPherson	24	54.5%	12	54.5%	Webster	167	69.3%	240	90.9%
Fillmore	343	72.5%	299	74.8%	Merrick	512	77.0%	363	73.2%	Wheeler	56	81.2%	36	62.1%
Franklin	166	71.2%	172	79.6%	Morrill	261	67.1%	193	58.5%	York	746	75.7%	698	75.2%

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2000 Census of Population Table P46. 2008-2012 American Community Survey 5-year estimates, Table B23008.

Total births (2009 & 2013)

State	Number
2009	26,931
2013	26,094
Highest county	2013
By number	Douglas
By percent change	Thomas
Lowest county	2013
By number	Arthur

Arthur

By percent change



-20.0% or less

-5.9% to 5.9%

20.0%+

	2009	2013	% Change		
Adams	430	394	-8.4%		
Antelope	93	90	-3.2%		
Arthur	12	2	-83.3%		
Banner	9	4	-55.6%		
Blaine	5	3	-40.0%		
Boone	66	65	-1.5%		
Box Butte	151	161	6.6%		
Boyd	20	14	-30.0%		
Brown	31	32	3.2%		
Buffalo	672	730	8.6%		
Burt	76	69	-9.2%		
Butler	106	98	-7.5%		
Cass	284	282	-0.7%		
Cedar	101	94	-6.9%		
Chase	53	40	-24.5%		
Cherry	64	75	17.2%		
Cheyenne	125	130	4.0%		
Clay	79	75	-5.1%		
Colfax	229	176	-23.1%		
Cuming	110	99	-10.0%		
Custer	106	130	22.6%		
Dakota	408	355	-13.0%		
Dawes	101	93	-7.9%		
Dawson	417	390	-6.5%		
Deuel	16	17	6.3%		
Dixon	79	77	-2.5%		
Dodge	506	476	-5.9%		
Douglas	8,608	8,372	-2.7%		
Dundy	24	18	-25.0%		
Fillmore	61	48	-21.3%		
Franklin	31	27	-12.9%		

	2009 2013		% Change
Frontier	26	26	0.0%
Furnas	43	46	7.0%
Gage	264	250	-5.3%
Garden	18	16	-11.1%
Garfield	14	15	7.1%
Gosper	22	21	-4.5%
Grant	7	8	14.3%
Greeley	31	21	-32.3%
Hall	997	935	-6.2%
Hamilton	94	111	18.1%
Harlan	32	40	25.0%
Hayes	8	14	75.0%
Hitchcock	33	29	-12.1%
Holt	127	154	21.3%
Hooker	9	8	-11.1%
Howard	78	74	-5.1%
Jefferson	69	90	30.4%
Johnson	56	42	-25.0%
Kearney	62	71	14.5%
Keith	86	73	-15.1%
Keya Paha	11	8	-27.3%
Kimball	41	39	-4.9%
Knox	94	111	18.1%
Lancaster	4,160	4,030	-3.1%
Lincoln	511	420	-17.8%
Logan	4	14	250.0%
Loup	5	3	-40.0%
Madison	510	532	4.3%
McPherson	7	5	-28.6%
Merrick	86	94	9.3%
Morrill	58	50	-13.8%

	2009	2009 2013			
Nance	49	35	-28.6%		
Nemaha	72	81	12.5%		
Nuckolls	41	43	4.9%		
Otoe	220	189	-14.1%		
Pawnee	21	43	104.8%		
Perkins	33	43	30.3%		
Phelps	106	126	18.9%		
Pierce	83	100	20.5%		
Platte	523	484	-7.5%		
Polk	72	62	-13.9%		
Red Willow	115	121	5.2%		
Richardson	90	111	23.3%		
Rock	16	12	-25.0%		
Saline	223	184	-17.5%		
Sarpy	2,665	2,538	-4.8%		
Saunders	276	226	-18.1%		
Scotts Bluff	556	531	-4.5%		
Seward	192	174	-9.4%		
Sheridan	51	54	5.9%		
Sherman	24	38	58.3%		
Sioux	9	8	-11.1%		
Stanton	73	80	9.6%		
Thayer	55	80	45.5%		
Thomas	2	11	450.0%		
Thurston	177	138	-22.0%		
Valley	44	47	6.8%		
Washington	199	239	20.1%		
Wayne	92	111	20.7%		
Webster	33	29	-12.1%		
Wheeler	14	5	-64.3%		
York	169	165	-2.4%		

Source: Vital Statistics, Nebraska Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS).

Births to mothers ages 10-17 (2009 & 2013)

State	Number	% of births
2009	658	2.5%
2013	424	1.6%

Highest county	By number	By percent
2009	Douglas	Hayes
2013	Douglas	Dundy

Lowest county	By number	By percent
2009	37 with 0	37 with 0%
2013	42 with 0	42 with 0%



	2009	% of births	2013	% of births		2009	% of births	2013	% of births		2009	% of births	2013	% of births
Adams	13	3.3%	11	2.8%	Frontier	3	11.5%	0	0.0%	Nance	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Antelope	1	1.1%	0	0.0%	Furnas	0	0.0%	1	2.2%	Nemaha	1	1.4%	0	0.0%
Arthur	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	Gage	7	2.7%	3	1.2%	Nuckolls	2	4.9%	1	2.3%
Banner	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	Garden	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	Otoe	6	2.7%	3	1.6%
Blaine	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	Garfield	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	Pawnee	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Boone	1	1.5%	3	4.6%	Gosper	1	4.6%	0	0.0%	Perkins	2	6.1%	2	4.7%
Box Butte	4	2.7%	8	5.0%	Grant	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	Phelps	1	0.9%	1	0.8%
Boyd	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	Greeley	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	Pierce	0	0.0%	1	1.0%
Brown	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	Hall	40	4.0%	29	3.1%	Platte	16	3.1%	5	1.0%
Buffalo	16	2.4%	11	1.5%	Hamilton	3	3.2%	3	2.7%	Polk	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Burt	2	2.6%	0	0.0%	Harlan	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	Red Willow	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Butler	2	1.9%	0	0.0%	Hayes	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	Richardson	1	1.1%	4	3.6%
Cass	6	2.1%	6	2.1%	Hitchcock	1	3.0%	0	0.0%	Rock	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cedar	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	Holt	0	0.0%	1	0.7%	Saline	9	4.0%	2	1.1%
Chase	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	Hooker	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	Sarpy	48	1.8%	19	0.8%
Cherry	3	4.7%	2	2.7%	Howard	1	1.3%	1	1.4%	Saunders	1	0.4%	2	8.8%
Cheyenne	1	0.8%	0	0.0%	Jefferson	2	2.9%	4	4.4%	Scotts Bluff	18	3.2%	13	2.5%
Clay	1	1.3%	4	5.3%	Johnson	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	Seward	0	0.0%	3	1.7%
Colfax	7	3.1%	5	2.8%	Kearney	2	0.0%	0	0.0%	Sheridan	2	0.0%	1	1.9%
Cuming	0	0.0%	3	3.0%	Keith	0	0.0%	3	4.1%	Sherman	1	4.2%	1	2.6%
Custer	2	1.9%	4	3.1%	Keya Paha	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	Sioux	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Dakota	17	4.2%	9	2.5%	Kimball	2	4.9%	0	0.0%	Stanton	4	5.5%	2	2.5%
Dawes	3	3.0%	2	2.2%	Knox	3	3.2%	0	0.0%	Thayer	0	0.0%	1	1.3%
Dawson	13	3.1%	16	4.1%	Lancaster	82	2.0%	57	1.4%	Thomas	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Deuel	1	6.3%	1	5.9%	Lincoln	14	2.7%	7	1.7%	Thurston	12	6.8%	1	0.4%
Dixon	2	2.5%	3	3.9%	Logan	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	Valley	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Dodge	11	2.2%	9	1.9%	Loup	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	Washington	4	2.0%	1	0.4%
Douglas	231	2.7%	126	1.5%	Madison	15	2.9%	13	2.4%	Wayne	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Dundy	1	4.2%	2	11.1%	McPherson	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	Webster	0	0.0%	1	3.5%
Fillmore	3	4.9%	1	2.1%	Merrick	3	3.5%	1	1.1%	Wheeler	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Franklin	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	Morrill	3	0.1%	0	0.0%	York	5	3.0%	4	2.5%

Source: Vital Statistics, Nebraska Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS).

Low birth weight births (2009 & 2013)

State	Number	% of births
2009	1,923	7.1%
2013	1,690	6.5%

Highest county	By number	By percent		
2009	Douglas	Keya Paha		
2013	Douglas	Blaine		

Lowest county	By number	By percent
2009	18 with 0	18 with 0%
2013	16 with 0	16 with 0%



2009 2009 2009 % of births 2013 % of births % of births 2013 % of births % of births 2013 % of births Adams 36 8.4% 22 5.6% Frontier 0 0.0% 0 0.0% Nance 3 6.1% 2 5.7% Antelope 4 4.3% 3 3.3% Furnas 0 0.0% 3 6.5% Nemaha 5 6.9% 3 3.7% 21 8.0% 15 12.2% 3 7.0% Arthur 1 8.3% 0 0.0% Gage 6.0% Nuckolls 5 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 2 11.1% 1 6.3% Otoe 19 8.6% 12 6.3% Banner Garden Blaine 0 0.0% 1 33.3% Garfield 0 0.0% 0 0.0% Pawnee 1 4.8% 0 0.0% 6 4 0 0.0% 5 23.8% 0 0.0% 5 11.6% Boone 9.1% 6.2% Gosper Perkins Box Butte 11 7.3% 14 8.7% 0 0.0% 1 12.5% 6 5.7% 12 9.5% Grant Phelps 4 2 0 2 6 5% 2 Pierce Boyd 10.0% 0.0% Greelev 9 5% 1 1.2% 4 0% 2 5 15.6% 83 60 19 20 4.1% Brown 6.5% Hall 8.3% 6.4% Platte 3.6% Buffalo 42 6.3% 42 5.8% Hamilton 4 4.3% 1 0.9% Polk 4 5.6% 4 6.5% 7 2 9.2% 6 0 0.0% 11 9.6% 10 Burt 8.7% Harlan 5.0% Red Willow 8.3% Butler 7 6.6% 6 6.1% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% Richardson 5 5.6% 5 4.5% Hayes Cass 12 4.2% 29 10.3% Hitchcock 2 6.1% 0 0.0% Rock 0 0.0% 1 8.3% Cedar 2 2.0% 3 3.2% Holt 12 9.4% 4 Saline 9 4.0% 9 4.9% 2.6% 2 0 Chase 3 5.7% 5.0% Hooker 1 11.1% 0.0% 169 6.3% 142 5.6% Sarpy Cherry 3 4.7% 6 8.0% Howard 4 5.1% 7 9.5% Saunders 14 5.1% 9 4.0% 13 10.4% 12 9.2% 7 10.1% 6 6.7% 41 7.4% 44 8.3% Chevenne Jeffersor Scotts Bluff Clay 0 0.0% 6 8.0% Johnson 3 5.4% 3 7.1% Seward 11 5.7% 10 5.7% 5 16 7.0% 5 3 4.8% 1 2.0% 3 Colfax 2.8% Kearney 7.0% Sheridan 5.6% 9 6 7 5 5 Cuming 8.2% 6.1% Keith 8.1% 6.8% Sherman 1 4.2% 13.2% Custer 6 5 7% 5 3.8% Keva Paha 3 27.3% 0 0.0% Sioux 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 23 5.6% 16 9 22.0% 3 7.7% 2 2.7% 4 5.0% Dakota 4.5% Kimball Stanton 3 3.0% 4 4.3% 5 5.3% 7 6.3% 6 10.9% 5 6.3% Dawes Knox Thayer 32 7.7% 22 291 7.0% 251 0 0.0% 1 Dawson 5.6% Lancaster 6.2% Thomas 9.1% Deuel 1 6.3% 0 0.0% Lincoln 43 8.4% 27 6.4% Thurston 19 10.7% 6 4.3% Dixon 3 3.8% 4 5.2% 0 0.0% 2 14.3% Valley 2.3% 0 0.0% Logan 1 36 7.1% 24 0 0 14 7.0% 13 Dodge 5.0% Loup 0.0% 0.0% Washington 5.4% 703 633 38 30 6 5 Douglas 8.2% 7.6% Madison 7.5% 5.6% Wayne 6.5% 4.5% Dundy 3 12.5% 3 16.7% McPherson 0 0.0% 0 0.0% Webster 0 0.0% 2 6.9% Fillmore 2 3.3% 1 2.1% Merrick 3 3.5% 6 6.4% Wheeler 2 14.3% 0 0.0% 1 3.2% 2 7.4% Morrill 6 10.3% 4 York 10 5.9% 15 Franklin 8.0% 9.1%

Source: Vital Statistics, Nebraska Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS).

Children without health insurance (2008 & 2012)

Percent of children without health insurance (2012)

State	Number	% of all children
2008	32,359	7.0%
2012	30,817	6.5%

Highest county	By number	By percent		
2008	Douglas	Thurston		
2012	Douglas	Hayes		

Lowest county	By number	By percent
2008	Blaine	Garden
2012	Loup	Washington



% of all 2008 2012 2008 2008 2012 2012 children childrer childrer children children childrer Adams 636 7.9% 548 7.1% Frontier 45 9.5% 51 8.6% Nance 76 9.5% 74 8.4% Antelope 114 7.8% 124 7.8% Furnas 86 9.0% 93 8.1% Nemaha 109 7.5% 91 5.7% 19 Gage Arthu 11 13.0% 13.4% 351 6.9% 308 6.1% Nuckolls 73 8.2% 84 9.1% Banner 15 11.1% 21 14.5% Garden 16 5.4% 31 9.0% Otoe 271 7.2% 226 5.9% Blaine 5 6.5% 21 16.1% Garfield 43 12.3% 46 11.1% Pawnee 45 9.1% 57 9.6% Boone 105 8.3% 101 7.9% Gosper 46 11.7% 35 7.5% Perkins 58 9.3% 58 8.1% Box Butte 230 8.2% 187 6.4% 14 12.4% 14 10.8% 177 8.0% 130 5.6% Grant Phelps Boyd 35 8.9% 40 9.4% Greeley 48 9.4% 67 11 7% Pierce 170 9.4% 146 79% Brown 56 9.3% 76 11.1% Hall 1,450 9.1% 1,350 8.0% Platte 684 8.0% 674 7.6% Buffalo 683 6.2% 687 6.0% Hamilton 176 7.5% 123 5.4% Polk 106 9.2% 98 7.4% 164 Burt 146 9.3% 121 7.9% Harlan 67 10.0% 58 8.0% Red Willow 7.8% 6.3% 195 37 Butler 173 8.6% 131 6.4% Hayes 17 8.5% 18.0% Richardson 158 8.8% 131 7.3% Cass 465 7.0% 345 5 4% Hitchcock 53 91% 56 8.9% Rock 30 10.9% 43 15.2% 185 8.8% 187 8.6% Holt 175 7.6% 200 8.0% Saline 267 7.9% 307 8.4% Cedar 64 8.6% 99 9.9% Hooker 16 12.0% 17 10.5% 2,503 5.6% 2,422 5.0% Chase Sarpy 7.0% 298 Cherry 115 8.9% 119 9.2% Howard 110 129 8.3% Saunders 382 7 6% 5 5% Cheyenne 181 7.4% 130 5.3% Jefferson 123 8.0% 108 6.5% Scotts Bluff 780 8.4% 700 7.5% 135 9.3% 136 8.3% Johnson 103 11.5% 90 8.6% Seward 248 6.6% 196 4.9% Clay Colfax 373 12.4% 325 10.0% 124 81% 82 5.3% 142 11.6% 128 10.6% Kearney Sheridan 11.5% 8.4% 131 8.4% Cuming 261 207 9.1% Keith 142 7.5% Sherman 52 62 9.1% Custer 170 6.6% 192 7.5% Keya Paha 16 8.1% 28 15.7% Sioux 23 9.4% 29 9.4% Kimball 64 78 8.9% Stanton Dakota 671 10.6% 544 8.6% 8.3% 139 8.6% 102 6.3% 170 9.4% 155 8.2% 199 10.1% 241 11.4% 90 8.4% 88 8.0% Dawes Knox Thayer 12.4% 614 8.8% 3 869 5.6% 4 063 5.9% 9.5% 16.4% Dawson 919 Lancaster Thomas 11 26 Deuel 35 10.0% 37 8.7% Lincoln 580 6.4% 535 5.8% Thurston 355 13.1% 290 10.8% Valley Dixon 133 9.1% 126 8.3% Logan 22 12.7% 21 11.3% 66 7.5% 90 9.4% 8.6% 12 234 4.6% Dodge 619 7.1% 626 7.1% Loup 11 9.3% Washington 270 5.6% 8,526 6.2% 8,383 5.9% Madison 734 8.4% 701 7.9% 135 7.5% 124 6.3% Douglas Wayne 41 10.4% 66 13.9% McPherson 11 9.2% 18 12.4% Webster 66 9.7% 65 7.7% Dundy Fillmore 115 8.5% 84 6.7% Merrick 157 8.7% 126 6.6% Wheeler 15 8.2% 26 14.0% Franklin 54 8.7% 52 7.7% Morrill 103 9.0% 111 9.2% York 246 7.4% 190 6.1%

Source: U.S. Census, 2008 and 2012 Small Area Health Insurance Estimates.

Children enrolled in Medicaid or CHIP (2009 & 2013)

2		
State	Number	% of all children
2009	140,281	31.5%
2013	161,729	35.5%

Highest county	By number	By percent			
2009	Douglas	Blaine			
2013	Douglas	Thurston			

Lowest county	By number	By percent		
2009	Arthur	Washington		
2013	Arthur	Arthur		



% of all 2009 2013 2009 2013 2009 2013 childrer childrer childrer children childrer children Adams 2,648 33.8% 2,916 39.0% Frontier 177 31.2% 197 36.2% Nance 203 23.7% 244 28.0% Antelope 497 31.4% 460 29.4% Furnas 375 35.9% 413 36.3% Nemaha 496 33.9% 465 30.7% Arthu 22 6 4.2% Gage 1.550 1.676 Nuckolls 272 28.8% 17.3% 30.0% 32.9% 292 30.8% 48 26.4% 39 19.5% Garden 150 53.8% 153 48.5% 958 25.2% 1,112 28.8% Banne Otoe Blaine 57 80.3% 46 29.5% Garfield 181 42.9% 149 31.3% Pawnee 154 27.7% 185 32.2% 275 20.4% 263 20.3% 100 21.5% 129 27.2% Perkins 165 25.7% 131 19.1% Boone Gosper 1,080 50 65 46.6% 28.2% 626 28.3% Box Butte 910 32.0% 37.6% Grant 40.0% Phelps 596 Boyd 133 34 7% 142 32.6% Greeley 213 35.6% 199 33.1% Pierce 380 20.6% 417 22.3% Brown 227 32.7% 236 33.0% Hall 6,382 41.0% 7,377 46.1% Platte 1,994 23.5% 2,408 28.2% Buffalo 3,520 33.2% 3,762 34.2% Hamilton 489 19.6% 564 24.4% 21.4% 299 22.9% Polk 270 Burt 415 25.3% 480 31.6% Harlan 219 224 31.3% Red Willow 810 34.2% 35.9% 30.6% 902 Butler 432 20.3% 432 21.4% Hayes 46 20.8% 43 17.1% Richardson 689 37.7% 710 39.8% Cass 1 4 3 1 21.5% 1 586 24.9% Hitchcock 252 41 9% 249 41 7% Rock 124 41.8% 96 32.3% Cedar 423 18.8% 401 18.2% Holt 802 32.6% 834 34.2% Saline 987 28.4% 1,226 35.2% 264 280 29.7% 35 28.5% 32 22.0% 6,970 16.8% 9,633 21.1% Chase 32.0% Hooker Sarpy 453 941 Cherry 509 371% 37.5% Howard 426 26.4% 388 25.3% Saunders 18.4% 1 174 22.1% Cheyenne 581 25.1% 558 23.8% Jefferson 508 31.9% 566 34.5% Scotts Bluff 3.991 43.4% 4,394 48.3% 465 29.8% 600 36.6% Johnson 259 31.9% 325 32.1% Seward 622 16.6% 736 18.8% Clay Colfax 1 0 4 2 1 286 42.9% Kearney 417 26.3% 445 28.6% 537 43.7% 478 33.2% Sheridan 38.1% Cuming 477 20.1% 611 27.0% Keith 515 31.3% 610 35.0% Sherman 233 37.2% 201 28.9% Custer 866 32.7% 799 30.9% Keya Paha 49 22.2% 54 41.0% Sioux 43 18.5% 50 19.3% 275 Dakota 2,462 39.8% 3,115 51.7% Kimball 318 316 37.4% Stanton 16.3% 241 14.5% 38.1% 38.5% 41.7% 647 746 764 25.6% 26.5% Dawes 696 Knox 36.6% 37.2% Thaye 279 296 3 086 18 814 22 389 16 7% Dawson 2 603 34.3% 44 5% Lancaster 29.9% 33.9% Thomas 32 22.5% 40 Deuel 141 34.3% 131 33.3% Lincoln 2,790 31.2% 2,949 32.7% Thurston 1,654 63.8% 1,679 68.1% Dixon 312 20.0% 279 17.9% 52 35.4% 55 36.6% Valley 286 31.5% 293 30.7% Logan 3.051 3.505 28.5% 16.2% Dodge 36.0% 40.2% Loup 36 27.5% 35 Washington 774 15.4% 803 46,967 55,259 40.9% 3,042 34.9% 3,451 39.6% 434 24.4% 618 33.5% Douglas 35.9% Madisor Wayne 35.4% 158 35.7% McPherson 39 22 260 262 30.5% Dundy 142 29.8% 38.9% Webster 34.1% 431 29.0% 391 28.5% Merrick 550 29.8% 571 30.5% Wheeler 52 29.4% 37 25.3% Fillmore Franklin 221 33.0% 217 32.3% Morrill 501 41.0% 466 39.2% York 928 28.7% 1.004 32.4%

Source: Financial & Program Services, Nebraska Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS). Note: Based on average monthly participation. 1,739 out of state families included in state total.

Family Bottom Line full-time hourly wage (2013)

Highest county	Hourly wage
2 adult, 1 infant, 1 toddler	Sarpy
1 adult, 1 infant, 1 toddler	Sarpy

Lowest county	Hourly wage
2 adult, 1 infant, 1 toddler	Thurston
1 adult, 1 infant, 1 toddler	Thurston

Fa	mily b	ottom	line ho	ourly	wage	2 ad	ult,	1 infa	nt, '	1 tod	dler	fam	ily (ʻ	2013)	
						└~~~			-1				L.		
												-			
]	۱ 	5												
											$\frac{1}{1}$		~{		
										$\overline{/}$					
							p-L-								
						<u></u>									

\$9.86-\$9.90

\$9.80-\$9.85

2 adult, 1 adult, 1 1 infant, infant, 1 1 toddler toddler Adams \$10.15 \$16.88 Antelope \$9.83 \$16.26 Arthur \$9.85 \$16.30 Banner \$9.85 \$16.30 Blaine \$9.83 \$16.26 Boone \$9.83 \$16.27 \$16.33 Box Butte \$9.87 Boyd \$9.83 \$16.26 \$16.33 Brown \$9.87 Buffalo \$10.31 \$17.18 Burt \$9.78 \$16.17 Butler \$9.83 \$16.27 \$10.53 Cass \$17.67 Cedar \$9.83 \$16.26 Chase \$9.86 \$16.32 \$9.87 \$16.33 Cherry Cheyenne \$9.87 \$16.33 Clay \$10.05 \$16.79 Colfax \$9.83 \$16.27 \$9.83 \$16.26 Cuming Custer \$9.85 \$16.30 Dakota \$13.08 \$23.22 \$9.87 \$16.33 Dawes Dawson \$9.95 \$16.59 Deuel \$9.86 \$16.32 \$9.90 \$16.36 Dixon Dodge \$10.44 \$17.46 \$13.13 \$23.33 Douglas Dundy \$9.87 \$16.33 Fillmore \$9.83 \$16.27

\$10.05

Franklin

	2 adult, 1 infant, 1 toddler	1 adult, 1 infant, 1 toddler
Frontier	\$9.85	\$16.31
Furnas	\$9.83	\$16.27
Gage	\$9.83	\$16.27
Garden	\$9.87	\$16.33
Garfield	\$9.84	\$16.28
Gosper	\$9.82	\$16.24
Grant	\$9.86	\$16.32
Greeley	\$9.82	\$16.26
Hall	\$10.00	\$16.69
Hamilton	\$9.84	\$16.28
Harlan	\$10.07	\$16.80
Hayes	\$9.85	\$16.30
Hitchcock	\$9.85	\$16.30
Holt	\$9.83	\$16.26
Hooker	\$9.86	\$16.32
Howard	\$9.84	\$16.28
Jefferson	\$9.83	\$16.27
Johnson	\$9.83	\$16.27
Kearney	\$10.06	\$16.79
Keith	\$9.87	\$16.33
Keya Paha	\$9.83	\$16.27
Kimball	\$9.87	\$16.33
Knox	\$9.83	\$16.26
Lancaster	\$12.72	\$22.55
Lincoln	\$9.99	\$16.67
Logan	\$9.85	\$16.30
Loup	\$9.83	\$16.26
Madison	\$9.83	\$16.27
McPherson	\$9.92	\$16.51
Merrick	\$9.84	\$16.28
Morrill	\$9.86	\$16.32

< \$9.80

	2 adult, 1 infant, 1 toddler	1 adult, 1 infant, 1 toddler
Nance	\$9.83	\$16.26
Nemaha	\$9.83	\$16.26
Nuckolls	\$10.05	\$16.79
Otoe	\$9.83	\$16.27
Pawnee	\$9.83	\$16.27
Perkins	\$9.85	\$16.30
Phelps	\$10.07	\$16.80
Pierce	\$9.83	\$16.26
Platte	\$9.83	\$16.27
Polk	\$9.83	\$16.27
Red Willow	\$9.87	\$16.33
Richardson	\$9.83	\$16.27
Rock	\$9.83	\$16.27
Saline	\$10.00	\$16.69
Sarpy	\$13.53	\$24.16
Saunders	\$10.49	\$17.56
Scotts Bluff	\$9.87	\$16.33
Seward	\$9.83	\$16.26
Sheridan	\$9.86	\$16.32
Sherman	\$9.85	\$16.30
Sioux	\$9.86	\$16.32
Stanton	\$9.81	\$16.24
Thayer	\$9.83	\$16.26
Thomas	\$9.85	\$16.30
Thurston	\$9.77	\$16.16
Valley	\$9.85	\$16.30
Washington	\$10.80	\$18.31
Wayne	\$9.83	\$16.26
Webster	\$10.06	\$16.80
Wheeler	\$9.82	\$16.26
York	\$10.07	\$16.83

\$9.91-\$10.99

\$11.00+

Source: Center for Women's Welfare, "The Self-Sufficiency Standard for Nebraska "(2010).

\$16.77

Note: Calculation based on 2,080 hours worked annually per adult. Figures were updated for inflation from 2010 to 2013 by Voices for Children in Nebraska.

Average monthly number of families on ADC (SFY 2009 & 2013)

State	Number	Percent of all families with children
2009	8,621	4.0%
2013	7,213	3.4%

Highest county	By number	By percent
2009	Douglas	Thurston
2013	Douglas	Thurston

Lowest county	By number	By percent
2009	4 counties with 0	4 counties with 0%
2013	2 counties with 0	2 counties with 0%



	2009	% of all families with children	2013	% of all families with children		2009	% of all families with children	2013	% of all families with children		2009	% of all families with children	2013	% of all families with children
Adams	186	4.6%	144	4.0%	Frontier	5	1.5%	6	2.1%	Nance	4	1.1%	3	0.7%
Antelope	13	1.5%	7	0.9%	Furnas	16	2.9%	13	2.3%	Nemaha	31	3.8%	18	2.4%
Arthur	1	2.4%	0	0.0%	Gage	57	2.3%	60	2.7%	Nuckolls	7	1.3%	7	1.7%
Banner	0	0.0%	1	1.2%	Garden	3	1.4%	5	3.2%	Otoe	49	2.5%	46	2.4%
Blaine	0	0.0%	1	1.1%	Garfield	2	0.9%	3	1.7%	Pawnee	3	1.1%	5	1.8%
Boone	10	1.4%	7	1.2%	Gosper	2	0.8%	3	1.2%	Perkins	5	1.5%	3	0.8%
Box Butte	47	3.2%	44	3.2%	Grant	1	1.1%	1	2.0%	Phelps	29	2.8%	17	1.6%
Boyd	4	2.0%	4	2.9%	Greeley	3	1.1%	4	1.5%	Pierce	10	0.9%	6	0.7%
Brown	3	0.9%	3	0.7%	Hall	375	5.0%	329	4.3%	Platte	95	2.5%	81	2.2%
Buffalo	157	3.1%	90	1.7%	Hamilton	14	1.2%	13	1.4%	Polk	5	0.9%	10	1.6%
Burt	18	2.2%	11	1.5%	Harlan	10	3.0%	5	1.7%	Red Willow	27	1.8%	28	2.3%
Butler	13	1.3%	6	0.7%	Hayes	0	0.0%	1	0.7%	Richardson	21	2.3%	15	2.0%
Cass	53	1.6%	43	1.4%	Hitchcock	3	0.9%	5	1.8%	Rock	2	1.3%	2	1.4%
Cedar	5	0.5%	5	0.6%	Holt	21	1.9%	29	2.7%	Saline	29	1.8%	52	3.8%
Chase	12	3.1%	8	1.8%	Hooker	1	1.4%	2	2.2%	Sarpy	357	1.7%	356	1.6%
Cherry	13	1.7%	11	1.6%	Howard	14	1.6%	7	1.0%	Saunders	27	1.0%	26	1.0%
Cheyenne	29	2.3%	15	1.2%	Jefferson	21	2.5%	24	3.3%	Scotts Bluff	189	4.3%	181	4.5%
Clay	21	2.6%	20	2.6%	Johnson	14	2.2%	17	3.0%	Seward	12	0.6%	9	0.5%
Colfax	49	3.5%	57	4.0%	Kearney	15	1.8%	5	0.7%	Sheridan	15	2.4%	21	3.9%
Cuming	16	1.5%	25	2.4%	Keith	25	2.5%	20	2.3%	Sherman	6	1.6%	1	0.4%
Custer	22	1.7%	20	1.6%	Keya Paha	2	2.1%	1	1.8%	Sioux	1	0.8%	1	0.9%
Dakota	102	3.8%	94	3.3%	Kimball	7	1.7%	8	2.1%	Stanton	10	1.3%	4	0.5%
Dawes	30	4.4%	29	3.6%	Knox	20	2.0%	24	2.4%	Thayer	8	1.4%	10	1.8%
Dawson	129	4.6%	140	4.7%	Lancaster	1,097	3.4%	1,092	3.3%	Thomas	1	1.4%	0	0.0%
Deuel	8	3.7%	2	1.2%	Lincoln	169	3.8%	144	3.5%	Thurston	195	24.1%	132	20.3%
Dixon	8	1.2%	8	1.0%	Logan	3	3.1%	5	7.4%	Valley	6	1.3%	7	1.3%
Dodge	171	4.1%	174	3.9%	Loup	0	0.0%	1	1.9%	Washington	27	1.2%	18	0.8%
Douglas	4,145	7.0%	3,155	5.1%	Madison	180	4.6%	131	3.4%	Wayne	25	2.5%	20	2.5%
Dundy	3	1.4%	5	2.3%	McPherson	2	2.2%	2	2.7%	Webster	11	3.2%	11	2.9%
Fillmore	10	1.4%	9	1.4%	Merrick	14	1.6%	9	1.1%	Wheeler	1	1.2%	1	1.9%
Franklin	4	1.0%	3	0.9%	Morrill	17	2.8%	11	2.1%	York	17	1.1%	21	1.6%

Sources: Financial and Programs Services, Nebraska Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS). U.S. Census Bureau, 2005-2009 and 2008-2012 American Community Survey 5-year estimates, Table DP02. Note: 10 and 14 Out-of-State families received ADC from Nebraska in 2009 and 2013 respectively.

Average percent of families on ADC (SFY 2013)

Child food insecurity (2012)

State (2012)	Food insecure children
Number	89,652
Percent	20.7%

Highest county	Food insecure children
Number	Douglas
Percent	Loup

Lowest county	Food insecure children
Number	Thomas
Percent	Kearney

	# of chidren	% of children
Adams	1,491	19.9%
Antelope	316	20.6%
Arthur	34	23.8%
Banner	33	23.9%
Blaine	32	25.4%
Boone	204	16.8%
Box Butte	764	27.3%
Boyd	71	17.4%
Brown	113	17.3%
Buffalo	2,102	18.5%
Burt	245	16.5%
Butler	358	18.0%
Cass	1,030	16.7%
Cedar	363	17.2%
Chase	206	21.0%
Cherry	202	16.3%
Cheyenne	476	19.8%
Clay	276	17.3%
Colfax	695	21.8%
Cuming	427	19.5%
Custer	413	16.6%
Dakota	1484	24.3%
Dawes	422	23.6%
Dawson	1,446	21.2%
Deuel	82	19.9%
Dixon	305	20.4%
Dodge	1792	20.7%
Douglas	26,839	19.3%
Dundy	86	18.8%
Fillmore	208	15.9%
Franklin	116	17.6%

Percent of food insecure children (2012)

19.0-20.9%

17.0-18.9%

	# of children	% of children
Frontier	106	18.6%
Furnas	257	23.4%
Gage	972	19.9%
Garden	64	19.5%
Garfield	71	17.9%
Gosper	85	18.8%
Grant	34	26.5%
Greeley	111	19.9%
Hall	3,363	20.4%
Hamilton	389	17.7%
Harlan	143	20.7%
Hayes	37	19.1%
Hitchcock	133	21.8%
Holt	426	17.6%
Hooker	33	21.2%
Howard	273	18.1%
Jefferson	361	22.2%
Johnson	208	20.6%
Kearney	228	15.1%
Keith	365	21.4%
Keya Paha	49	29.3%
Kimball	171	20.2%
Knox	414	20.1%
Lancaster	13,021	19.3%
Lincoln	1625	18.2%
Logan	33	17.8%
Loup	38	30.2%
Madison	1,838	21.1%
McPherson	27	19.1%
Merrick	359	19.0%
Morrill	276	23.5%

< 17.0%

	# of children	% of children
Nance	174	19.8%
Nemaha	275	18.1%
Nuckolls	207	23.2%
Otoe	747	20.0%
Pawnee	119	20.9%
Perkins	115	16.8%
Phelps	386	17.3%
Pierce	286	16.0%
Platte	1,693	19.6%
Polk	215	16.8%
Red Willow	482	19.0%
Richardson	431	24.8%
Rock	41	15.5%
Saline	811	22.5%
Sarpy	8,100	17.3%
Saunders	942	18.0%
Scotts Bluff	2,098	23.0%
Seward	604	15.5%
Sheridan	260	22.4%
Sherman	114	17.3%
Sioux	60	20.0%
Stanton	296	18.6%
Thayer	189	17.8%
Thomas	26	16.9%
Thurston	725	28.5%
Valley	168	18.1%
Washington	778	15.9%
Wayne	414	21.7%
Webster	171	20.7%
Wheeler	38	21.0%
York	547	17.8%

21.0-22.9%

23.0%+

Source: Feeding America, Map the Meal Gap 2014, Child Food Insecurity in Nebraska by County in 2012. U.S. Census 2012 Population Estimates.

SNAP participation among children (2009 & 2013)

State	Number	Percent
2009	71,038	15.9%
2013	91,240	20.1%
Highest county	By number	By percent

2013	Douglas	Thurston
Lowest county	By number	By percent
2009	Loup	Loup

Thurston

Arthur

Douglas

Arthur

2009

2013



	2009	% of all children	2013	% of all children		2009	% of all children	2013	% of all children		2009	% of all children	2013	% of all children
Adams	1,237	15.8%	1,495	20.0%	Frontier	77	13.6%	96	17.6%	Nance	99	11.5%	112	12.8%
Antelope	170	10.7%	160	10.2%	Furnas	178	17.0%	226	19.9%	Nemaha	275	18.8%	299	19.7%
Arthur	6	4.7%	4	2.6%	Gage	822	15.9%	989	19.4%	Nuckolls	115	12.2%	160	16.9%
Banner	14	7.7%	12	6.0%	Garden	57	20.4%	76	24.1%	Otoe	505	13.3%	652	16.9%
Blaine	13	18.3%	17	11.0%	Garfield	53	12.6%	48	10.1%	Pawnee	75	13.5%	84	14.7%
Boone	106	7.9%	120	9.2%	Gosper	40	8.6%	62	13.0%	Perkins	65	10.1%	57	8.3%
Box Butte	511	18.0%	620	21.6%	Grant	11	8.8%	17	12.2%	Phelps	255	12.1%	303	13.7%
Boyd	24	6.3%	30	6.9%	Greeley	48	8.0%	57	9.5%	Pierce	142	7.7%	194	10.4%
Brown	76	11.0%	58	8.1%	Hall	3,183	20.5%	3,950	24.7%	Platte	882	10.4%	1,168	13.7%
Buffalo	1,701	16.1%	1,869	17.0%	Hamilton	185	7.4%	251	10.8%	Polk	116	9.2%	146	11.2%
Burt	200	12.2%	267	17.5%	Harlan	89	12.4%	104	14.5%	Red Willow	417	17.6%	423	16.8%
Butler	202	9.5%	216	10.7%	Hayes	10	4.5%	15	6.0%	Richardson	372	20.4%	464	26.0%
Cass	613	9.2%	866	13.6%	Hitchcock	104	17.3%	124	20.8%	Rock	21	7.1%	40	13.5%
Cedar	202	9.0%	176	8.0%	Holt	261	10.6%	315	12.9%	Saline	375	10.8%	608	17.4%
Chase	107	13.0%	94	10.0%	Hooker	14	11.4%	11	7.5%	Sarpy	3,287	7.9%	5,239	11.5%
Cherry	156	11.4%	187	15.5%	Howard	145	9.0%	145	9.5%	Saunders	447	8.7%	599	11.3%
Cheyenne	301	13.0%	288	12.3%	Jefferson	239	15.0%	295	18.0%	Scotts Bluff	2,204	24.0%	2,618	28.8%
Clay	211	13.5%	287	17.5%	Johnson	142	17.5%	218	21.6%	Seward	288	7.7%	330	8.4%
Colfax	344	10.9%	510	17.0%	Kearney	155	9.8%	199	12.8%	Sheridan	208	16.9%	287	22.9%
Cuming	187	7.9%	283	12.5%	Keith	261	15.9%	344	19.7%	Sherman	62	9.9%	68	9.8%
Custer	285	10.8%	359	13.9%	Keya Paha	4	1.8%	12	9.2%	Sioux	8	3.4%	31	11.9%
Dakota	1,236	20.0%	1,746	29.0%	Kimball	122	14.6%	155	18.3%	Stanton	130	7.7%	136	8.2%
Dawes	330	19.7%	354	21.0%	Knox	364	17.9%	377	18.4%	Thayer	136	12.5%	146	13.1%
Dawson	1,131	14.9%	1,522	21.9%	Lancaster	10,557	16.8%	13,891	21.1%	Thomas	7	4.9%	11	4.5%
Deuel	92	22.4%	91	23.0%	Lincoln	1,609	18.0%	1,728	19.1%	Thurston	1,116	43.0%	1,201	48.7%
Dixon	136	8.7%	133	8.5%	Logan	27	18.4%	21	14.0%	Valley	103	11.4%	118	12.4%
Dodge	1,666	19.7%	1,963	22.5%	Loup	0	0.0%	14	11.4%	Washington	406	8.1%	464	9.3%
Douglas	25,718	19.7%	34,000	25.1%	Madison	1,572	18.0%	1,918	22.0%	Wayne	179	10.1%	263	14.3%
Dundy	41	10.2%	58	13.2%	McPherson	14	10.7%	13	22.8%	Webster	130	17.0%	87	10.2%
Fillmore	129	8.7%	108	7.9%	Merrick	190	10.3%	268	14.3%	Wheeler	16	9.0%	13	8.9%
Franklin	95	14.2%	112	16.7%	Morrill	275	22.5%	272	22.9%	York	374	11.6%	481	15.5%

Source: Financial and Program Services, Nebraska Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS).

Note: 175 and 222 out-of-state children were supported in 2009 and 2013 respectively. Data is taken from June of each year.

Children eligible for free and reduced meals (2008/09 & 2012/13)

Percent of children eligible for free and reduced meals (2012/13)

State	Number	Percent
2008-2009	93,575	36%
2012-2013	133,972	44.2%
	n	

Highest county	By number	By percent
2008-2009	Douglas	Thurston
2012-2013	Douglas	Thurston

Lowest county	By number	By percent				
2008-2009	Arthur, McPherson, Sioux	Arthur, McPherson, Sioux				
2012-2013	Arthur, McPherson, Sioux	Arthur, McPherson, Sioux				



0-29.9%

30.0-39.9%

60.0%+

	2008- 2009	% of all children	2012- 2013	% of all children		2008- 2009	% of all children	2012- 2013	% of all children		2008- 2009	% of all children	2012- 2013	% of all children
Adams	1,675	38%	2,757	46.4%	Frontier	193	36%	240	41.0%	Nance	258	34%	274	33.0%
Antelope	509	47%	577	44.3%	Furnas	538	44%	577	46.6%	Nemaha	308	33%	485	41.1%
Arthur	*	*	*	*	Gage	892	32%	1,414	41.3%	Nuckolls	1,013	39%	545	43.9%
Banner	57	54%	76	57.1%	Garden	140	58%	123	50.0%	Otoe	743	29%	1,022	37.2%
Blaine	113	59%	44	35.8%	Garfield	95	32%	124	34.5%	Pawnee	221	50%	250	51.8%
Boone	317	34%	342	38.8%	Gosper	72	31%	106	55.5%	Perkins	104	31%	155	36.9%
Box Butte	557	34%	996	50.2%	Grant	50	41%	76	53.7%	Phelps	404	30%	587	35.1%
Boyd	150	45%	172	48.2%	Greeley	298	60%	304	60.0%	Pierce	386	24%	417	30.1%
Brown	149	36%	188	50.0%	Hall	4,810	49%	7,186	61.5%	Platte	1,757	31%	2,457	46.4%
Buffalo	2,083	33%	3,229	40.0%	Hamilton	387	25%	546	32.6%	Polk	369	35%	469	36.7%
Burt	326	30%	510	39.1%	Harlan	118	41%	124	42.8%	Red Willow	526	33%	769	41.1%
Butler	589	30%	508	41.8%	Hayes	45	29%	49	47.5%	Richardson	581	39%	764	55.8%
Cass	946	26%	1,303	34.5%	Hitchcock	109	37%	192	63.8%	Rock	70	45%	69	39.9%
Cedar	471	36%	573	39.8%	Holt	645	41%	818	48.1%	Saline	804	31%	1,323	44.3%
Chase	232	38%	329	33.7%	Hooker	74	48%	71	42.7%	Sarpy	3,635	18%	6,618	24.0%
Cherry	288	38%	373	47.1%	Howard	411	38%	487	35.9%	Saunders	815	26%	1,064	34.2%
Cheyenne	459	30%	623	33.9%	Jefferson	533	40%	767	48.3%	Scotts Bluff	2,138	45%	3,571	51.8%
Clay	271	40%	363	48.2%	Johnson	244	37%	380	47.6%	Seward	510	22%	684	23.8%
Colfax	1,110	52%	1,453	55.0%	Kearney	264	28%	382	35.4%	Sheridan	371	53%	485	53.0%
Cuming	925	36%	847	46.7%	Keith	360	32%	516	41.8%	Sherman	239	54%	224	50.5%
Custer	572	40%	710	36.9%	Keya Paha	49	60%	47	48.9%	Sioux	*	*	*	*
Dakota	1,755	51%	3,034	68.9%	Kimball	168	35%	295	48.2%	Stanton	161	39%	180	39.0%
Dawes	343	43%	569	46.1%	Knox	645	47%	615	46.3%	Thayer	257	35%	288	32.7%
Dawson	2,264	57%	3,820	61.5%	Lancaster	9,467	31%	17,871	40.0%	Thomas	33	30%	43	41.2%
Deuel	129	41%	209	48.1%	Lincoln	1,604	32%	2,455	39.8%	Thurston	965	64%	1,371	71.8%
Dixon	251	34%	273	35.2%	Logan	65	25%	89	37.6%	Valley	196	33%	260	41.6%
Dodge	2,073	39%	3,036	51.7%	Loup	55	52%	39	43.2%	Washington	709	16%	763	20.7%
Douglas	30,105	38%	48,090	49.5%	Madison	2,114	34%	2,865	47.2%	Wayne	454	30%	646	36.8%
Dundy	180	50%	212	51.1%	McPherson	*	*	*	*	Webster	78	28%	242	42.9%
Fillmore	320	26%	343	29.1%	Merrick	365	32%	452	42.2%	Wheeler	226	52%	68	50.0%
Franklin	115	44%	154	50.6%	Morrill	453	56%	506	55.7%	York	647	30%	926	38.2%

Source: Nebraska Department of Education.

Note: Percent and number determined on the last Friday in September.

*Data are masked when there are fewer than 10 students

3- and 4-year-olds enrolled in school (2000 & 2012)

Percent of 3- and 4-year-olds enrolled in school (20	12)
--	-----

State	Number	% of 3-4 year olds
2000	20,877	44.6%
2012	24,757	47.4%

Highest county	By number	By percent			
2000	Douglas	Thurston			
2012	Douglas	Gosper			

Lowest county	By number	By percent
2000	5 counties with 0	5 counties with 0%
2012	3 counties with 0	3 counties with 0%



	2000	% of 3-4 year-olds	2008- 2012	% of 3-4 year-olds		2000	% of 3-4 year-olds	2008- 2012	% of 3-4 year-olds		2000	% of 3-4 year-olds	2008- 2012	% of 3-4 year-olds
Adams	374	48.3%	414	50.4%	Frontier	29	44.6%	38	60.3%	Nance	52	49.5%	29	28.4%
Antelope	57	31.7%	77	39.9%	Furnas	74	59.7%	42	44.2%	Nemaha	72	67.3%	107	55.7%
Arthur	0	0.0%	3	25.0%	Gage	258	45.3%	283	51.9%	Nuckolls	53	55.2%	48	57.1%
Banner	2	10.0%	3	20.0%	Garden	32	71.1%	25	43.9%	Otoe	242	58.7%	169	38.0%
Blaine	0	0.0%	1	5.3%	Garfield	15	31.9%	36	69.2%	Pawnee	30	60.0%	30	75.0%
Boone	51	31.5%	37	31.4%	Gosper	21	51.2%	40	83.3%	Perkins	20	23.3%	32	55.2%
Box Butte	155	45.1%	291	78.0%	Grant	5	35.7%	5	62.5%	Phelps	96	38.9%	83	41.7%
Boyd	14	23.0%	14	21.9%	Greeley	20	32.8%	20	32.8%	Pierce	75	33.8%	71	28.6%
Brown	47	58.8%	23	38.3%	Hall	557	34.5%	737	46.1%	Platte	473	51.2%	487	44.3%
Buffalo	461	44.2%	586	45.4%	Hamilton	113	40.9%	62	33.7%	Polk	61	45.2%	85	54.8%
Burt	57	32.8%	85	55.9%	Harlan	57	55.9%	39	37.5%	Red Willow	157	48.3%	190	67.9%
Butler	79	30.3%	150	73.2%	Hayes	0	0.0%	13	81.3%	Richardson	91	49.7%	100	64.1%
Cass	365	53.4%	312	47.0%	Hitchcock	19	30.2%	4	9.8%	Rock	7	17.9%	4	36.4%
Cedar	76	28.5%	113	42.6%	Holt	90	27.3%	68	25.4%	Saline	160	44.7%	255	64.6%
Chase	65	70.7%	95	62.9%	Hooker	2	25.0%	4	33.3%	Sarpy	1,973	51.1%	2,692	50.7%
Cherry	72	42.6%	81	53.3%	Howard	45	33.6%	128	71.9%	Saunders	152	31.1%	240	39.5%
Cheyenne	62	24.4%	115	38.5%	Jefferson	81	37.0%	128	75.7%	Scotts Bluff	412	43.0%	489	67.3%
Clay	86	54.8%	61	57.5%	Johnson	39	38.2%	47	65.3%	Seward	153	42.6%	157	51.1%
Colfax	64	22.5%	82	19.7%	Kearney	72	44.4%	57	47.5%	Sheridan	70	43.2%	79	46.5%
Cuming	111	39.6%	49	35.8%	Keith	69	26.3%	64	32.3%	Sherman	20	31.7%	45	72.6%
Custer	79	34.2%	65	23.8%	Keya Paha	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	Sioux	16	48.5%	15	71.4%
Dakota	254	42.1%	85	16.1%	Kimball	26	37.7%	29	37.7%	Stanton	101	45.3%	61	31.3%
Dawes	52	34.2%	91	59.9%	Knox	53	28.3%	104	47.9%	Thayer	54	34.0%	50	43.5%
Dawson	266	33.1%	245	31.6%	Lancaster	2,938	44.8%	3,351	43.3%	Thomas	4	22.2%	0	0.0%
Deuel	14	43.8%	19	54.3%	Lincoln	396	43.4%	546	49.1%	Thurston	135	50.8%	199	65.5%
Dixon	43	26.2%	96	54.9%	Logan	9	47.4%	4	33.3%	Valley	64	53.3%	84	58.3%
Dodge	333	35.1%	405	37.7%	Loup	8	44.4%	12	57.1%	Washington	217	44.4%	251	52.7%
Douglas	6,748	49.3%	8,005	48.5%	Madison	307	32.0%	633	59.9%	Wayne	87	41.2%	117	60.3%
Dundy	31	54.4%	11	16.9%	McPherson	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	Webster	56	61.5%	69	63.3%
Fillmore	72	49.7%	70	61.9%	Merrick	89	37.7%	84	40.4%	Wheeler	7	22.6%	3	12.0%
Franklin	34	35.4%	35	57.4%	Morrill	73	52.9%	47	40.5%	York	146	40.9%	147	58.3%

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2000 U.S. Census Table PCT023. 2008-2012 American Community Survey 5-year estimates, Table B14003.

Child maltreatment (2009 & 2013)*

State	Number	Rate per 1,000
2009	3,520	6.9
2013	2,892	5.6

Highest county	By number	By rate
2009	Douglas	Thomas
2013	Douglas	Logan

Lowest county	By number	By rate
2009	21 counties with 0	21 counties with 0
2013	18 counties with 0	18 counties with 0

Child maltreatment per 1,000 children (2013) 5.6-7.9

0.0

0.1-2.9

3.0-5.5

8.0+

	2009	1,000 children	2013	Rate per 1,000 children		2009	Rate per 1,000 children	2013	Rate per 1,000 children		2009	Rate per 1,000 children	2013	Rate per 1,000 children
Adams	26	2.8	36	4.2	Frontier	1	1.6	0	0.0	Nance	6	6.5	4	4.5
Antelope	7	4.1	2	1.2	Furnas	6	5.3	3	2.5	Nemaha	7	3.9	8	4.3
Arthur	0	0.0	0	0.0	Gage	38	6.9	38	7.1	Nuckolls	1	1.0	4	4.0
Banner	0	0.0	0	0.0	Garden	0	0.0	0	0.0	Otoe	38	9.2	16	3.9
Blaine	0	0.0	0	0.0	Garfield	0	0.0	0	0.0	Pawnee	3	4.9	5	7.8
Boone	0	0.0	1	0.7	Gosper	0	0.0	3	5.9	Perkins	0	0.0	0	0.0
Box Butte	23	7.4	10	3.2	Grant	1	7.6	0	0.0	Phelps	8	3.3	10	4.0
Boyd	0	0.0	0	0.0	Greeley	1	1.7	1	1.6	Pierce	8	3.9	1	0.5
Brown	9	12.8	4	5.8	Hall	98	5.5	59	3.3	Platte	36	3.8	35	3.8
Buffalo	49	3.7	72	5.4	Hamilton	6	2.3	5	2.0	Polk	11	8.1	5	3.7
Burt	1	0.6	8	5.0	Harlan	5	6.6	3	3.6	Red Willow	8	2.8	7	2.5
Butler	12	5.1	12	5.5	Hayes	0	0.0	0	0.0	Richardson	13	6.6	14	7.7
Cass	39	5.5	25	3.7	Hitchcock	1	1.5	1	1.5	Rock	0	0.0	0	0.0
Cedar	0	0.0	1	0.4	Holt	10	3.8	5	2.1	Saline	27	6.6	22	5.3
Chase	2	2.2	2	1.9	Hooker	0	0.0	0	0.0	Sarpy	235	5.0	137	2.6
Cherry	10	7.2	4	2.9	Howard	0	0.0	4	2.4	Saunders	34	6.1	11	1.9
Cheyenne	16	6.2	8	3.0	Jefferson	12	7.0	13	7.1	Scotts Bluff	135	13.2	63	6.3
Clay	3	1.8	4	2.4	Johnson	3	2.9	10	9.0	Seward	26	5.4	15	3.1
Colfax	4	1.1	14	4.2	Kearney	10	5.9	13	7.6	Sheridan	1	0.7	7	5.4
Cuming	5	2.0	7	2.9	Keith	8	4.3	12	6.5	Sherman	7	9.7	2	2.8
Custer	16	5.6	9	3.3	Keya Paha	0	0.0	1	6.0	Sioux	0	0.0	0	0.0
Dakota	36	5.1	21	3.2	Kimball	3	3.5	12	13.4	Stanton	2	1.1	1	0.6
Dawes	11	4.5	13	5.5	Knox	25	11.4	8	3.6	Thayer	2	1.7	3	2.3
Dawson	27	3.2	43	5.8	Lancaster	886	11.5	710	8.9	Thomas	2	17.4	0	0.0
Deuel	0	0.0	4	8.8	Lincoln	86	8.8	58	6.0	Thurston	15	5.3	11	4.2
Dixon	2	1.2	3	1.9	Logan	0	0.0	3	15.2	Valley	4	4.0	3	2.9
Dodge	27	2.8	48	5.0	Loup	0	0.0	0	0.0	Washington	13	2.4	15	2.7
Douglas	1,237	8.4	1,083	7.1	Madison	48	5.0	48	4.9	Wayne	5	1.9	3	1.1
Dundy	0	0.0	0	0.0	McPherson	0	0.0	0	0.0	Webster	1	1.2	5	5.6
Fillmore	5	3.2	1	0.8	Merrick	4	1.9	6	2.9	Wheeler	1	5.2	0	0.0
Franklin	3	4.3	7	10.4	Morrill	7	5.4	9	6.8	York	42	11.3	18	5.1

Source: Nebraska Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS).

* Number of substantiated victims of child maltreatment.

State wards (2009 & 2013)

State	Number	Rate per 1,000
2009	10,383	20.4
2013	8,447	16.3

Highest county	By number	By rate
2009	Douglas	Lincoln
2013	Douglas	Franklin

Lowest county	By number	By rate
2009	6 counties with 0	6 counties with 0
2013	7 counties with 0	7 counties with 0



0.0 0.1-6.9

17.0+

	2009	1,000 children	2013	1,000 children		2009	1,000 children	2013	1,000 children		2009	1,000 children	2013	1,000 children
Adams	222	24.0	146	17.1	Frontier	15	23.3	4	5.8	Nance	20	21.7	12	13.4
Antelope	17	10.0	15	9.1	Furnas	36	31.8	15	12.6	Nemaha	25	13.8	16	8.5
Arthur	1	12.2	4	28.8	Gage	93	16.8	73	13.6	Nuckolls	11	11.1	9	9.0
Banner	1	6.3	0	0.0	Garden	3	9.3	4	11.1	Otoe	76	18.5	76	18.7
Blaine	0	0.0	0	0.0	Garfield	4	10.5	2	4.5	Pawnee	8	13.1	9	14.1
Boone	8	5.5	8	5.9	Gosper	7	15.5	2	3.9	Perkins	3	4.1	4	5.3
Box Butte	24	7.7	16	5.2	Grant	1	7.6	0	0.0	Phelps	54	22.0	38	15.3
Boyd	6	13.4	4	8.5	Greeley	5	8.5	3	4.7	Pierce	16	7.9	13	6.7
Brown	7	9.9	3	4.3	Hall	400	22.6	288	16.1	Platte	117	12.4	94	10.1
Buffalo	203	15.1	239	17.8	Hamilton	38	14.3	11	4.4	Polk	8	5.9	6	4.4
Burt	16	9.3	11	6.8	Harlan	16	21.1	16	19.3	Red Willow	70	24.9	34	12.0
Butler	59	25.3	48	22.0	Hayes	3	12.5	1	4.3	Richardson	27	13.7	31	17.0
Cass	132	18.6	58	8.5	Hitchcock	4	6.2	10	15.2	Rock	0	0.0	2	6.4
Cedar	1	0.4	7	2.9	Holt	28	10.5	19	7.9	Saline	49	12.0	65	15.6
Chase	10	11.1	11	10.5	Hooker	2	14.6	0	0.0	Sarpy	707	15.1	525	10.1
Cherry	20	14.5	13	9.3	Howard	10	5.7	8	4.9	Saunders	44	7.9	48	8.4
Cheyenne	56	21.6	27	10.3	Jefferson	29	16.8	29	15.9	Scotts Bluff	270	26.5	193	19.4
Clay	21	12.5	20	11.8	Johnson	29	27.7	22	19.8	Seward	73	15.0	39	8.0
Colfax	41	11.2	39	11.8	Kearney	23	13.6	39	22.8	Sheridan	7	5.1	9	7.0
Cuming	34	13.3	26	10.7	Keith	44	23.6	27	14.7	Sherman	16	22.1	4	5.5
Custer	41	14.4	25	9.2	Keya Paha	0	0.0	0	0.0	Sioux	0	0.0	3	9.3
Dakota	104	14.6	91	13.7	Kimball	13	15.0	13	14.5	Stanton	8	4.5	15	8.5
Dawes	21	8.6	12	5.1	Knox	6	2.7	4	1.8	Thayer	8	6.6	12	9.3
Dawson	171	20.5	99	13.3	Lancaster	2,111	27.4	1,904	23.8	Thomas	3	26.1	0	0.0
Deuel	4	9.7	7	15.5	Lincoln	381	38.8	265	27.3	Thurston	52	18.4	12	4.5
Dixon	13	7.8	17	10.6	Logan	2	11.5	2	10.1	Valley	18	17.9	11	10.5
Dodge	209	21.9	194	20.4	Loup	0	0.0	1	8.3	Washington	53	9.7	45	8.2
Douglas	3,498	23.8	2,830	18.5	Madison	196	20.3	189	19.4	Wayne	16	6.2	14	5.3
Dundy	5	10.7	5	9.9	McPherson	0	0.0	1	6.5	Webster	2	2.4	11	12.2
Fillmore	31	19.8	27	20.5	Merrick	36	17.3	30	14.7	Wheeler	2	10.4	0	0.0
Franklin	9	12.8	25	37.1	Morrill	19	14.6	10	7.5	York	79	21.2	7	2.0

Source: Nebraska Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS).

Children in out-of-home care (2009 & 2013)

State	Number	Rate
2009	8,880	17.5
2013	7,084	13.7

Highest county	By number	By rate
2009	Douglas	Lincoln
2013	Douglas	Franklin

Lowest county	By number	By rate
2009	9 counties with 0	9 counties with 0
2013	7 counties with 0	7 counties with 0



Adams18119.612714.8Frontier1523.345.8Nance161617.41Adams18119.612714.8Frontier1523.345.8Nance1617.41Antelope116.51448.5Furnas3631.81310.9Nemaha2111.61Arthur112.2428.8Gage7313.2529.7Nuckolls71.61.51.51.5Bainer00.00.06arden39.33.12.34.12.3Pawnee71.1.5	Rate per 1,000 children 0 11.2 6 8.5 7 7.0 0 14.8 2 14.1 3 4.0
Adams 181 19.6 127 14.8 Frontier 15 23.3 4 5.8 Nance 16 17.4 1 Antelope 11 6.5 14 8.5 Funas 36 31.8 13 10.9 Nance 16 17.4 1 Arthur 1 12.2 4 28.8 Gage 73 13.2 52 9.7 Nuckolls 7 7.1 1 1.0 Nuckolls 7 7.1 1.0 1.0 Nuckolls 7 7.1 1.0	0 11.2 6 8.5 7 7.0 0 14.8 2 14.1 3 4.0
Antelope 11 6.5 14 8.5 Furnas 36 31.8 13 10.9 Nemaha 21 11.6 1 Arthur 1 12.2 4 28.8 Gage 73 13.2 52 9.7 Nuckolls 7 7.1 1 Banner 1 6.3 0 0.0 Garden 3 9.3 3 8.3 0toe 63 15.3 15.3 15.3 10.9 Pawnee 7 11.6 10.9 10.9 10.9 10.9 10.9 10.9 10.9 10.9 10.9 10.9 10.9 10.9 10.9 10.9 11.6 11.6 11.6 11.9	6 8.5 7 7.0 :0 14.8 > 14.1 3 4.0
Arthur 1 12.2 4 28.8 Gage 73 13.2 52 9.7 Nuckolls 7 7.1 Image: Constraint of the constraint	7 7.0 i0 14.8 i0 14.1 i0 14.1
Banner 1 6.3 0 0.0 Garden 3 9.3 3 8.3 Otoe 63 15.3 Image: Second s	i0 14.8 i0 14.1 i0 14.1 i0 14.1
Blaine 0 0.0 0 0.0 Garfield 3 7.9 1 2.3 Pawnee 7 11.5 Boone 7 4.9 8 5.9 Gosper 7 15.5 2 3.9 Perkins 3 4.1	Id.1 3 4.0
Boone 7 4.9 8 5.9 Gosper 7 15.5 2 3.9 Perkins 3 4.1	3 4.0
Box Butte 19 6.1 12 3.9 Grant 1 7.6 0 0.0 Phelps 40 16.3	.9 11.7
Boyd 5 11.2 4 8.5 Greeley 5 8.5 2 3.1 Pierce 13 6.4	3 4.1
Brown 7 9.9 2 2.9 Hall 357 20.2 235 13.2 Platte 103 10.9	6 8.2
Buffalo 175 13.1 192 14.3 Hamilton 35 13.2 9 3.6 Polk 8 5.9	õ 4.4
Burt 14 8.1 11 6.8 Harlan 12 15.8 11 13.2 Red Willow 60 21.3	3 11.6
Butler 53 22.7 44 20.1 Hayes 3 12.5 1 4.3 Richardson 23 11.7	0 16.5
Cass 113 16.0 50 7.3 Hitchcock 3 4.6 12 18.2 Rock 0 0.0	2 6.4
Cedar 0 0.0 5 2.1 Holt 25 9.4 18 7.5 Saline 37 9.0	7 13.7
Chase 10 11.1 9 8.6 Hooker 0 0.0 0 0.0 Sarpy 542 11.6	20 8.1
Cherry 18 13.0 8 5.7 Howard 9 5.2 5 3.0 Saunders 38 6.8	8 6.6
Cheyenne 40 15.4 21 8.0 Jefferson 21 12.2 23 12.6 Scotts Bluff 239 23.4	74 17.5
Clay 20 11.9 19 11.2 Johnson 26 24.9 13 11.7 Seward 52 10.7	2 6.6
Colfax 38 10.4 35 10.6 Kearney 20 11.8 35 20.5 Sheridan 7 5.1	3 6.2
Cuming 28 11.0 16 6.6 Keith 40 21.4 25 13.6 Sherman 6 8.3	2 2.8
Custer 23 8.1 20 7.4 Keya Paha 0 0.0 0 0.0 Sioux 0 0.0	1 3.1
Dakota 79 11.1 51 7.7 Kimball 11 12.7 10 11.2 Stanton 8 4.5	.2 6.8
Dawes 17 6.9 10 4.2 Knox 5 2.3 2 0.9 Thayer 5 4.1	3 6.2
Dawson 161 19.3 91 12.3 Lancaster 1,707 22.2 1,442 18.0 Thomas 3 26.1	0.0
Deuel 4 9.7 7 15.5 Lincoln 322 32.8 241 24.8 Thurston 48 17.0	3 3.0
Dixon 11 6.6 14 8.7 Logan 2 11.5 2 10.1 Valley 11 11.0	.0 9.6
Dodge 185 19.4 168 17.6 Loup 0 0.0 1 8.3 Washington 45 8.2	9 7.1
Douglas 3,167 21.5 2,576 16.8 Madison 172 17.8 147 15.1 Wayne 15 5.8	3 3.0
Dundy 5 10.7 5 9.9 McPherson 0 0.0 1 6.5 Webster 2 2.4	7 7.8
Fillmore 23 14.7 23 17.5 Merrick 28 13.4 27 13.2 Wheeler 0 0.0 0.0	0.0
Franklin 7 9.9 20 29.7 Morrill 17 13.0 7 5.3 York 73 19.6	1 17.3

Youth arrests ages 17 & under (2009 & 2013)

State	Number	Rate
2009	15,195	72.4
2013	10,532	52.8
		n.

Highest county	By number	By rate
2009	Douglas	Hall
2013	Douglas	York

Lowest county	By number	By rate
2009	17 counties with 0	17 counties with 0
2013	20 counties with 0	20 counties with 0



crime commission

10.0-29.9

30.0-59.9

	2009	1,000 youth ages 10-17	2013	1,000 youth ages 10-17		2009	1,000 youth ages 10-17	2013	1,000 youth ages 10-17		2009	1,000 youth ages 10-17	2013	1,000 youth ages 10-17
Adams	289	82.7	171	54.9	Frontier	6	13.7	0	0.0	Nance	14	23.8	1	2.6
Antelope	5	4.5	1	1.3	Furnas	4	6.4	9	14.5	Nemaha	31	33.7	17	25.3
Arthur	2	34.5	0	0.0	Gage	156	57.9	104	44.1	Nuckolls	3	4.6	2	4.4
Banner	2	14.4	0	0.0	Garden	0	0.0	1	8.8	Otoe	90	45.6	90	48.2
Blaine	0	0.0	1	13.7	Garfield	0	0.0	0	0.0	Pawnee	6	17.3	0	0.0
Boone	1	1.0	1	1.5	Gosper	2	7.5	1	4.7	Perkins	7	15.4	10	33.3
Box Butte	140	81.9	7	5.5	Grant	0	0.0	0	0.0	Phelps	28	22.4	29	28.7
Boyd	3	9.0	0	0.0	Greeley	0	0.0	0	0.0	Pierce	20	16.6	5	5.6
Brown	0	0.0	8	25.1	Hall	817	128.6	632	92.2	Platte	440	98.9	222	53.1
Buffalo	612	123.6	425	93.2	Hamilton	4	3.0	7	6.2	Polk	11	15.9	3	4.5
Burt	16	15.6	9	12.4	Harlan	26	54.2	3	7.7	Red Willow	107	78.4	70	57.6
Butler	15	12.2	16	15.6	Hayes	0	0.0	0	0.0	Richardson	81	63.9	19	23.8
Cass	29	9.2	22	7.7	Hitchcock	3	7.3	3	11.1	Rock	2	9.0	1	5.6
Cedar	2	1.3	3	2.9	Holt	34	20.4	28	22.7	Saline	101	60.8	84	49.3
Chase	15	27.0	7	18.5	Hooker	0	0.0	0	0.0	Sarpy	1,429	85.9	1,078	55.8
Cherry	15	17.7	18	29.0	Howard	3	3.1	1	1.4	Saunders	55	20.3	78	30.4
Cheyenne	37	28.3	6	5.8	Jefferson	15	14.8	12	16.1	Scotts Bluff	343	76.1	348	88.2
Clay	3	3.0	3	3.5	Johnson	1	1.8	0	0.0	Seward	49	24.0	67	38.2
Colfax	2	1.4	0	0.0	Kearney	26	28.3	24	34.4	Sheridan	58	71.3	32	52.0
Cuming	30	21.5	16	14.2	Keith	83	71.8	58	70.5	Sherman	0	0.0	6	15.9
Custer	56	35.9	30	26.4	Keya Paha	8	78.4	5	86.2	Sioux	0	0.0	3	23.3
Dakota	336	126.3	228	83.2	Kimball	3	5.9	21	58.0	Stanton	37	37.3	37	48.5
Dawes	51	52.2	30	37.1	Knox	8	6.4	2	1.9	Thayer	22	30.0	10	19.0
Dawson	370	120.8	152	52.2	Lancaster	3,248	125.0	2,067	76.9	Thomas	1	10.8	0	0.0
Deuel	6	20.8	0	0.0	Lincoln	366	84.3	334	84.3	Thurston	0	0.0	0	0.0
Dixon	6	6.7	5	6.9	Logan	0	0.0	2	29.4	Valley	0	0.0	0	0.0
Dodge	280	65.9	220	59.9	Loup	0	0.0	2	24.7	Washington	97	39.5	35	13.3
Douglas	4,296	78.6	3,068	53.8	Madison	482	107.5	342	89.1	Wayne	30	28.7	0	0.0
Dundy	0	0.0	0	0.0	McPherson	0	0.0	0	0.0	Webster	4	8.4	4	9.1
Fillmore	3	3.3	2	2.8	Merrick	2	1.8	2	2.4	Wheeler	0	0.0	0	0.0
Franklin	6	13.4	4	11.9	Morrill	37	46.7	3	5.4	York	167	88.4	165	126.8

Source: Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice.;

U.S. Census Bureau, 2008-2012 American Community Survey 5-year estimates, Table B01001.

Youth adjudicated in adult court (2009 & 2013)

State	Number
2009	3.688
2013	2.193

Highest county	2013
By number	Douglas
By percent change	Keya Paha

Lowest county	2013		
By number	12 counties with 0		
By percent change	5 counties with -100%		

Percent change of youth adjudicated in adult court (2009 to 2013)



	2009	2013	% Change
Adams	26	27	3.8%
Antelope	38	12	-68.4%
Arthur	0	0	0.0%
Banner	4	2	-50.0%
Blaine	0	0	0.0%
Boone	6	0	-100.0%
Box Butte	14	6	-57.1%
Boyd	0	0	0.0%
Brown	14	17	21.4%
Buffalo	133	77	-42.1%
Burt	34	17	-50.0%
Butler	20	4	-80.0%
Cass	35	24	-31.4%
Cedar	20	21	5.0%
Chase	18	12	-33.3%
Cherry	26	15	-42.3%
Cheyenne	34	11	-67.6%
Clay	12	0	-100.0%
Colfax	25	9	-64.0%
Cuming	21	9	-57.1%
Custer	56	26	-53.6%
Dakota	176	105	-40.3%
Dawes	16	11	-31.3%
Dawson	72	27	-62.5%
Deuel	3	5	66.7%
Dixon	7	4	-42.9%
Dodge	31	42	35.5%
Douglas	750	410	-45.3%
Dundy	3	7	133.3%
Fillmore	9	4	-55.6%
Franklin	8	1	-87.5%

	2009	2013	% Change
Frontier	20	18	-10.0%
Furnas	12	24	100.0%
Gage	31	9	-71.0%
Garden	2	0	-100.0%
Garfield	12	4	-66.7%
Gosper	5	4	-20.0%
Grant	0	0	0.0%
Greeley	4	1	-75.0%
Hall	139	86	-38.1%
Hamilton	32	15	-53.1%
Harlan	45	10	-77.8%
Hayes	5	4	-20.0%
Hitchcock	5	10	100.0%
Holt	12	16	33.3%
Hooker	0	0	0.0%
Howard	24	8	-66.7%
Jefferson	16	11	-31.3%
Johnson	11	1	-90.9%
Kearney	24	14	-41.7%
Keith	48	42	-12.5%
Keya Paha	2	6	200.0%
Kimball	13	4	-69.2%
Knox	17	9	-47.1%
Lancaster	374	246	-34.2%
Lincoln	112	49	-56.3%
Logan	0	0	0.0%
Loup	4	2	-50.0%
Madison	153	89	-41.8%
McPherson	1	0	-100.0%
Merrick	15	7	-53.3%
Morrill	27	6	-77.8%

	2009	2013	% Change
Nance	28	2	-92.9%
Nemaha	11	6	-45.5%
Nuckolls	5	5	0.0%
Otoe	48	28	-41.7%
Pawnee	8	2	-75.0%
Perkins	6	3	-50.0%
Phelps	29	18	-37.9%
Pierce	21	16	-23.8%
Platte	51	27	-47.1%
Polk	5	1	-80.0%
Red Willow	41	21	-48.8%
Richardson	10	4	-60.0%
Rock	1	1	0.0%
Saline	27	30	11.1%
Sarpy	353	290	-17.8%
Saunders	15	10	-33.3%
Scotts Bluff	86	81	-5.8%
Seward	29	12	-58.6%
Sheridan	41	16	-61.0%
Sherman	7	7	0.0%
Sioux	0	0	0.0%
Stanton	7	17	142.9%
Thayer	14	3	-78.6%
Thomas	1	0	-100.0%
Thurston	17	5	-70.6%
Valley	11	4	-63.6%
Washington	75	28	-62.7%
Wayne	24	29	20.8%
Webster	8	1	-87.5%
Wheeler	1	1	0.0%
York	18	17	-5.6%

Source: JUSTICE Administrative Office of the Courts. Note: Includes children under 18.

Technical Team of Advisors

Any opinions, views, or policy positions expressed in this Kids Count in Nebraska Report can only be attributed to Voices for Children in Nebraska. These opinions do not necessarily represent the views of any members of the Technical Team.

Joseph Acierno, MD, JD, Chief Medical Officer, State of Nebraska; Director, Division of Public Health, DHHS

Scot Adams, PhD, Director, Division of Behavioral Health, DHHS

Susan Adams, MA, Network Services Administrator, Division of Behavioral Health, DHHS

Jill Aurand, IT Applications Developer Senior, Nebraska Department of Education

Debora Barnes-Josiah, PhD, Maternal and Child Health Epidemiologist, Lifespan Health Services Unit, Division of Public Health, DHHS

Vicki Bauer, Adult Program Services, Nebraska Department of Education

Jeff Beaty, Planning, Research, and Accreditation Direction, Nebraska Department of Correctional Services

Michael Behm, Executive Director, Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement & Criminal Justice

Bev Benes, Director, Nutrition Services, Nebraska Department of Education

Doug Beran, Research, Planning and Evaluation Administrator, Division of Children & Family Services, DHHS

Kalpana Bhupathi-Raju, IT Data/Database Analyst, Nebraska Department of Education

Kathy Bigsby Moore, Organizational Consultant

Matt Blomstedt, Nebraska Commissioner of Education, Department of Education

Kathy Boshart, IT Applications Developer Senior, Nebraska Department of Education

Jeanne Brandner, Deputy Probation Administrator, Juvenile Services Division, Office of Probation Administration

Greg Brockmeier, IT Business Analyst, Child Welfare Unit, Division of Children and Family Services, DHHS

Ellen Fabian Brokofsky, Probation Administrator, Nebraska Supreme Court

Cheryl Bullard, HIV Surveillance Program Manager, Division of Public Health, DHHS Amy Bunnell, Early Development Network Coordinator, Division of Medicaid and Long Term Care, DHHS

Robert Bussard, Program Specialist, Division of Behavioral Health, DHHS

Veronica Camarillo, Juvenile Operations Coordinator, Scotts Bluff County Detention Center

Lynn Castrianno, Director, CQI and Data Management, Nebraska Families Collaborative

Jeff Chambers, Project Director, UNL Center for Children, Families, and the Law

Maya Chilese, Gamblers Assistance Program Manager, Division of Behavioral Health, DHHS

Kim Collins, Administrator, Financial and Program Analysis, DHHS

Kim Conroy, Tax Commissioner, Nebraska Department of Revenue

Shawne Coonfare, Community Resource Analyst, Douglas County Juvenile Assessment Center

Brenda Coufal, PRAMS Coordinator, Lifespan Health Services, Division of Public Health, DHHS

Linda Cox, Data Coordinator, Foster Care Review Office

Ann Coyne, PhD, Professor School of Social Work, Univer sity of Nebraska – Omaha

Kim Culp, Director, Douglas County Juvenile Assessment Center

Linda K. Dean, Supervisor, Patrick J. Thomas Juvenile Justice Center, Sarpy County Sheriff's Office

Jerry Deichert, Director, Center for Public Affairs Research, University of Nebraska – Omaha

David Drozd, Research Coordinator, Center for Public Affairs Research, University of Nebraska – Omaha

Dean Folkers, Senior Administrator, Data, Research, and Evaluation, Department of Education

Sarah Forrest, Assistant Inspector General, Office of the Inspector General of Nebraska Child Welfare

Judi M. gaiashkibos, Executive Director, Nebraska Commission on Indian Affairs

Technical Team of Advisors

Doug Gillespie, Program Manager II, Office of Environmental Health Hazards and Indoor Air, Division of Public Health, DHHS

Rosa Gofin, MD, MPH, Professor, Department of Health Promotion, Social, and Behavioral Health, College of Public Health, University of Nebraska Medical Center

Tracy Gordon, Co-Executive Director, Nebraska Association for the Education of Young Children, Inc.

Tina Grove, Records Manager, Lancaster Youth Services Center

Tony Green, Deputy Director, Office of Juvenile Services, DHHS

Victoria Green, Office Associate II, Nebraska Department of Education

Sherri Haber, Adult and Child Abuse & Neglect Administrator, DHHS

Gary Hamer, Deputy Director, Nebraska Department of Economic Development

Julane Hill, Director, School Health, Nebraska Department of Education

Ann Hobbs, PhD, Director, Juvenile Justice Institute, University of Nebraska – Omaha

Melody Hobson, Administrator, Office of Early Childhood, Nebraska Department of Education

Elizabeth Hruska, Budget Analyst, Legislative Fiscal Office

Terry Huang, PhD, MPH, Chair and Professor, Department of Health Promotion, Social, and Behavioral Health, College of Public Health, University of Nebraska Medical Center

Catherine Huddleston-Casas, PhD, Assistant Professor of Child, Youth and Family Studies at University of Nebraska – Lincoln

Scott Hunzeker, Research Analyst, Nebraska Department of Labor

Russell Inbody, School Budgeting and Accounting, Nebraska Department of Education

Cindy Kadavy, Coordinator, Nebraska Homeless Assistance Program, Division of Children and Family Services, DHHS

Pam Kasl, Data Manager, Special Education Office, Department of Education Marilyn Keelan, Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

Cindy Kennedy, Community Based Juvenile Program Administrator, Nebraska Crime Commission

Lori Koenig, IT Business Analyst, Child Welfare Unit, Division of Children and Family Services, DHHS

Diane Kvasnicka, Education Specialist II, Office of Early Childhood/Nebraska Head Start State Collaboration Office, Nebraska Department of Education

John Kwiatek, Child Support Enforcement Finance Administrator, Division of Child and Family Services, DHHS

Linda Leatherman, JUSTICE Business Analyst, Administrative Office of the Courts

Mark LeFlore, Manager of Administrative Services, Douglas County Youth Center

Ann Linneman, Program Analyst, Financial and Program Analysis, Financial Services, Operations, DHHS

Joan Luebbers, Early Development Network/Early Intervention Services, Nebraska Department of Education

Mark Miller, Health Data Coordinator, Health Statistics Unit, Division of Public Health, DHHS

John Moon, Education Specialist III, Statewide Assessment, Department of Education

Sara Morgan, Program Manager II, Immunizations, Division of Public Health, DHHS

Liz Neeley, PhD, Nebraska Minority Justice Committee

Norm Nelson, Health Statistics Unit, Division of Public Health, DHHS

Dave Newell, Executive Director, Nebraska Families Collaborative

Mary Jo (MJ) Oie, Communications Director, Nebraska Department of Roads

Kathie Osterman, Administrator, Communications and Legislative Services, Operations, DHHS

Michael Overton, MS, Director, Statistical Analysis Center, Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

Sean Owings, Data Analyst, Highway Safety, Nebraska Department of Roads

Technical Team of Advisors

Randy Peters, Director – State Engineer, Nebraska Department of Roads

Thomas Pristow, Director, Division of Children and Family Services, DHHS

Helen Raikes, PhD, Willa Cather Professor and Professor, Child, Youth and Family Studies, University of Nebraska – Lincoln

Max Reiner, IT Applications Developer, Nebraska Department of Education

Bryan Rettig, Program Analyst, Operations, Financial Service, Research and Performance Measurement Unit, DHHS

Kevin Roach, Chair, Nebraska Commission on Indian Affairs

Kelley Robidoux, Patrick J. Thomas Juvenile Justice Center

Michelle Schindler, Facility Director, Lancaster County Youth Services Center

Curt Schnase, Lead IT Applications Developer, Nebraska Department of Education

Jill Schreck, Administrator, Economic Assistance and Child Support Enforcement Unit, Division of Children and Family Services, DHHS

Franz Schwarz, Revenue Economist, Nebraska Department of Revenue

Mary Sue Semerena, Administrator, Environmental Health Unit, Division of Public Health, DHHS

Eva Shepherd, Data Services, Nebraska Department of Education

B.J. Spring, IT Business Systems Analyst, Planning, Research, and Accreditation, Nebraska Department of Correctional Services

Corey Steel, State Court Administrator, Nebraska Supreme Court

Jim Stimpson Ph.D, Associate Professor, Health Services Research & Administration, College of Public Health, University of Nebraska Medical Center

W. Lynn Stone, Program Analyst, Finance and Program Analysis, Financial Services, Operations, DHHS

Scott Swisher, Commissioner of Education, Nebraska Department of Education

Pam Tagart, IT Applications Developer Lead, Nebraska Department of Education

Richard Thomas, PhD, Behavioral Health Assistant Administrator for Substance Abuse, Nebraska Department of Correctional Services

Eric Thompson, Director, Bureau of Business Research, University of Nebraska – Lincoln

Hoa Phu Tran, PhD, Revenue Economist Manager, Nebraska Department of Revenue

Peggy Trouba, WIC Program Manager, Lifespan Health Services Unit, Division of Public Health, DHHS

Pat Urzedowski, Children's Services Licensing Administrator, Licensure Unit, Division of Public Health, DHHS

Jeri Weberg-Bryce, Health Program Manager I, Division of Public Health, DHHS

Mark Weilage, PhD, Behavioral Health Assistant Administrator for Mental Health, Nebraska Department of Correctional Services

Dan Wells, Intake Coordinator, North East Nebraska Juvenile Services

Rachel Wise, President, Nebraska State Board of Education

Erin Yardley, Program Analyst, Financial Services, DHHS

Michelle Zinke, Training and Resource Coordinator, Nebraska Domestic Violence Sexual Assault Coalition

Notes

Notes









voices for children IN NEBRASKA

TELLING THE WHOLE STORY.

7521 Main Street, Suite 103 Omaha, NE 68127 402-597-3100 http://voicesforchildren.com

The Kids Count in Nebraska 2014 Report is generously funded by:

The Annie E. Casey Foundation



With additional support from:





Dr. Thomas and Jane Tonniges